1	IN	RE:	CITY	OF	CAPE	CORAL
---	----	-----	------	----	------	-------

2 TAKEN 12-1-2023

3

45

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

This rough-draft transcript is not an official record of the proceedings. This text is unedited and uncertified and therefore may contain computer-generated untranslations and mistranslations of stenotype strokes, as well as nonsensical word combinations, which cannot be deciphered by non-stenotypists. It may contain notes written to the reporter and misspellings and other discrepancies. The above discrepancies in the text will

15

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

* * * * * * * *

be corrected when the text has been proofread, finalized

16

(Recess.)

THE COURT: Let's go on the record.

and certified to as the official record.

All right. We are reconvening the final hearing in DOAH case number 23-1786. We are now in Sarasota and are picking up where we left off. Because we have a new court reporter, I'm going to ask for counsel to please make your appearances, starting with the Petitioners'.

MR. HANNON: Good morning, Your Honor.

Everyone watching on television knows I have permissions

indicate at how volume is for those on Zoom.

Are you hearing us all?

UNIDENTIFIED: I am good, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Great. Thank you.

All right. For those of you who are on Zoom, we're going to ask for you to please keep yourself muted throughout this hearing. The attorneys have also asked if -- if you are willing, if you would turn your video screens off. It's just a little districting having all the windows into your homes and offices at this point.

Also, if you would, I will do my best to make sure that if people are wanting to join that I do let them in. Of course, my attention needs to be focused on the parties and on the evidence that is coming in. So if you would, once you are in, if you would please stay in even if you are leaving for lunch break or whatever it is. If you're going to be coming back to that computer or device, if you would just stay logged into the Zoom and then we don't have to, you know, let you back in. It's not something I need to be looking at.

On the flip side, I will also just be leaving the Zoom open, so when we break for lunch, I will not be, you know -- I will not be ending the Zoom call for everyone; I will just be leaving it open and

1 | hopefully remembering to mute it.

Anything else about Zoom from the parties?
Okay.

MR. HENNESSY: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you. All right. Then let's pick up where we left off on Wednesday. We were on the prehearing stipulation, and there were concerns about the actual issues, the legal issues that are in dispute. We had argument, some lengthy argument actually, on this issue on Wednesday. I'm going to ask the counsel if you'll make -- if you'd like to make a short argument on this issue before I rule.

And just to -- to encapsulate it, so if there was some confusion, it looked like the petition itself alleges that the ERP at issue does not meet the public interest test as defined in the statute. But the prehearing stipulation, the Petitioners' were listing a number of other issues which the respondents contest were pled.

So would you like to speak on that,

Mr. Hannon or Mr. Thomas?

MR. HANNON: Your Honor, Mr. Thomas will speak on it, but we filed a memorandum this morning and served it on anyone. I'm hopeful that everyone received it.

Judge Suzanne Van Wyk

1 THE COURT: All right. Do you have a copy 2 for me? 3 MR. HANNON: I do not have a hard copy. I 4 can e-mail to Your Honor. 5 THE COURT: That's okay. I can pull it up 6 It's not something that I have looked on the docket. 7 at, though, so if you'd go ahead and speak to it. 8 Or Mr. Thomas, if you would speak to it. 9 MR. THOMAS: Your Honor, I hate to start 10 this way, but I need to find our memorandum. 11 THE COURT: Okav. 12 MR. THOMAS: Which I don't have a piece of 13 paper. Just a moment. 14 THE COURT: And the other parties have seen 15 this memorandum? 16 MR. HOENSTINE: Yes, Your Honor. 17 MR. HENNESSY: We have, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: Okay. 19 MR. THOMAS: Thank you, Your Honor. 20 So our -- they've objected to All right. 21 many, many paragraphs. Many of them are redundant of 22 other issues that we've stated. Our position is that 23 all of these issues have been raised in the petition and 24 have been further developed in the discovery in this 25 So the general response here is that the -- the case.

that cases that the Division of Administrative Hearings are tried on a stipulation. That's always been my experience. It's my understanding of how it is expected to function.

Being tried on the stipulation is different from being tried on the petition. The petition has to meet uniform rules, and the Department of Environmental Protection is required to ensure that it does before it refers the matter to the Division of Administrative Hearings.

In this case, the petition was referred to the Division of Administrative Hearings without any issue in the pleadings addressed in that referral.

There was a motion to dismiss on May 30th, and in that motion there were claims regarding inadequacies of the petition.

However, the inadequacies that are now being argued or object -- the objections that have been raised address the petition at this very late date and assert that the petition is inadequate with respect to citations to authority and perhaps other matters.

The objection is rather cryptic in the respondents' objections which really puts us in a little bit of a position of guessing exactly what their objection is, which does create a little bit of

of the issues that were raised in the disputed issues

that petitioner is -- would contend should be allowed.

prejudice and may slow things, but it's our position
that we've clearly stated that the Petitioners''
position was made clear in the first proceeding.

Petitioners'' position has been made clear in the
petition in this proceeding in terms of all of the
allegations as well as the attachments, which cover all

Among other things the petition alleged that all of the purported reasonable assurances are not supported by the facts, and that the findings of the administrative law judge in the previous proceeding may have started this Isis effect or may have some estoppel effect. Those are legal issues which will be addressed later, but in incorporating many of those issues into this -- into this pleading, we feel that those issues are -- are definitely been raised. Definitely been rather clearly presented.

And so really, the issue of the uniform rule as stated in one of the cases that we cited is that the state is entitled to notice, too. So it is, in essence, about notice. The issues that we raised have been clearly noticed, and the petition is required to be substantially true to the uniform rules, which the department found that it was.

Now, the respondents seek to shut down those issues which have been, I would say, tried by acquiescence, but really it was discovery, and it was petition in itself that raised the issues. But there's no issue of notice. I'm confident in saying that the respondents are fully aware of what the petition is alleging, and they've known for sometime.

So in this case, at this stage of the proceeding, raising these issues is prejudicial to Petitioners' actually and with the fact that respondents are fully apprised of what the issues are through the petition and through the discovery, they've accepted it. They have not objected to it. They've not moved to dismiss or strike any claims. Even though administrative petitions aren't structured as causes of action, each allegation is treated as a claim with respect to 57.105, for example. Each allegation is a claim.

So when they present these objections as this late point in time, it's essentially -- it's either a motion to dismiss those claims or it's a motion for summary judgment on those claims. As a motion to dismiss, the uniform rule would require that we have an opportunity to conform the pleadings to the uniform rule if they are not in compliance with the uniform rule. So

we should have an opportunity to amend under the uniform rule. Alternatively, if it's treated as a motion for summary judgment on claims that have been made in the petition, then this -- no offense, but Your Honor does not have the jurisdiction or authority to enter a summary judgment in a licensing and permitting proceeding.

So that's really what the -- what's happening here. And not to cast aspersions, you know, we find ourselves in a bit of a trap if we're not allowed to amend the petition, and our due process rights will be severely limited by striking any of these claims that we've made. So I think waiting to this point in time, the respondents have waived these issues. And they are pleading issues which are properly addressed as a -- in a motion to dismiss, and the timing for a motion to dismiss has long since expired.

So to bring these issues forward on this late date with a cryptic objection is an inadequate notice. It's a late notice. It's about issues that have been waived by the Respondent. We should be allowed to cure any deficiencies, even at this late time, since this is -- since we have not amended the petition even once in this case, and we've not been asked to amend the petition even once.

12/1/2023

So the case law that we cite in the memorandum that we presented yesterday holds that -- the law that I've been arguing to you comes from the Brookwood-Extended Care Center of Homestead, LLP versus Agency for Health Care Administration at 870 So. 2d, page 834, Florida 2003. That was a 4th DCA case. And the other case that we cite is the Cross Saybar Creeks case. And these cases clearly stand for the proposition that a dismissal without an opportunity to amend must be reversed, so we are clearly of the position that we have presented all the issues.

We've made reference in paragraphs 60, for example, that -- excuse me. In our paragraph 60, we have allegations that the second part of which may have been ignored. Paragraph 60 says -- begins with a reference to Title 16 USC Section 1531, and the second sentence -- which reminds that in paragraph 48, "Petitioners' recount the findings of the administrative law judge in a previous denial of the removal of the log which demonstrates a failure to protect fish, wildlife, and the mangroves."

The mangroves, by the way, are referenced repeatedly throughout the petition and through the attachments. The mangroves are adjacent, so many of the issues regarding impacts relate to the mangroves.

And we also made allegations -- we brought forward and booted in a number of paragraphs from the prior proceeding, and the allegation has been included in our petition that none of the reasonable assurances that are required in this proceeding have been met -- have been provided. And with that and the references to the previous proceeding and the findings in the previous proceeding and the conclusions of law in the previous proceeding, I think it's pretty clear that this is not a case where the respondents lack notice, and that's really what the uniform rule is about, is notice of the issues in the case.

I would add that in these complex environmental cases, we start with the statute. Then we have rules that implement the statute, and then there are applicant handbooks, which are very extensive and very detailed. And I would remind the tribunal that this is the Division of Administrative Hearings, which was established to, among other things, but in particular, to level the playing field between the citizenry of this state and the agencies with their special knowledge, their production of their rules.

So when we have covered the gamut of all the issues in our petition and we've endeavored to identify the statutes that -- statutes and rules that apply, it

seems that they could argue if we don't a cite but we

cite a rule, that we're inadequate; or if we cite a rule

but not a statute, then we're inadequate; or if we cite

an applicant handbook and not a rule or a statute, we

might not have complied with it.

So with that complexity of the regulatory structure, we are required to do the best we can, substantial compliance, and it is the stipulation which brings forward, ultimately, the issues to be tried.

Many of those issues have been clearly, clearly identified. Some of them have been very adequately identified. And it would be extremely prejudicial to have claims in our petition dismissed or a summary judgment of our claims because we couldn't get into the mindset of the regulator and identify all of the specific provisions that might apply.

THE COURT: Thank you.

So you filed a thorough an well-organized petition in the case, and it's organized by the type of claim. And the only state claims that you allege are violation of 373.414, public interest test, and then the 373.016, declaration of policy.

And I think that the -- I mean, you don't argue that parties at DOAH are not limited to the issues raised in their petition, right?

the rest of them. So that's what I'm here on.

1 So let me hear from -- I don't know who 2 wants to go next, the Department or the City. 3 MR. HOENSTINE: Looks like the City does. 4 THE COURT: Okay. They jumped up. 5 MR. ASCHAUER: Permission to approach, Your 6 Honor? 7 THE COURT: Yes. 8 MR. HENNESSY: Mr. Aschauer is just 9 providing everyone with a copy of a couple cases that I 10 may be referring to. I may refer to a couple other 11 They're DOAH cases. cases. 12 THE COURT: And --13 MR. HENNESSY: Mr. Green is going to 14 function as the IT person since the camera has gone off. 15 Actually, can we go off the THE COURT: Oh. 16 And let's just fix that. Just a second. 17 (Recess.) 18 Let's go back on the record. THE COURT: 19 Thank you. 20 MR. HENNESSY: Thank you, Your Honor. 21 In response, briefly, this is not the first 22 permit or the first proceeding. As Your Honor's pointed 23 out, this comes to you because of our objection that's 24 clearly stated in this stipulation to the issues that 25 are we contend are being added and exceed -- exceed the

1 statements in the petition.

The petition is, as you say, Your Honor, paragraphs 57 and 58, limited to Florida statutes 373.016, declaration of policy, and 373.414, public interest test.

We believe, again, that we have a conflating and confusing of the issues by the Petitioners'. This is not a question of the sufficiency of the petition or a motion to dismiss or a motion for summary judgment.

That was a part -- we had a motion to dismissed. It was argued. It was solely on the issue of timeliness.

It's not an issue of due process for the Petitioners'. We agreed to a full and complete hearing on the issues that they have pled, that they have raised in their -- in their petition. That is their due process entitlement.

Our due process entitlement is to be clearly informed of the issues they are raising throughout this case so that we properly prepare and then hear today, this week, in this administrative proceeding. We are -- have -- we have an obligation to be clearly informed of the issues they're raising and the challenge that they are making to this permit.

Your Honor, even before the burden-shifting statutes were adopted, the case law was clear with

regard to the responsibilities being placed on a

petitioner, and that goes to the seminal case of Florida

Department of Transportation versus JWC Company, Inc.

from 1981, First District Court of Appeal. And I direct

your attention to the language on page -- well, it's the

10th page of the copy I provided. It's under head notes

17 through 23.

The court states, "We totally agree with the sentiments expressed by amicus curiae at AgraCo that no third party, merely by filing a petition, should be permitted to require the applicant to completely prove all items in the permit application down to the last detail."

And frankly, you heard that yesterday in Fort Myers. That's what they thought that they could do, that they would make us prove up, you know, every aspect of the permit, and then they'd just decide if they thought it was sufficient or not. That's not the way the process works.

"The petitioner must identify -- must identify the ideas of controversy and allege a factual basis." So it's two things; it's the issue and the factual basis. I guess they're coming in now and saying they've alleged a factual basis. Well, they have to tie it into the actual legal issues. So they must also,

1 secondarily, "Allege a factual basis for the contention that the facts relied on by the applicant fall short of 2 3 procuring the reasonable assurances burden case upon the 4 applicant. The burden of proof is upon the petitioner, 5 then, to go forward with evidence to prove the truth of 6 the facts asserted in the petition." 7 Your Honor, it's even more clear in the case 8 of Conklin versus Williams, which we provided you a copy 9 of, in the 1987 Fifth District Court of Appeal case, 10 which states, "It is elementary" -- and this is the 11 second full paragraph. It's a very short case. 12 "It is elementary that the parties to civil 13 and criminal proceedings, whether judicial or 14 administrative, are entitled to notice of the issues as a matter of due process. At no time" -- well, we won't 15 16 go into what happened with Mr. Conklin. But that point 17 is clear, and it speaks to administrative proceedings 18 such as the one we are in. And there are administrative 19 cases that -- and statutes that support this. 20 120.545(b) of the Florida statutes states 21 that, "The petition must contain a statement of rules or 22 statutes that require reversal." 120.569(2)(c), "A 23 petition shall include items in -- that are listed in 24 the uniform rules adopted pursuant to 120.54."

And administrative cases, I direct your

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

attention to Mansoor Imaec versus Andy Estates. case number 22-1564 from 2023. The ALJ disregarded the testimony on matters not raised in a petition. were -- and nor were they tried by consent. And, Your Honor, there's clearly no consent here because that's why we're here, and we objected to what they were trying to put in this -- in the stipulation.

I'd also point to the Highpoint Tower versus South Florida Water Management District case. That's at 07-4834, a 2010 administrative decision. The ALJ did not permit rule provisions not specifically pled in the petition. In that case, they pled, generally, a large rule -- I'm sorry. They pled a specific portion of a large rule, and they were not permitted to raise issues under the entire scope of that rule.

So we have that same situation here. They've pointed us to the public interest test criteria. That's what we're here on.

I'd also point to Sampson versus Harbor Woods, case number 83-2134, a 1983 administrative decision of DOAH that the -- where the court held the attempt to raise an issue at hearing not pled in the petition is untimely. Again, this is a situation of their own making. You know, they're indicating what motions need to be filed. They're indicating now that

In

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

they should be allowed to -- what they were asking for is to say that this is being tried on consent.

It's not being tried on consent. They had every opportunity, and they were alerted, as --Mr. Hoenstine will talk about how he specifically alerted them to the need to -- to amend their petition if they were seeking to raise issues that they were arquing at times in this case. Mr. Hoenstine was very clear that they were not alleged in the petition, and that they needed to amend, and they never took that -they never headed that warning.

So this is another situation where this is -- this is a creation of their own doing. This is not them being sandbagged by the respondents. You know, there is no motion pending from the Petitioners'. is no trial by consent to these issues, and it is too late, once we've begun the proceeding, for them to seek to amend their petition.

Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you.

a response to Petitioners' motion to dismiss.

Mr. Hoenstine?

MR. HOENSTINE: Yes, Your Honor. I'll be very brief.

So on June 27th, 2023, the Department filed

Florida Court Reporting

2

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

paragraph 8 it says, "Moreover, as a stated basis for Petitioners' challenge to the ERP permit, the petition 3 for administrative hearing includes no legal citation to 4 either Section 373426(1) or Rule 62-330.302, and the petition fails to include any relevant facts regarding alleged past violations by the City of Cape Coral that 7 should be considered in relationship with either of those rules or statutory provisions. These deficiencies alone warrant denial of the Petitioners'' motion on this point." And so we raised it, we made a filing. They were on notice, they read it. They should have been

alerted that they needed to amend their petition.

In addition, the DOAH case that Mr. Hennessy pointed out, that was a -- the DOAH case 22-1564, that was five months ago, and that was a case with Judge It was a department case. Stevenson. I was the attorney, and I made the argument, and Judge Stevenson did not allow them to argue compliance with Rule 1820.003 or the applicants' handbook because neither of those were pledged in the petition, and they were not tried by consent from the department.

Thank you, Your Honor.

Thank you. I have a question THE COURT: for you, so you're going to need to press to talk.

I -- what the -- I did some research, you know, when you brought this up on Wednesday. And for me, the consideration was which rule implements which statute. So 62-330.302 is the rule implementing 373.414. Point 301, the other rule, implements a different statutory section. So, to me, that was dispositive of this issue.

However, point 302 contains standards in addition to the public interest test. So if you look at subsection B, subsection B is, "will not cause unacceptable cumulative impacts upon wetlands or other surface waters." C is, "the location adjacent to and in close proximity to Class II waters or Class III waters."

So my question to you is: Then why aren't those issues which Petitioners' are trying to add to the stipulation cause in this hearing.

MR. HOENSTINE: Sure. So they could have very easily cited those rules in their petition, but more importantly, when they say the public interest test is their problem, that's a seven-factor balancing test that mirrors that portion of 62.330. There's also other provisions in 373.414 that they did not comply with or that -- I'm sorry -- that they did not cite. They just cited the public interest test.

And so when you look at the public interest

test is doesn't say that the cumulative impact analysis is part of the public interest test. It gives you discrete factors to consider, cumulative impact analysis would look at other issues, and you would make a finding that they have or they haven't provided reasonable assurance they complied with that cumulative impact analysis, so I -- you're looking at -- it might the same type of information, but from a statutory rule perspective, it's a different test. It's not a weighing and balancing, and the weighing and balancing mirrors that 62.330.302 provision.

And we expanded, there are other rule provisions there, and if they wanted to they could have cited those other rule provisions, and they could have said that the Department didn't provide reasonable assurance for all these extra rule provisions. They didn't do that; they only did the public interest test, and that's just those seven factors that are weighed and balanced.

THE COURT: So if they had pled 373.414 generally, and didn't mention the rules by number, would that have been sufficient to capture everything within 62.330.302?

MR. HOENSTINE: I think they have a better argument, you know, and we would look at 373.414, and we

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. HENNESSY: Your Honor, if I could also respond to that one point in terms of the -- there's a level of specificity that's needed. They've clearly said that under 373.414 what they were interested in was the public interest test. They didn't talk about cumulative impacts. They didn't talk about these other criteria. And, again, I would point to the Highpoint Tower Technology case versus South Florida Water

Management District where it's -- it specifically states that the "ALJ did not permit rule provisions not specifically pled in the petition, despite other provisions that were pled and were within the same Florida Administrative Code rule."

You know, that you have to -- where, you know, where a rule speaks to a number of different issues, you have to tell us which issues under that rule you're looking for. And that also -- that also goes -- there's a case, Seminole County Board of County

Commissioners v. Long which is at 422 So.2d 938. It's a Florida District of Court of Appeals from 1982 that says that -- again, this is our due process issue. "An administrative complaint must be specific enough to inform the accused with reasonable certainty of the nature of the charge." And it's cited in the Hunter versus Department of Provisional Regulation case at 458 So.2d 842, Florida District Court of Appeals, 1984.

In Hunter, the court reversed the decision of a licensing board for making a determination on an issue that was not contained in the administrative complaint. The Court reasoned that the licensing complaint must state with specificity, the acts complained of in order to allow a fair chance to prepare a defense. Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you. All right.

Mr. Thomas.

MR. THOMAS: Your Honor, first off, I would submit that the real issue is that we don't cite to all the exact rules and statutes. I don't think they can, in good faith, make the argument that we did not raise the issues, and they were not aware of the issues. We stated repeatedly and through our pleadings that we are pursuing the same case, the same issues. They have dressed up the application and -- no offense -- but

1	they've modified the application with what we consider				
2	to be window dressing. And it doesn't change our case.				
3	We've presented the same issues, the same				
4	arguments, the same documents. The issues were				
5	presented. The issues were brought forward and				
6	referenced in the petition. The cases that they cite				
7	to, I'm sure, although we did not receive them in				
8	advance, I'm sure they are not like this case where very				
9	substantial pleadings have been submitted, a petition				
10	with multiple attachments which have, in their own				
11	right, addressed many of these issues. And we've				
12	clearly put them on notice; they know exactly what this				
13	case is about. What they're contending is that we were				
14	supposed to identify every rule and sub-rule and				
15	subdivision and et cetera to tell them.				
16	THE COURT: So Mr. Thomas, isn't that what				
17	chapter 120 requires ? I mean, the basic pleading				
18	requirements require the petitioner whether represented				
19	by a counsel or not, to list the statutes and rules				
20	which require reversal of the decision or intended				
21	decision.				
22	(Court Reporter clarification.)				
23	THE COURT: I'll try to keep my voice up at				

I think the standard is not

the end of my sentences, too.

MR. THOMAS:

24

25

perfect compliance but substantial compliance and I think the time to raise issues of this nature is an even as a motion for dismiss or a motion for summary judgment in this proceeding basically.

motion. So you filed a petition. It said -- it alleges that the ERP doesn't meet the public interest test that was in 373.414. It's now time for re/PRAERG stipulation you-all are trying to agree on the issues of law you've agreed that the public interest test under 373414 is at issue but then the Petitioners' have a litany of pages abdomen paragraphs of other issues. And if we are weren't having this airing meant those might be tried by consent but we're having the argument now to determine the scope of the legal issues in this proceeding.

MR. THOMAS: Your Honor, we would probably have to go through paragraph by paragraph, but I think we can address the fact that these allegations have been made.

THE COURT: Well, but see, that's not -you're -- you're asking me to go through your petition
and try to pull out from other sections of your petition
which were styled as allegations that the ERP doesn't
meet federal law, most of them. And try to glean from
that that, Oh, well, there was a water quality issue

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 raised here, and so really they meant to allege 373.413. You know, that's -- that's just -- that's 2 3 not how is this works, and I appreciate that you are 4 reminding me that DOAH and is very much the people's 5 court and, indeed, when, you know, when I have 6 individuals who are unrepresented altogether, I do give 7 them a little more -- a little more leeway, but they 8 still have to meet basic pleading requirements, to me 9 that's what this boils down to. I'm ready to rule. 10 MR. HANNON: May I address Mr. Hoenstine ? 11 He -- and you asked. 12 THE COURT: You can address me. What would 13 you like to say? 14 MR. HANNON: His argument. I'm sorry. 15 THE COURT: Okay. 16 MR. HANNON: And you asked about having

MR. HANNON: And you asked about having raised this by motion to dismiss. Mr. Hoenstine relied upon the Department's joinder with the City's first motion to dismiss. And Mr. Hoenstine just read his claim that the petition wasn't specific enough. And in our response, which was filed on June 7th of 2023, in paragraph 27, we addressed that argument. We said, quote, "Second, the City says the petition on this issue is deficient, citing Brookwood Extended Care," the same case that we are talking about. Goes on to say, quote,

the Judge, please.

1	"In that case, the petitioner made only general denials					
2	and nonspecific allegations which are no longer					
3	permitted under the uniform rules. That is not the case					
4	with the petition which not only goes through the					
5	elements of the public interest test but cites to the					
6	determinations on this issue by Judge Foulks in the					
7	previous proceedings," end quote.					
8	My point is this: Your Honor granted the					
9	motion but only with respect to those federal					
10	allegations that they raised. Your Honor did not grant					
11	his motion, and, therefore, we had no reason at that					
12	point to believe that the petition was deficient in my					
13	way.					
14	And this what they're doing today is a					
15	motion to dismiss. So we have a right to rely on the					
16	ruling on the issue that they raised in May.					
17	MR. HOENSTINE: That reiterates our point,					
18	Your Honor. He just said the public interest test,					
19	right? That's the reason why the motion to dismiss was					
20	not granted because you brought up the public interest					
21	test and that is at issue, and my response was June 27th					
22	in regards to your motion to dismiss, so it came after					
23	that filing.					
24	THE COURT: Okay. Okay. Let's go through					

The point being, yes, so what you just read to me doesn't change my mind. What you just read to me says that you were reiterating that you adequately raised the public interest test and referenced how Judge Foulks ruled on this issue in a prior hearing, so that's still limiting it to the public interest test under 373.414.

And this is not an insufficiency determination. Your petition was sufficient. It was found sufficient. Claims were stricken from it because they are outside of the scope of the proceeding. It sounds like what you're trying to do with your changes to the stipulation is bring some of those back in, so it's actually, you know, you want to be -- you want to rely upon my order earlier; I want you to rely upon my order earlier, as well.

So we're not going to broaden this out. This is my ruling. Okay? The issue in this case is limited to whether the ERP meets the public interest test under section 373.414.

Now, we can go through the stipulation and -- I tell you what I want to do, is we'll go through the prehearing stipulation and incorporate Petitioners' additional issues of law which meet that requirement.

Does that make sense?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So the DEP has already stipulated that paragraphs 23, 24, 25, 26, and 27 are at issue because they reiterate the public interest test. So if you want those incorporated, those are being incorporated now. I don't want to sit here and strike individually each and every other one. What I would ask the parties to do on the first break that we take today, though, is determine together whether some of these are actually issues of fact because we talked about that on Wednesday. appears that any of them are allegations of fact, which would then fall under, you know, proving the legal issue of public interest test, so those don't necessarily need be stricken, they can just moved under the column of disputed issues of fact, so I'm going ask the parties to do that on our first break, and then we can have a really clean prehearing stipulation. All right. That said, are we ready for opening arguments? MR. HENNESSY: We are, Your Honor. THE COURT: Are the Petitioners ready? MR. HANNON: We are, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. We're going start with Petitioners. MR. HANNON: Your Honor, I'm happy to begin.

However, we -- we on our side thought that the

1 presentation of the openings would follow the 2 presentation of the evidence. 3 THE COURT: You're welcome to do that, if 4 you would rather reserve your opening. Most people do 5 it at the very beginning, though, so if you would 6 prefer, you can waive it until --7 MR. HANNON: I don't want to waive it. I 8 thought --9 Well, until the presentation of THE COURT: 10 why you are evidence, if you'd like. 11 MR. HANNON: No. I would rather do it. I 12 just thought that the presentation of openings would 13 follow the order of the presentation of the evidence. 14 I'm sorry. I misunderstood you. THE COURT: 15 That's -- I will allow openings in whatever order you 16 all want to give them. 17 MR. HANNON: Well, I'd like to be third. 18 THE COURT: Okay. 19 Thank you. MR. HANNON: 20 THE COURT: Thank you. 21 MR. HENNESSY: Your Honor, I'd like to do it 22 in whatever order you prefer. 23 THE COURT: I would -- if you would just 24 begin, Mr. Hennessy, that would be great. I just want 25 to get through this so we can get through the actual

1 evidence. 2 MR. HENNESSY: Okay. I hear you. 3 Do we have a laser pointer, do you know, in 4 the courtroom? 5 No. I can get one for you, SPEAKER: 6 though. 7 MR. HENNESSY: That would be outstanding. 8 The only reason being is that since I'm constrained to 9 speaking in the microphone --10 THE COURT: Or if you can use your own Vana 11 White. 12 MR. HENNESSY: That's hilarious, Your Honor, 13 because he accused me of making him his -- my Vana white 14 when I had him hand out the cases earlier. 15 All right. Good morning. Thank you. 16 THE COURT: Good morning. 17 MR. HENNESSY: For everyone on the 18 television, again, I'm Kevin Hennessy, and I'm 19 representing the City of Cape Coral. We're the 20 Respondent here. We are here on a challenge due to an 21 environmental resource permit issued by the Department 22 of Environmental Protection to my client, the City of 23 Cape Coral. 24 The permit is for the South Spreader 25 Waterway Environmental Improvement and Sustainability

Program. Now, Mr. Hannon and Mr. Thomas have stated on many cases -- occasions only just this morning that we are here on the same permit that Mr. Hannon challenged five years ago. We are not.

But before we get to the evidence, I'd like to have Mrs. White show you some of the important locations on the map that -- to get us oriented. If you look down, in the far right corner is Fort Myers, where we were to start this proceeding. Across the Caloosahatchee River, which separates them, is Cape Coral, our client and the location of -- of the areas of concern.

The Petitioners', or some of the

Petitioners' who are no longer here, they are located

off the map. There's a bridge that you can't see, but

if you go down onto the map, you'll see a reference to

Matlacha Pass. And you're going to hear a lot about

Matlacha Pass. And immediately to the east, or right,

of Matlacha Pass is a mangrove fringe area.

Thank you.

You're going to hear a lot of decision about, you know, how that mangrove fringe is, the condition of it, how water may be being delivered to it, and the health of those mangroves. So that's an important area.

```
Immediately to the east, really, basically,
 2
    separating it -- it's -- actually, from our
 3
    understanding, the South Spreader Waterway, with regard
 4
    to this part of Cape Coral, was designed -- no.
5
                Just -- actually, use your one finger, Vana,
6
    to show the actual -- not that finger -- the actual
7
    South Spreader Waterway, the -- that -- thank you.
8
                And --
9
                THE COURT: So it's the dark -- that's the
10
    dark --
11
                MR. HENNESSY: There's a dark black-blue
12
    line, yes.
13
                THE COURT:
                             Okay.
14
                MR. HENNESSY: And if you start with the
15
    circled area, that's the Chiquita Lock.
16
                THE COURT:
                             Okay.
17
                MR. HENNESSY: That's what we're going to
18
    hear a lot about from the Petitioners', because that is
19
    a part of this program for improving that waterway.
20
    That South Spreader Waterway begins all -- or starts in
21
    the north, comes all the way down along the -- and it
22
    creates the end of the developed area in Cape Coral.
23
    And it goes to the lock, and then past the lock, it
24
    makes a couple of turns and it opens out into the
25
    Caloosahatchee River.
```

And before you get to the Caloosahatchee River, one of the bends it makes is an area called Glover Bight. And you're going to hear about that area because it is known to be a nursery area for the smalltooth sawfish. I don't know if you're familiar with the sawfish. It's a form of ray or shark. It's kind of a flat fish, and it looks exactly like it sounds. It looks like it's got a saw on the end of it's -- or a long nose. It's a bottom-dwelling fish, and we're going to bring in an expert to talk to you about the sawfish, depending upon what the Petitioner's case ends up being.

The other -- I guess on the other side of Matlacha Pass -- and again, it's more up and off to the north -- is Pine Island and Matlacha. Oh, another point that you're going to hear spoken to a lot related to the South Spreader Waterway are what we refer to as breaches. It's really unclear what Petitioner is going to refer to them as, but they've been talked about as breaches since the South Spreader Waterway was created back in the '70s and '80s. And -- yes.

So Mr. Aschauer is actually pointing to what is the largest breach, which is often referred to as Breach 20, and it is connected to -- if you look closely when we have it on the screen and blowups, you'll see

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that it's pretty apparent to us, from the historic photographs, that Breach 20 reflects the connection to a canal that was starting to dug by the former developer of Cape Coral and was -- essentially ceased to be dug.

But it did end up connecting to an existing tidal creek, and there are a number of tidal creeks that you will see that run through the mangroves to Matlacha And that's going to be an important discussion Pass. because -- there's going to be a lot of discussion about some sort of sheet flow design for the South Spreader Waterway. That sheet flow is supposed to deliver freshwater across these mangroves, and what we believe the evidence is going to clear show is that, while that may have been an intention, you know, in addition to ending development of -- the westward progression of development, the idea that this waterway would be a design such that it would be overtopped occasionally and create freshwater sheet flow across those mangroves, the evidence is going to show that that's not what's what happened.

Because of these breaches, there's always been channelized flow. And not only that, but because Matlacha is a tidal body -- Matlacha Pass is a tidal body, and those mangroves are impacted by the tides, that those creeks through the mangroves are tidal

creeks, and it allows for water to come from the west, up those tidal creeks, and into the South Spreader Waterway. So the South Spreader Waterway was, very early on from its creation, was, in fact, an estuarine environment.

And, in fact, it has been designated as a estuarine environment and has been designated in that fashion by the Department by -- in terms of creating what's referred to as WBIDs, water bodies identified -- identification.

And --

THE COURT: And what's the significance of that, that it's designated as a estuarine water body.

MR. HENNESSY: The significance is -estuarine means that it's often -- it's brackish. It's
a mix of salt and freshwater, and therefore, it's an
estuarine environment. It's supporting estuarine life.
And what we're going to hear as well is that -- and it's
been that way for some time. Petitioners seem to have
made much of the argument that no -- that that is a
salt -- a freshwater environment, and there is no
evidence supporting that that's ever been a freshwater
environment.

There's no evidence to say that there's been freshwater being delivered from that water body into

1 those mangroves. In fact, the City, at one time, 2 investigated the use of the South Spreader Waterway to 3 be a source of freshwater for irrigation purposes, but 4 they couldn't because it was too -- it was too 5 estuarine, and they couldn't -- it was impossible to 6 create a bank level on the west side that was of 7 sufficient height and consistency that you wouldn't have 8 that channelized flow that was occurring through the 9 mangroves coming from Matlacha Pass, delivering 10 saltwater on a continuous basis. 11 THE COURT: Is the North -- it is fair to 12 say is that the North Spreader Waterway express is a 13 stormwater management system? 14 MR. HENNESSY: Well, again, we're talking 15 about the South Spreader Waterway. 16 THE COURT: I'm sorry. South Spreader 17 Waterway. 18 MR. HENNESSY: The North Spreader Waterway 19 is off the map to the north, and it's similar 20 construction of a -- of a canal that stopped the 21 western -- westward progression of development. 22 But, yes, it is true that a part of the 23 concept of the waterway was that it would -- it would 24 not only stop development, but it would capture 25 stormwater runoff, just as all the canals eventually do.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. HENNESSY: But what the evidence will show is that the City -- using the South Spreader Waterway as a stormwater device is not an effective or -- well, it's effective, but it's not the best water quality practice.

The best water quality practice is to address the contamination that's entering water bodies upstream. So you educate the public to not overfertilize. In fact, you have fertilizer bans. You change catch basins to restrict the flow so that less flow and less nutrient and less detritus go into the ultimate receiving water body. And that's a lot about what this program is, is addressing water quality not in the -- not in the South Spreader Waterway, but before it ever leaves the property.

Thank you.

Your Honor, you're going to hear -- I'm pretty certain the Petitioner's case is going to be about that North Spreader Waterway that Your Honor mentioned, that we had damage in the North Spreader Waterway and that damage is going to repeat itself. Because what they want to blame damage in the North Spreader Waterway to is the removal of a boat lift called the Ceitus -- Ceitus Boat Lift. And...

25

1 I'm looking for the former location of the 2 Ceitus Boat Lift. 3 It's not on there. SPEAKER: 4 MR. HENNESSY: It's not on here? 5 SPEAKER: No. 6 MR. HENNESSY: No. We don't have North 7 Spreader, right? 8 It's not on there. SPEAKER: 9 MR. HENNESSY: It's not on either map. 10 Anyway, we will have pictures for you of the 11 area of the North Spreader Waterway and the former 12 location of the Ceitus Boat Lift, and they will quite 13 clearly show you that the mangrove system in the North 14 Spreader Waterway has had instances where mangroves have 15 been harmed. And they've been harmed clearly related to 16 hurricanes and storms. 17 In fact, in the area of the boat lift -- and 18 it's a distinction between a boat lift and a lock. 19 lift picked up boats and took it from one side of the 20 fixed barrier to the other. This lock that we're 21 removing actually opens and closes and allows boats to 22 pass through, kind of like the Panama Canal on a very small basis, except, in this case, it was strictly to 23

deal with the water quality issues. You know, it

wasn't -- this lock wasn't created because we had a

change in elevation that needed to be addressed, like they do between the Pacific and the Atlantic Ocean and Panama Canal.

Anyway, the -- what the evidence will show is that, in fact, the storms caused a -- or accelerated erosion around that boat lift. So what you'll see are photographs that clearly show a boat lift and a newly created oxbow around that boat lift. So it got to the point where nobody was using the boat lift because they'd just take their boats around it on the water. And that erosion had with it a loss of mangroves, because it went through a mangrove forest. It had a loss of sediment downstream.

And it's just -- the subsequent removal the boat lift didn't create those problems. Those problems of sedimentation and mangroves, they were all -- they were all -- predated the removal of the boat lift. So not only are they factually incorrect about their comparison to the North Spreader Waterway experience in the context that, you know, the boat lift didn't cause anything, removal of the boat lift didn't cause anything. They're also factually wrong on the fact that the North Spreader Waterway is not comparable to the South Spreader Waterway because the South Spreader Waterway is a clearly defined -- it's clearly defined.

The South Spreader Waterway is clearly defined in terms of receiving watershed from this area here, a much smaller defined, controlled watershed, all controlled by weirs that the cities installed, slowing water and the movement of water from freshwater canals into salt water canals as opposed to the North Spreader Waterway when you see those photographs, they're fed by a number of very large sloughs that go well beyond the city of Cape Coral.

Even their -- Petitioners' experts admit that it's an order of magnitude difference in the water sheds. So you can't really compare the two in that -- in that regard. And then, of course, as we said, too, the, you know, the parade of /HORBLZ that the Petitioners are going to try to suggest in terms of a change in the South Spreader Waterway's environment from fresh to salt, factually incorrect.

The idea that mangroves are going to be impacted -- Your Honor, there are mangroves now, healthy mangroves, all along the South Spreader Waterway. Okay? And they're on -- they're on, you know, below the lock and above the lock. Okay? So below the lock, those healthy mangroves are experiencing all the conditions that once you remove the lock, the mangroves above the lock will experience. So in other words it's the same

environment. You're not changing -- it's an estuary on this side of lock; it's an estuary on that side of the lock. So to suggest that somehow removing that lock is going to change the ecosystem is a detrimental fashion to those mangroves is simply scientifically unsupportable and factually incorrect.

You know, Your Honor, we'll also point out that in this -- you'll see another mangrove area up here on this map (indicating)^ in the -- I guess it would be considered the Northeast portion of Cape Coral, that's where another -- where another portion of the city was -- another spreader waterway was created to prevent expansion of development and that area had a boat lift, as well. That was the first boat lift removed. And as you can see the mangroves as we'll show the mangroves and the evidence will show the mangroves continue to be healthy and hardy there despite the removal of a boat lift in that location.

And if you're going to make a comparison between a boat lift to the removal of this lock, it would be this comparison because, again, you've got a much smaller watershed controlled by urban development, and -- and, again, there's no evidence and Petitioners have no evidence that there's any -- any harm that's associated in the, what's referred to as the area 89

1 area.

Again, this is not the prior permit. This is not simply a permit to remove the Chiquita boat lift which is -- I'm sorry -- lock which was what the prior permit was. It's not simply engineering plans for construction of a public works project, and we'll direct your attention to the permit itself which is Joint Exhibit 1, at point 48.

So if you'll bring that up on the screen.

Can you enlarge that any? You can't. You can't do it.

all right. The project is -- before you go -- the project as indicated is referred to as the South Spreader Waterway improvement project, and among the -- this program, it includes, in addition to the removal of the lock, seven environmental enhancement projects that the Department describes and it's not just described in the permit, it's made a condition. These projects are a condition. They are required of this permit, and they are in condition, permit condition 10, if you turn to page 622. Bates page. And you see it's under "Public Interest and Mitigation". All right.

So by mitigation, we're referring to mitigation of any potential impacts that might have -- be considered as potentially resulting from this permit and public interest refers to the specific public

interest criteria that we're dealing with as being
challenged in this case. And you have here seven
specific projects that are required in this permit.

A stormwater catch basin upgrade program as

We've stated that's the way to deal with stormwater impacts well before they -- it reaches the South Spreader Waterway, let alone, then ultimately reaching the other areas in the -- in the areas of concern which is the Caloosahatchee River, Matlacha Pass. We extensively looked at it, because it is an outstanding Florida water. It is a Class II water body, as is the lower part of the Caloosahatchee River.

The second project on the -- discussed on the permit is improvements to the stormwater management system associated with a dog park at Rotary Park.

Again, we talked about this area at the end of the South Spreader Waterway, Glover Bight. That is the same area that the dog park is located. So basically, they went after this improvement because it is immediately downstream, and it is in an area that's been identified as being a nursery area for the smalltooth sawfish.

Third project is actual funding of the FWC that is engaged in this area extensively already in smalltooth sawfish research. And -- and we will be funding acoustic equipment which will be located in

areas including in the South Spreader Waterway and based
on a recommendation from our expert, even as the
breaches, because there have been sawfish that have been
identified even before this lock was removed in the
waterway and into those breach areas.

There will be an aquatic vegetation removal project. Why is that important? Aquatic plants take up nutrients, but they'll just turn around and die in the water body so the nutrients are returned into the water. So by removing those aquatic plants, you are removing nutrients from the water body, so that's why that's a water quality benefit. There will be mangrove planting, upland restoration, and reef ball installation. That project has important water quality benefits because mangroves improve water quality. Mangroves also improvement marine fisheries and nursery areas, and so they have a benefit for the -- a benefit for the ecosystem. They have a benefit for fisheries.

It's -- and so these are multiple parts of the public interest test that are addressed by mangrove planting in the upland restoration of native species and the reef ball installation. Again, reef balls create substrate. They create ecosystem. And they all system that they create are for oysters and barnacles, and

those are filter feeding organisms that themselves, not only are they an ecosystem, but they themselves what they would refer to as cleanse the water, so they are removing contaminants in the water including nutrients.

The -- finally the -- the Calusa Connect project, and that's actually depicted -- what we're talking about is the connection between Fort Myers and Cape Coral. What you'll hear in the evidence is that Cape Coral is a leader in the United States in reefs waters. A hundred percent --Cape Coral for decades, I believe, at least a decade, has been a hundred percent using reefs. They have -- not a single drop of water from their advanced waste water treatment system has gone into a surface water body. Okay?

And what -- what Cape Coral is doing is that Fort Myers is not as advanced. Fort Myers currently discharges quite a bit of their sewer into the Caloosahatchee River by making this connection instead of having that treated wastewater go into the river. It will connect to our -- our system -- our reuse system, and it will be fully used. And there is credit, mitigation credit, being given to the city for agreeing to take all of that -- that water which would otherwise be impacting the areas of concern that this permit is investigating and addressing and trying to improve.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Like I say, the Petitioners want to spend all their time talking about the lock and say that there's no environmental purpose for the removal of the I've heard them say that on more than one occasion. We will bring to the Court's attention representatives of Florida FWC. They are responsible for protecting manatees. They will -- we will produce letters that we've received from the FWC where they have indicated that the lock itself is a danger to manatees and has been identified as the cause of over eight manatee deaths, or at least eight manatee deaths, since Because of the operation of the lock, the crushing of manatees, those manatee bodies were recovered, they were autopsied, or necropsied they call it, and the cause of death was attributed to operation of the lock. And we have the letters, the -- from the head of the agency responsible or the person at the agency responsible for issuing those letters as well as we have the ability, if need be, to put on testimony from the actual doctor who did the necropsies. So removal of the lock will stop the injury

So removal of the lock will stop the injury and injury deaths to manatees. It will remove a navigation hazard. It will eliminate the risk of management liability to the city. It will save public funds. Removal of the lock will provide unfettered

boating access. It will eliminate frustration and boater rage associated with lock operations, and will uncrease South Spreader Waterway owner property value because they'll that have unfettered access to deep water.

When Mr. Hannon gets up here, I can assure you he will not be discussing environmental enhancement projects that the city is committed as an obligation or condition of this permit. He will ask you to simply ignore those. He will only want to discuss removing the lock and why it is a bad project and why it is harmful for the environment.

But take note, he will not discuss nor will he present any evidence to you from his experts other than speculation and opinion. There will be no tests. There will be no modeling. There will be no detailed written assessments, environmental assessments. No environmental reports presented. What Petitioners' experts will rely on is their story concerning the design and history of the South Spreader Waterway which we will -- which we dispute and which the facts will prove incorrect. And they'll also rely on their claims that the South Spreader Waterway is mostly a freshwater canal, that it was signed to deliver the sheet flow to the mangroves to the west and the south. And we will

1 again dispel that -- that fairy tale.

Mr. Hannon and his witnesses will say that the lock is necessary to hold back water to cause sheet flow and is necessary to provide water quality treatment. We will show that the water quality treatment will continue to happen within the South Spreader Waterway because of the residence time. It will take a very long time for any water that reaches the South Spreader Waterway, particularly the northern regions of the South Spreader Waterway, to even get all the way down here, hundreds of days, over 300 days. Well beyond the treatment capacity of any detention system.

The crux of Petitioners' case is simple,

Your Honor. If given the chance they're going to argue
that the North Spreader Waterway is in bad shape. It's
got bad water quality. The mangroves are in -- in their
death throws. They're dying and decaying. This is all
they're going to say due to this removal of the prior
boat lift. If you allow the lock to be removed, in this
case, they'll say the South Spreader Waterway will
suffer the same fate.

Again, we will dispel those factual -- those opinions. You know, the problem with Petitioners' case is that none of the three parts of their arguments is

true, and the evidence will clearly show it.

I'd like to cue Joint Exhibit 149 at page 634. I'm sorry. 1.49. The actual permit. I'm sorry. The actual -- this is the notice of intent. I'm sorry. I want to turn to 148. 1.48, page 623. I'm sorry. 634. Let's start -- I'm sorry. 149, page 634.

So this is the notice of intent, Your Honor. And in the notice of intent, it gives some background in the basis for the issuance. And if you can -- it has a list here, and basically what I want to point Your Honor's attention to, and the Department recognizes this, that the Chiquita lock began operations in 1984, with the design that, you know, it's supposed to provide stormwater treatment, but since that time the city of Cape Coral has implemented programs to improve water quality upstream including all of these programs.

And we will have evidence presented on them installing public sewers, taking everybody off of septic and putting them on sewers, a huge change in the purported or expected design of Cape Coral.

Installing a deep injection well, so that as -- at the reverse osmosis public works treatment plant, which eliminated any discharge to a surface water body. Implementing a dual water system for irrigation with improvements at the waste water treatment plant.

1 Again we touched on that earlier.

Creating a stormwater utility to provide funding for the numerous water improvement projects that the city's been engaged in.

Let's go back to Exhibit 1.48. Specific condition 13. Should be at page 623. There you go.

Starts at -- so we have a specific condition with regard to water quality improvement. And so not only the list of projects that we saw on the notice of intent that already been done outside the permit, the City has also has been very aggressive in the BMAP. The B map's purpose is to protect this Caloosahatchee River, and the Caloosahatchee River BMAP actually goes way off the map.

But the -- what you'll find is that the contributions to this BMAP, the nutrient contribution that was allocated -- the reduction that was allocated to the city to accomplish, that the city more than doubled what it had to do. And because of that, it created -- had an excess. And the Department, as part of this permit, agreed to take that excess, those projects attributable for that excess, and make them a condition of this permit so that instead of being essentially, like, bonus points in the BMAP, is it now a condition.

If you'll turn to the next page.

It's a condition of this permit, and you'll see that right in Condition 13. It says, "2020 BMAP reduction excess," over 41,000 -- almost 42,000 pounds per year. And there's an asterisk there that explains that that credit, those projects, will be permanently transferred to this permit. And they no longer -- they can no longer be used by the City for BMAP credits.

So the City needs -- to do more BMAP, projects they'll have to -- they can't utilize these credits. They'll have to develop even more projects for the BMAP, which is fine with the City because the City continues and has plans for even more conversion of septic -- septic plants into sewer.

Your Honor, we will go through the permit application itself to show you how different it is. I'm going to speed through this. We have several attachments to the permit application; an engineering report, an environmental report ,and a report on city projects that's attached as A, B, and C, and I'm go through that with my witnesses. But you'll see that -- that -- the extensive amount of work that the City has done as part of this permit and to investigate and prove to the department that this permit met all applicable criteria.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We will -- we will also show that you that they looked at alternatives to the removal of a lock, and we'll discuss -- our witnesses will discuss why removal of the lock in the design that was done is most appropriate. We will show that we've done thorough investigation into the surrounding water bodies, including Class II OW Matlacha Pass, and Class II repair of water bodies of the Caloosahatchee River.

While -- as indicated before, while there are numerous environmental projects that are part of this improvement program, the permit has been challenged solely based on the alleged failure to meet the seven criteria of the public interest test.

The application goes into great detail in showing how each of the seven criteria are satisfied, and we will present experts and evidence to you that will demonstrate that.

And so we will have evidence to show that the -- that this project will benefit the public health, safety, and welfare; benefit the property of others; that it will positively affect the conservation of fish and wildlife, including endangered and threatened species -- the sawfish and the manatee are both benefited by the activities in this permit; that it will have a positive impact on the flow on navigation and the

That the fishing and recreational values and marine productivity will be benefited by the programs in this project, and that the current condition and relative function that is being performed by the areas affected by the proposed activities will be benefited.

And in talking about the current conditions, Your Honor, the currents condition are an important consideration because the current condition of the lock is that it's in an open position. It's in an open position because the lock no longer functions. It no longer functions because of Hurricane Ian, where this lock was completely overtopped by storm surge and rendered unusable.

And, therefore, many of the concerns and the parade of horribles that the Petitioners are going to be talking about, they need to answer the fact that -- why hasn't any of that occurred, given the fact that the lock has been open for a year and allowing an exchange of -- a free exchange of saltwater into this system? And the evidence will show that, you know, because -- it's simply because their facts are not right.

And the -- as indicated before, we have -- we have mangrove system downstream of the lock, and we

have mangrove system upstream of the lock, and the conditions on both sides of the lock are favorable to mangrove growth. The only harm that's been occurring to the mangroves is due to the horrific storm events that have occurred, such as Hurricane Ian.

Your Honor, this permit meets all of the applicable criteria, including the public interest test, which has been challenged. That is a balancing test. Your Honor will look at the weighing of those factors. The Petitioners' case is one simply of speculation over potential harm, and to -- has been, I think, clearly dispelled and will be -- that situation will be presented to you that -- an examination of the facts and scientific evidence that we will present -- presenting, both us and the Department -- both the City and the Department will be presenting will demonstrate that the concerns of the Petitioners are simply not well taken.

Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Mr. Hoenstine?

MR. HOENSTINE: Thank you, Your Honor. I'll try to be brief. I think Mr. Hennessy covered everything.

But -- so the Department will demonstrate how the City provided reasonable assurance to meet the

environmental resource permitting criteria. We're going to show you that it is going to be a benefit to not only the South Spreader Waterway, it's also going to benefit the Caloosahatchee River and Matlacha Pass.

We're also -- as Mr. Hennessy discussed, this is a very different project from the one that was denied in 2019. All of those water quality enhancement projects, mitigation projects were not part of that previous permit application. I know he had shown you the -- the Calusa Connect project, and where that red dot is, that's where they currently discharge their effluent into the Caloosahatchee River.

So for us, this is a very big deal to get that thing offline and not dumping effluent into the river, and that is part of this project. They are taking -- I think it's maybe 12- or 14,000 pounds of nitrogen out per year that would go there, it's going now to Cape Coral's central sewer system.

The other thing is -- so the water quality enhancement projects, a total of 70,000 pounds of nitrogen annually, being taken out -- or -- yeah, being -- otherwise that would have went to the Caloosahatchee River are now being taken out of the river. The Department did extra analysis this time around that they did not do in 2019. We looked at the

impact of removing the lock to Matlacha Pass, and that was something that was one of the deficiencies of the last case. And what we found is that it's actually go to improve Matlacha Pass by opening up the lock.

And how that does that is when it's opened, there are -- currently, there's a lot of nutrients in Matlacha Pass that are now going to go out of Matlacha Pass, and you're going to have a net decrease in nitrogen -- I'm sorry, I said "nutrients." I meant nitrogen -- net decrease in nitrogen to Matlacha Pass.

So from our perspective, it benefits the outstanding Florida water that we were -- noted in 2019 as not evaluated. And when I say "outstanding Florida water," those waters are protected by the state more because of the ecological significance that they have.

So the other thing that we did differently is that we -- we evaluated the South Spreader Waterway to determine whether it really was a freshwater system, as argued at the last hearing, or whether it was an estuarine system. And so we went through historical aerials and we showed that when the South Spreader was constructed, there was actually a dredged canal that was attached to those tidal creeks that empty into Matlacha Pass.

And so we -- so you'll hear about the --

the -- was it the breaks? I guess they're the breaks.

2 The --

SPEAKER: Breaches?

MR. HOENSTINE: The breaches, yes. There's three breaches, and so we can show you, in the late 1970s, how those breaches began. And so what that meant is that when the tide would come in and out, that water would get into the South Spreader Waterway.

And then what we looked at is -- we have historical salinity content, and so we're going to show you the historical salinity content that will demonstrate this has been an estuarine environment since we started reading those numbers back in the early '90s. Why that's important is because you're not shocking the system. What you're doing is opening up the lock from one estuarine system to another, and so the -- a lot of the impacts to the -- alleged impacts to the mangroves is just unfounded. You're not going to see that drastic of a shock to the system when on both sides of the lock in the Caloosahatchee, all the water quality is essentially the same.

And the last thing that we looked at was the North Spreader. There was a lot of testimony at the last hearing about how since the North Spreader had all these impacts to mangroves, allegedly, from the removal

1	of that Ceitus Boat Lift, the same thing what happened
2	here. And what we looked as is we looked at the aerial
3	photography, and you could see clearly that those
4	impacts to the mangroves are from hurricanes. They're
5	not from removal of the lock. You can see before and
6	after removal of the lock, and you can see before and
7	after hurricanes. We're going to show that evidence to
8	you.
9	And the last thing is, when we removed this
10	lock, it's not like this is some experiment. There are
11	thousands and hundreds of miles of canals across South
12	Florida that all have mangroves on each side of them,
13	and they're all thriving. So we're going to show you
14	that this is just going to be one of those canals.
15	Thank you, Your Honor.
16	THE COURT: Thank you. All right.
17	MR. HANNON: May have a comfort break?
18	THE COURT: Yes. We let's take a very,
19	very short comfort break. I'll say 10 minutes, because
20	I don't know how far away restrooms are.
21	(Recess)
22	THE COURT: Thank you. Please be seated.
23	Are you ready, Madam Court Reporter?
24	THE REPORTER: Yes.
25	MR. HENNESSY: Your Honor.

1	THE COURT: Yes.
2	MR. HENNESSY: In a slightly unorthodox
3	move, because we have a witness who's here and has a
4	commitment, in discussion with Petitioners' counsel,
5	they agreed to allow us to put our police chief on as a
6	brief witness before he does his opening.
7	THE COURT: Okay.
8	Mr. Hannon, you agree to this?
9	MR. HANNON: It's my pleasure.
10	THE COURT: Okay.
11	MR. HANNON: And the condition I think Mr.
12	Aschauer put on it is afterwards, we have lunch.
13	THE COURT: All right. Well, we'll talk
14	about that when he's finished. Okay.
15	MR. HENNESSY: Your Honor, if that's
16	agreeable to you, we'll call the chief.
17	THE COURT: Yes.
18	MR. HENNESSY: But before we do that, I'd
19	like to go ahead and move Joint Exhibit 1 into evidence.
20	THE COURT: Okay. Let me get to the right
21	tab here so I can make sure that we get it into the
22	official record.
23	MR. HENNESSY: We have a hard copy of Joint
24	Exhibit 1 for Your Honor.
25	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

1 MR. HENNESSY: And we won't make you drive 2 it back to Tallahassee. 3 Thank you, sir. THE COURT: 4 All right. You may call your first witness. 5 MR. ASCHAUER: Thank you, Your Honor. 6 The City of Cape Coral would call Police 7 Chief Anthony Sizemore. 8 SPEAKER: Raise your right hand. 9 THEREUPON, 10 ANTHONY SIZEMORE, 11 Being by me first duly sworn to tell the truth testifies 12 as follows: 13 THE WITNESS: I do. 14 THE COURT: Thank you. Please be seated. 15 Mr. Aschauer, you may proceed. 16 MR. ASCHAUER: Thank you, Your Honor. 17 DIRECT EXAMINATION 18 BY MR. ASCHAUER: 19 Chief Sizemore, would you please state and spell 20 your name for the record? 21 Α. Yes. Anthony Sizemore. A-N-T-H-O-N-Y, 22 S-I-Z-E-M-O-R-E. 23 And I realize, Chief, that you're in your 24 uniform, but for the record, by whom are you employed? 25 I'm the chief of police for the City of Cape Α.

- 2 And how long have you been in the position of 3 chief of police for the City of Cape Coral?
- 4 Α. For three years.
- 5 And how long have you been with the City of Cape 6 Coral police department?
- 7 Α. Twenty-five years.
- 8 As chief of police for the City of Cape Coral, 0. 9 what are your responsibilities?
- 10 Ultimately, I'm responsible and accountable for 11 the overall safety -- of public safety of the entire 12 city.
- 13 Ο. Chief Sizemore, are you familiar with the 14 Chiquita lock?
- 15 Α. Yes, I am.
- 16 Chief Sizemore, does the City of Cape Coral 17 police department have any marine units?
- 18 Α. Yes, we do.
- 19 Do those units have the responsibility to respond 20 to emergencies on the waters behind the Chiquita lock on 21 the South Spreader Waterway?
- 22 Α. Yes.
- 23 Chief Sizemore, how many marine units do you have Ο. 24 in the City of Cape Coral police department?
- 25 We have four and four marine units and one marine Α.

- 1 sergeant.
- MR. ASCHAUER: Can we pull up, Mr. Perrigan,
- $3 \mid$ Joint Exhibit 1.07, page 1 of the pdf and Bates No.
- 4 JNT154.
- 5 BY MR. ASCHAUER:
- Q. So Chief Sizemore, we are showing you now what we have premarked and what has been admitted into this proceeding as Joint Exhibit 1.07.
- Do you recognize this letter, Chief Sizemore?
- 10 A. Yes, I do.
- 11 Q. And are you the author of this letter, sir?
- 12 A. I am.
- Q. As the chief of police for the City of Cape

 Coral, do you have any concerns about your department's

 responsibilities related to the waters behind the

 Chiquita lock?
- 17 A. Yes, I do.
- Q. And can you -- how long have you held those concerns?
- A. For a long time. Long before I was a chief, as
 an officer on the street, all the way through my
 supervisory career. I've held every supervisory rank up
 the chain, and at every rank there's a different
 perspective on reliance upon marine patrol and that's
 gone on for almost the entirety of my career.

- Q. Okay. Can you generally explain those concerns to the administrative law judge?
- A. Yes. Behind the lock is the majority of our waterways. We have roughly 400 miles of canals, and our marine units are responsible for the patrol of routine patrol, speed, maintenance, responding to marine crashes, any type of quality of life concern, slowing down jet skiers, boaters, et cetera. And oftentimes a street-based or land-based patrol officer will respond, determine that something is a waterway-based emergency or semi emergency or routine response and need them to respond, and there's a good majority of the time they have to come through the lock, and when they do, it's an -- a very long delay, and depending upon the type of call for service that delay could be detrimental.
 - Q. And so when you say it's detrimental, Chief, does that present an issue with regards to the public health, safety, and welfare?
 - A. On those types of calls where time of the essence, yes.
 - Q. Okay. Are the concerns that you have about the Chiquita loft also expressed within the letter that you authored?
- A. They are.
 - Q. Okay. Thank you, Your Honor. No further

BY MR. HANNON:

1	questions.
2	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
3	Let's see what order are we going in. The
4	Department.
5	MR. HOENSTINE: No questions, Your Honor.
6	THE COURT: All right. Mr. Hannon or
7	Mr. Thomas.
8	MR. HANNON: Thank you, Your Honor. If I
9	don't mind.
10	THE COURT: Go ahead.
11	MR. HANNON: And Chief, I hope you'll hear
12	me.
13	THE WITNESS: I can, yes, sir.
14	MR. HANNON: All right. Am I able to share
15	my screen, Your Honor? I believe I am.
16	THE COURT: Yes. You should be able to.
17	BY MR. HANNON:
18	Q. And Chief, are you able to see the screen in
19	front of you?
20	A. I see a
21	MR. HANNON: You're not seeing my document?
22	THE WITNESS: No, sir. I see the myriad of
23	Zoom .
24	MR. HANNON: One moment. Here we go.

4

5

- 1 Q. That your letter?
- A. Yes, sir, it is.
 - Q. Okay. Thank you very much. I've highlighted the last sentence in the second paragraph.
 - It's -- could you read that, please?
- A. "We have had complaints of boats coming close to colliding and tempers flaring from boaters in the queue."
 - Q. Are those complaints often from other boaters?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. And are they often on weekends?
- 12 A. Often -- I don't know that -- they do occur on
- weekends.

- Q. Do you get reports of drunken boaters?
- 15 A. We do.
- Q. And does your marine force deal with that?
- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And Chief, your marine officers have any problems
 dealing with people who exhibit tempers?
- MR. ASCHAUER: I'm going to object, Your Honor,
 as vague.
- THE COURT: Hold on a second. I'll overrule anD allow him to answer the question.
- 24 BY MR. HANNON:
- Q. That a problem for your marine forces?

- 1 Could you repeat the question. Α.
 - Ο. Yes. The sentence says, there are complaints about tempers flaring from boaters. Are your marine officers trained with deal with boaters with tempers?
 - Α. Yes.

3

4

5

19

20

21

22

23

- 6 MR. ASCHAUER: I'm going to object, Your Honor. 7 Outside the scope.
- 8 THE COURT: I'll overrule. Go ahead.
- 9 BY MR. HANNON:
- 10 And are you a boater? Ο.
- 11 I used to be. I'm a recovering boater. Α.
- 12 You probably don't have time any longer? Ο.
- 13 Α. Correct. I took the worst job for recreational 14 boating.
- 15 You fish entirely from the dock? 0.
- 16 Α. When I do. When I have time.
- 17 Then in the third paragraph, I've highlighted the Ο. 18 last sentence. Would you mind reading that?
 - (As read.) "When the lock is closed or not Α. operational, our marine unit cannot get a vessel into the South Spreader and adjoining waterways."
 - You talked about the time constraints. Is that principally when there's a time constraint when it's closed or not operational?
- 25 When it's closed due to operational. As of right Α.

- now, it is open and not operational. But in the time -at the time of this letter, this is pre-Hurricane Ian,
 so when it's operational and closed, and there is a
 queue, the ability for us to jump the line or expedite
 is not present, so it does.
- Q. I got it. So your marine officers have to exercise their authority to get into the queue?
- A. We have to enter the queue or we have to abandon that entryway and take an alternate, which is what really adds to the delay, is if it's too backed up or it's not going to work, we'll have to find another boater in another part of the city, or we'll have to abandon that trailer and go to another launch behind the lock and launch from there. That's the delay that I spoke of earlier.
- Q. And you talked about the different perspectives on how to handle the marine units that you've encountered in your career; is that correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. So I take it that your perspective is that it's important to have marine units because of the length of the canals and the number of them?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. But that hasn't always been the policy in the Department; is that correct?

- A. I don't follow.
- MR. ASCHAUER: I'm going to object as outside the scope, Your Honor.
- THE COURT: We are getting pretty far beyond the scope of his direct.
- 6 MR. HANNON: I'll move on.
- 7 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
- MR. HANNON: Let me share my screen. I
- 9 | believe that -- well, that didn't work. One moment.
- 10 BY MR. HANNON:
- 11 Q. What I have on the screen now is Joint Exhibit
- 12 | 1.05 at page 0101. I've just gotten off it. Here we
- 13 | are.
- And you recognize this aerial view?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 O. And what is it?
- A. It's an overview of the -- it was an overview of the Chiquita lock.
- Q. Let me get back to it. Now, I think you
 mentioned in your letter there are other marine units or
 other forces besides the Cape Coral police?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. And if you see my cursor, this large flat roof is a dry storage facility for boats; is that correct?
- 25 A. I believe it is.

- 1 And there's a dock area along here, correct? Q.
- 2 Α. Yes.
- 3 And is your marine unit headquartered in that 0.
- 4 location?
- 5 Α. We were not headquartered there, no.
- 6 Well, there is an office there for your marine Ο. 7 unit?
- 8 Α. We -- our marine units can operate out of there.
- 9 It's not our headquarters or it's not our main marine 10 area, but we're in that area, yes.
- 11 So is there a Cape Coral marine unit there at all 12 times or?
- 13 Α. No.
- 14 I see. And that's the outside of the lock, 0.
- 15 correct?
- 16 Α. Yes.
- 17 Following my cursor takes you out in the channel Ο. 18 to the Caloosahatchee River, correct?
- 19 Α. Yes.
- 20 And behind the lock there are horizontal docking Ο. 21 areas for boats where we see some boats docked; is that 22 correct?
- 23 Α. Yes.
- And have your boats on occasion docked there? 24 Ο.
- 25 Α. I'm sure they have.

25

BY MR. HANNON:

1	Q.	And there are how many locations where you can
2	launch	inside the waterways of the city of Cape Coral?
3	А.	I don't have that number.
4	Q.	Okay. And you also have somewhat I would call
5	kicker	boats that you're able to launch? You know, what
б	I mean	by kicker boat? I guess you don't?
7	А.	I do not.
8		MR. ASCHAUER: Your Honor, I believe we're
9	getting	g outside the scope.
10		THE COURT: So I'm going to give him a
11	little	leeway. I think I know where it's going; I'm not
12	sure.	Try to get us there.
13	BY MR.	HANNON:
14	Q.	Are there other smaller boats that can be
15	launch	ed elsewhere in the canal system?
16	Α.	Our boats?
17	Q.	Yes, sir.
18	Α.	No.
19	Q.	I see. So are you aware that in 2006, the City
20	permit	ted a new parallel lock boat lock?
21		MR. ASCHAUER: Objection, Your Honor. Outside
22	the sc	ope.
23		THE COURT: I'm going to allow it.

Go ahead. You can answer the question.

- Q. Are you aware of that?
 - A. I'm not familiar with it.
 - O. Okay. The -- excuse me.

Your department, of course, has statistics about all of the events that you've described to us, do they

6 not?

1

2

- 7 A. We do.
- Q. And those statistics would reflect exactly where events take place?
- 10 A. Yes.
- Q. And we would be able to note from those statistics the time frame between the call for service and the arrival of someone from your force?
- 14 A. Yes.
- Q. And what is the average depth of the canals behind the South Spreader, do you know?
- 17 A. I do not.
- THE COURT: For the record, you said the

 "behind the South Spreader." I assume you mean behind

 the Chiquita lock.
- MR. HANNON: Thank you.
- THE COURT: Okay.
- MR. HANNON: Thank you. I have no other
- 24 questions.
- THE COURT: All right.

4

7

9

14

15

16

17

18

19

1 Any redirect?

MR. ASCHAUER: I do, Your Honor, a couple.

May I just finish my thought here?

THE COURT: Certainly.

Is it okay if Mr. Hannon stops screen

6 sharing, or do you need that picture up?

MR. ASCHAUER: I do not need that picture

8 up, Your Honor.

EXAMINATION

10 BY MR. ASCHAUER:

Q. Chief, let's start with the very last question that Mr. Hannon asked you about the statistics of the Department.

Are there times where the responses to -- or where responses to calls regarding the South Spreader Waterway are abandoned due to the lock's presence?

- A. I wouldn't say "abandoned," because we respond to any and everything. I would say significantly impacted or delayed.
- Q. Okay. Is that because you have to find another avenue to the emergency?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. Would that affect delay time -- response time?

 I'm sorry.
- A. Yes, it would.

2

3

- Q. And Mr. Hannon asked you a number of questions about some docking facilities inside and outside of the lock.
 - Do you recall those questions?
- 5 A. I do.
- Q. Do your marine units patrol the waters of Cape Coral?
- 8 A. Yes, they do.
- 9 Q. Do they sit around in an office all day waiting 10 for calls?
- 11 A. No. There's two different types of public marine 12 response, and I believe you'll hear from the fire chief 13 later. Theirs is more of respond-at-the-time, and ours 14 is a hybrid. We also respond to emergencies as they 15 come in, but we are on active patrol, moving patrol for 16 the bulk of the shift.
- Q. And after Mr. -- well, Chief, do you support the removal of the Chiquita Lock?
- 19 A. I do.
- Q. And do you still support the removal of the Chiquita Lock after Mr. Hannon's questions?
- 22 A. I do.
- THE COURT: Did you get your answer?
- THE REPORTER: I did not hear the answer.
- THE COURT: She didn't hear the answer.

- 1 What was your answer?
- THE WITNESS: I do support it.
- 3 BY MR. ASCHAUER:

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Q. I want to clarify. I asked you a question about responding to issues behind the lock.

Are there times when a marine response is abandoned in lieu of another type of response due to the presence of the lock?

A. I'm not aware of any particular instance where we have abandoned response. I'm pretty adamant that we -- even if it's hours later, we're going to respond. It could be an alternate response where it would be a land-based response based upon that. So it's almost two different questions -- or two different responses I have in my head.

We don't abandoned any call. We would never just throw our hands up and say, you know, "That's too hard." You know, we're going to go. It may be a redirect of the resource, if that's a better answer. We would go more land-based than marine-based, if that helps.

- O. It does.
- MR. ASCHAUER: Thank you, Your Honor.
- THE COURT: Thank you.
- All right. Is the witness executed?
- MR. ASCHAUER: Yes, Your Honor.

12/1/2023

21

22

23 MR. HANNON: Thank you. I need to operate

24 the equipment --

25 THE COURT: Okay.

```
1
                MR. HANNON: -- and try to do better.
 2
                Am I shared? Yes.
 3
                Your Honor, may it please the Court and my
4
    newfound colleagues, or respondents. This is a Google
5
    overhead. I'd like to orient the Court to the physical
6
    area of this part of Southwest Florida that we all
7
    enjoy, and what we're looking at here, obviously, is
8
    Matlacha.
9
                So if I begin to scroll out --
10
                MR. HENNESSY: I'm sorry, Your Honor. I
11
    didn't catch it. What is the exhibit number we're
12
    looking at?
13
                MR. HANNON: This is Google Earth.
14
                MR. HENNESSY:
                                Okay.
15
                THE COURT: It's not an exhibit, then.
16
    Okay.
17
                MR. HANNON: Right. It's just a
18
    demonstrative.
19
                So as we scroll out, we begin to see --
20
    forgive my voice -- we begin to see some of the
21
    geography that has already been mentioned here.
                                                      There's
22
    been a mention about Pine Island, which is to the left.
23
    This is little Pine Island, which is a preserve.
                                                       This
24
    is Matlacha, and we have Pine island Road, probably one
25
    of the oldest roads in Cape Coral, that runs east to
```

west. And you'll learn that that road pretty much runs the demarcation line between south Cape Coral and north Cape Coral.

And if we come out a little farther, we see Fort Myers, which has -- one, two, three, four -- five bridges. Across the river here, we see Cape Coral, which is actually a peninsula between the Caloosahatchee River and the Matlacha Aquatic Pass. And you'll learn from our expert, Kevin Irwin, that this land was purchased by a couple brothers from Baltimore in the '50s and '60s, who then began to dig canals and mound up the spoils of the digging of the canals to create locks. Prior to that, it was sort of a mini version of the Everglades.

If we step out a little more, we see some more well-known landmarks, such as Fort Myers Beach, Sanibel Captiva Island, Cayo Costa, which is a state park, and Boca Grande Gasparilla. These beaches all have white sand and are very popular for that reason. Pine Island is 20 miles long and has no white sand. Matlacha has no white sand.

So if we switch over to a layered version of Google maps, we then see some configurations of the waterways around these areas. And the photograph that was shown by Mr. Aschauer looks like it may have --

call the North Spreader.

1 might have been made from this type of -- of a feature.

And so what we see here is the

Caloosahatchee River, which we've spoken about ,and then

we have what was called the mangrove fringe. This is

actually -- will be referred to by Kevin Irwin as a

buffer. And where you see Matlacha and the bridge

coming across here in Route 75 is the demarcation

between what we've called the South Spreader and what we

So to be able to see in some more detail the South Spreader, here we have Rotary Park at the bottom, which was mentioned in the opening. Glover Bight is in the opening. And one comes in from the Caloosahatchee River into the South Spreader adjacent to the Westin at Marina Village. You go north, and then you head west, and this is where the Chiquita Lock is located, where my cursor is. And behind it is a marina, and that marina has many, many boats, very large boats that moor there.

And we see the dry storage facility for boats here outside the lock, and adjacent to it, there is a boat ramp which allows conventional delivery of boats into the water outside the spreader that may come out of the dry storage or be brought there by boaters who wish to get into the Caloosahatchee from that location.

So transport into the Chiquita Lock, one must travel at no wake speed. As soon as you're out of the Chiquita Lock, those restrictions are lifted. The South Spreader was built in the -- was built in the early '80s, late '70s after Kevin Erwin, who was, at that point, one of the very few ecologists with the Department of the Environmental Resources at the time, had been watching the construction that was being conducted in Cape Coral and the digging of the canals by the developer, GAC, and called a halt to it.

The Department, which at that time was pretty much run by the governor, supported Mr. Erwin in his insistence that the continued development westward of Cape Coral into these mangroves, digging these canals through the mangroves, had to cease. And the consequence of that action by the Department of Environmental Resources, at that point in time, was the largest bankruptcy in the history of the state of Florida; that is, the bankruptcy of GAC.

And the spreader system was created by

Mr. Erwin, in consultation with engineers and others, as
a compromise to prevent punishment of persons who had
bought lots in Cape Coral and were -- many of whom were
then living in Cape Coral, and a need to protect the
mangroves and the Matlacha Aquatic Pass and the

Caloosahatchee River from pollution.

And the spreader waterway system was unique for its time, and the South Spreader Waterway consisted of this main wide canal that goes south to north up to Trafalger, right about this location before this golf course. And the mechanism that that spreader waterway created was a detention system for all of the water that flowed off the impervious surfaces, off the lawns, off the roads, off of every surface of this area of Cape Coral.

All that water went into that canal, where it was detained by the Chiquita Boat Lock. It functioned as, in a sense, a water detention system. And the purpose of the system, which Mr. Erwin will describe to you, was to retain this water that was full of nutrients, pollutants, road oils, gasoline, runoff from yards in this wide spreader canal to allow it to experience a residency period during which those nutrients could, in some instances, fall to the bottom of the canal and become what we call legacy nutrients, or roll over the perimeter, the berm, of the canal on the west and through the mangroves.

And the benefit of that, Mr. Erwin will tell you, is that the mangroves took up the nutrients. The nutrients acted as fertilizer for the mangroves and

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 cleaned the water. Also, in those days, there were oysters and shellfish in this area which are little 3 factories for cleaning the water. And the system 4 depended upon this berm being maintained and the 5 Chiquita boat lock containing the water creating what's 6 called a head, so that what I just described to you can 7 take place. You asked whether this was a stormwater system - or stormwater management system. The City of Cape Coral later adopted it as a stormwater management 10 system, but it was anyway.

And you will learn that the stormwater pipes that come from the roads, all go into the South Spreader. There is no cleaning up stormwater before it's allowed to enter the South Spreader Waterway, which you will hear from experts is why, in part, the Caloosahatchee River is one of the most polluted rivers in Florida.

Similarly, on the north, Mr. Erwin, who is the author of this spreader waterway system, will explain to you the need to do the same in the north. The north is a bit different than the south because the south is almost completely built up residentially and commercially, and the north is less so. The south has a sewer system; the north less so, particularly farther north less so.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 And so what you see here as part of the 2 North Spreader perform the same function as I just 3 described to you in the South Spreader, and it travels a 4 little bit of a larger distance, and it goes all the way 5 up to a very, very short gap which goes out to Charlotte 6 Harbour. And as the population of Cape Coral increased, 7 more and more people bought lots along the canals north 8 of Pine Island Road where they could travel by boat out 9 to the spreader south through the lift. You see this boat lift. Get their butt up on it, swing it up over. 10 11 And go on out, and from there go out into the Matlacha 12 Aquatic Pass. They can go south near to Sanibel. 13 can go north over the top of Loquilla and out into the 14 Gulf of Mexico where there are fish galore, and there 15 are fish galore in all these areas of the Matlacha Pass 16 and Pine Island Sound.

There were folks who were so anxious to get out there, they used their boats to drive canals through the mangroves, and they did the same in an attempt to get around the Ceitus boat lift area. So this is the geography that's important to know. And you will hear from some witnesses who will talk about their actual experience when the Ceitus boat lift barrier was removed. It was located here. At is a written I can't vista park which is famous as a manatee viewing site.

25

You'll also hear from a gentleman by the name of Carl Dikert. Mr. Dikert came to Matlacha after

living other locations around the United States that he
thought were the ideal, most pristine place, to be on
the water. And Mr. Dikert purchased a four-room hotel
called Anglers Inn, located right where my cursor is on
this canal (indicating) that comes in behind the
Matlacha community park and over around behind Leoma
Lovegrove Gallery and Mr. Dikert will tell you what
happened to the waters of Matlacha, the Matlacha Aquatic
Pass, where it went under the Matlacha bridge, the
waters up over and into the bay here when the Ceitus
boat lift barrier was removed, and, in particular, when
red tide struck the coast of southwest Florida in 2018
destroying our tourist industry.

And one of the things that you'll hear, and I've gone back to the South Spreader. One of the things that you'll hear is that the Chiquita boat lock creates a closed system of canals over here (indicating) on the west or the southwest part of Cape Coral. The canals over here along the river are open. Open to the river. And in 2018 that's where algae, red tide, cyanotoxins and other dangerous and poisonous substances proliferated throughout the open canals of the City of Cape Coral. In the closed system, there was no occurrence of that phenomenon.

This is Plaintiffs' Exhibit 19, which is

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I will not be confused.

So

like an exhibit that was prepared by the -- the Department to prove Mr. Hoenstine's assertion that the problems of the North Spreader were caused by Hurricane Charlie. This is from the lead appraiser, and it's a time sequence. Here's the (indicating)^ -- see this boat lock -- boat lift. Here's the North Spreader. Here's the canal that comes out by Nancy's house and out into Matlacha. Here's the bridge. And this is a time sequence over years showing that once the spreader was removed --MR. HENNESSY: Your Honor, I'm going to object to the extent that we're now -- we've -- I quess clearly moved off of what I thought was just the current Google Earth map to this time sequence exhibit, which is clearly more than a demonstrative and not, you know, not on their exhibit list and certainly not been introduced by any expert. THE COURT: Well, this is still just opening statement. You know, there's -- I'm not accepting anything into evidence at this point. This is just opening statement, so I'll allow him to use it. MR. HENNESSY: All right, Your Honor.

notes during opening statements. It's not evidence.

THE COURT: I mean, you know, I don't take

25

established.

1 MR. HENNESSY: I agree because Mr. Hannon, I helieve --2 3 THE COURT: Thank you. Let's let him finish 4 his opening. 5 MR. HENNESSY: Yes, Your Honor. 6 MR. HANNON: So the sedimentation that you 7 see covered all the seagrass, covered all the oysters. 8 There's nothing left there today. Here's a difference 9 from 2003 where the lock was here, and 2018 where this 10 entire area is now -- is now dead. 11 This is Plaintiffs' Exhibit 139, page 1. 12 This is an overview of the area where the Chiquita Lock 13 here provides access to the canal and out to the 14 Caloosahatchee River. North of it, you can see the bridge of Matlacha. You can also see the mangrove 15 16 buffer that continues north, which, by the way, provided 17 a tremendous amount of protection to the City of Cape 18 Coral when Hurricane Ian came through. 19 Here you see a closer shot. Here's the 20 Chiquita Lock there. This is an area where the city now 21 says there are, what they call, breaches that come out. 22 And according to the city, have been delivering 23 pollution and nutrients into the Matlacha Aquatic Pass,

they say, since almost as soon as the system was

Now we're sharing what is part of Joint Exhibit 1. This is Joint Exhibit 1.48. And I'd like to describe the first of two phenomenon that we were going to suggest to Your Honor creates great difficulties for granting this application. At page 623, this is the -- these are the specific conditions that were spoken about by counsel for the City in his opening. This represents a conflict of the position between the Department and the City in this case. The City says that removal of the lock will not cause any nitrogen to go into the Caloosahatchee River. In fact, it will cause nitrogen to be sucked out of the Matlacha Pass and somehow or another remain in the South Spreader even though the lock is open.

This is a condition that the Department imposed on this permit. The Department is saying in paragraph 13, that you must offset 58.062 -- 58,632 pounds per year of nitrogen by mitigating that addition of nitrogen into the Caloosahatchee River. This is a case where the Department is saying to the City, We know you say you're not going to pollute the river. But we don't agree with you, and we think that 58,632 pounds of nitrogen are going to go into the river. So the city says, Okay. We will mitigate that.

Now, Your Honor will learn there are lots of

ways to mitigate degradation of water so that you can be granted a permit. You can -- you can mitigate. You can buy credits out of a system. You can figure out ways to mitigate in that particular location.

This method of mitigating has never been accepted in an ERP because these are assumptions. These are not measured numbers. The City will have to engage in aquatic vegetation harvesting for which the scientist model a credit of 14,000 pounds of nitrogen per year based upon their modelling of what harvesting vegetation would accomplish. The Caloosahatchee Connect river crossing reuse project is the project on the right where you see the green pipe.

And incidentally, Fort Myers is dumping its pollution right off the shores of where the Edison and Ford museums are where they had summer homes. And they continue to do that. It's not built yet, Your Honor, and what the City of Cape Coral has done is they've entered into an agreement with Fort Myers to have that water cross the river and be cleaned. That's a great thing. And to do that, they get 20 percent of the credits that the modelers conclude are going to be the benefit to the water of doing that. It's a model assumption of how much that project is going to reduce nutrients.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But it's going to reduce nutrients in the river. Not in the spreader. It has no impact on the nitrogen that's coming out of the spreader. And they get 20 percent, which is simply part of the deal they Catch basins are supposed to be maintained Dog parks are supposed to be maintained anyway. anyway. And they talked about Glover Bight. Glover Bight is outside the lock, but these numbers are close Then we have the 41,965 BMAP reduction to fictitious. credits that somehow or another are going to be shifted away from the Basin Management Action Plan that Cape Coral must follow. To this project. I saw nothing in the application in Joint Exhibit No. 1 that demonstrated that this methodology for mitigating admittedly 58,000 pounds of nitrogen per

Exhibit No. 1 that demonstrated that this methodology for mitigating admittedly 58,000 pounds of nitrogen per year going into the Caloosahatchee River has ever been found to be valid. This project, the reduction excess comes from a 2015 plan to build sewers in the south of Cape Coral. And the experts formulate that credit in a manner that's accepted by the Department for the BMAP.

The second thing I want to bring to your attention is part of Joint Exhibit 1.05, page 77.

Okay. Forgive me. Thank you for your patience.

This page, here we go, is figure 12. This

is in the application to model water levels. But I want to use this to explain what I call the recirculator theory. The recirculator theory has it that since almost when this system was set up, nitrogen and other pollutants that have been gathered in the spreader canal at the south have been going out through breaches into the Matlacha Aquatic Pass.

So this theory is admitting to the public that they've been sending pollutants into the Matlacha Aquatic Pass for decades. And the Matlacha Aquatic Pass since 2015 is now impaired. It's also an outstanding Florida water, and it's on the EPA 303 list.

So what the theory is now is, with the removal of the lock, the flow of water is going to reverse. That's why I call is the recirculator theory, and they're going to begin to suck water up out of the Matlacha Aquatic Pass. They're going to bring nutrients in from the aquatic pass. They're going to go down the spreader waterway, and they won't be detained by the lock because the lock won't be there any more. However, they won't go out into the river because the theory is, once they get down to where the lock used to be, all the water there is just going to slosh around with the tides, in and out, slosh around theory; it's like a bathtub. And even though today there are tens of of

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

thousands, hundreds of thousands of square yards of water that go out of the spreader and out of the Caloosahatchee River, nutrients that are going to come in through the recirculator are not going to get out into the river.

The entire theory of the mitigation that they plan is based upon the BMAP system. The BMAP system was created 20 years ago, and it's a FDEP-created system where they try to model the total amount of nitrogen and figure out a way that everybody has to take it out and who has to take out how much. It's an entirely modeled system, and events of the last three years have shown that it is devastatingly failing.

That the modeling of the nutrients in our water basin is -- in reality, we have 280 percent more pollutants in this water basin than the model predicted that created the BMAP system. So we have essentially, I think, four problems; no reasonable assurances that what happened in the north won't happen again; the recirculator theory, which is a product of modeling that defies common sense; we have the use of credits under the BMAP system, which doesn't represent any kind of measured proof that the degradation standards of Florida waters wasn't be respected here; and the fact that these projects aren't even in this particular watershed.

Thursday and Friday?

1	MR. HENNESSY: No. We have inquired
2	
۷	THE COURT: Okay.
3	MR. HENNESSY: and it is available
4	Thursday and Friday.
5	THE COURT: Okay.
6	MR. HENNESSY: It's just a question of
7	whether it works on your calendar or not.
8	THE COURT: Okay. All right.
9	I definitely can do a large portion of the
10	day on Thursday. Friday is going to be tough, but I'm
11	still looking at whether I can rework it if we need it.
12	But let's try to plow through.
13	Deputy, is there any food in the building or
14	do we have to leave the building?
15	THE BAILIFF: There is not.
16	THE COURT: Okay.
17	THE BAILIFF: You've got to go down to the
18	front door and go right out right across the street
19	is a little breezeway to the Law and Order Cafe. They
20	have hot meals, soups and sandwiches. And then one
21	block over is Main Street. We've got tons of
22	restaurants down on Main Street. Whatever you want.
23	SPEAKER: But Law and Order is better. He's
24	a partner in that.
25	THE COURT: Given that, how long do we think

1 it will take for everyone to get some decent sustenance 2 and get back here? Do we need a full hour? 3 MR. HENNESSY: 45 minutes? Well, we were 4 expecting a police escort to the restaurant. 5 THE COURT: All right. Let's try that. 6 Let's adjourn and we'll reconvene at 2:15. 7 And for those of you on Zoom, I am leaving 8 it open. Please do not get out of the Zoom call if you 9 don't have to, because that will limit of the number of people I need to be admitting when we return. 10 11 Thank you. 12 (Recess.) 13 THE COURT: All right are we ready to go 14 back on the record ? 15 MR. HENNESSY: Yes, Your Honor. We're 16 ready. 17 THE COURT: Madam Court Reporter? 18 THE REPORTER: Yes. 19 THE COURT: All right. Then we'll go back 20 on the record. 21 Mr. Hennessy, you may call your next 22 witness. 23 MR. HENNESSY: Your Honor, the City would 24 call Steven Neff, professional engineer. 25 THEREUPON,

1	WITNESS,
2	Being by me first duly sworn to tell the truth testifies
3	as follows:
4	THE WITNESS: I do.
5	THE COURT: Thank you.
6	You my proceed, Mr. Hennessy.
7	DIRECT EXAMINATION
8	BY MR. HENNESSY:
9	Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Neff.
10	A. Good afternoon.
11	Q. Could you please state your name and current
12	employment for the record?
13	A. Steven Michael Neff. AIM Engineering &
14	Surveying.
15	Q. Mr. Neff, could you please spell your name, both
16	the first and last?
17	A. Okay. First name is Steven, S-T-E-V-E-N. Last
18	name is Neff, N-E-F-F.
19	Q. And I'm going to show you City's Exhibit C50,
20	titled, "Steven M. Neff, PE professional profile."
21	THE COURT: Did the parties have the
22	parties stipulated to areas of expertise of any of the
23	expert witnesses?
24	MR. HENNESSY: Don't know, Your Honor. I
25	don't think so.

A.

1	THE COURT: Okay.
2	MR. HENNESSY: We would be offering Mr. Neff
3	as an expert professional engineer.
4	THE COURT: Okay. Is there any objection to
5	the qualifications of Mr. Neff as an expert engineer?
6	MR. HOENSTINE: No objection from the
7	Department.
8	MR. HANNON: No, Your Honor.
9	THE COURT: Okay. That may just help you
10	streamline some of your preliminaries.
11	MR. HENNESSY: Yes. Absolutely, Your Honor.
12	THE COURT: I don't know. The deputy might
13	want you to give it to him the next time.
14	Let's just go off the record while we fix
15	this.
16	(Recess.)
17	THE COURT: Let's go back on the record.
18	Mr. Hennessy, you may continue.
19	MR. HENNESSY: Thank you, Your Honor.
20	BY MR. HENNESSY:
21	Q. Since you have been accepted as an expert
22	professional engineer, I'm going to curtail my
23	questioning. I would like to have you briefly state
24	your educational history.

I have bachelor's in science in civil engineering

1 from Purdue University.

DANIEL CARNEY, JAMES COLLIER, & KEVIN SPARKS V. CITY OF CAPE CORAL

2

3

7

8

11

12

20

- O. Go Boilermakers.
- A. Go Boilers, yes. Number 1.
- Q. Are you currently a registered professional engineer in the state of the Florida?
 - A. I have. I have been continuously since 1983.
 - Q. Do you hold any memberships in any professional associations?
- A. Yes, sir. American Public Works Association and the Florida Stormwater Association.
 - Q. Has your professional engineering experience been focused on stormwater and public works?
- 13 A. Yes, sir, it has.
- Q. All right. Do you have any experience in the management of the design and permitting of projects requiring environmental resource permits from the Department of Environmental Protection?
- A. Yes, I do. That's been part of any career, so
 I've been involved in numerous permits.
 - Q. Okay. Do you have any particular experience with the City of Cape Coral or its surrounding areas?
- A. I'd say that's the -- probably the most

 experience I have. I worked for the City of Cape Coral

 for almost 32 years in public works -- as a public works

 director near the -- at various times in my career, and

THE WITNESS: Okay.

24

MR. HENNESSY: Speak like you see all those

people, you know, when you're talking to the Senate.

25

1 THE WITNESS: Okay. 2 MR. HENNESSY: Kind of hunched over. 3 THE WITNESS: At this point, yeah, I see all 4 the -- am I supposed to just see the -- basically, the 5 Zoom screen with the people versus the screen? 6 Okay. Thank you. 7 BY MR. HENNESSY: 8 So you can see the exhibit now? 0. 9 I can now. Α. Thank you. 10 Ο. Okay. Great. 11 I appreciate you bringing that to my attention, 12 because I have no idea what you're seeing on that TV. I 13 assume it's --14 Yeah, my resume. I'm pretty comfortable with my 15 But, yes, it's now there. Thank you. resume. 16 Okay. Did you have any experience with 17 stormwater projects for the City? 18 I certainly did. That was big part -- that was 19 one of the many things I did. So the stormwater utility 20 was under my leadership for a number of years. I was 21 part of the initiation of the stormwater utility in the 22 City of Cape Coral, so I had a lot of involvement in 23 stormwater issues throughout the years.

Q. Did you have any experience with the dredging of canals within the City of Cape Coral?

- A. Yes. That was one of my responsibilities, and we developed a canal-dredging master plan during my tenure.
 - Q. Are you familiar with the existence of weirs, or water control structures, within the City of Cape Coral separating freshwater from saltwater canals?
 - A. Yes, very, very familiar with the weirs throughout Cape Coral, both from my almost 32 years with the City as well as with AIM Engineering. We did a project recently, a weir maintenance master plan, so I've seen virtually all of them, touched them, and am well aware of them and how they operate.
 - Q. Prior to being involved in this permit, did you have any particular experience with the South Spreader Waterway?
 - A. Certainly involved with it one of the -- one of the projects that I was involved with, we had received a grant from FDEP for plugging the breaches in the South Spreader and so I was working with our -- engineer of record was Ablong Engineering, working with them on that project, so I was involved with that which we did not take to completion because there became a requirement to maintain the -- these improvements in perpetuity. And based on discussions with our engineer of record that we were going have a maintenance problem because they weren't really going to be sustainable to fully plug the

- breaches, so we stepped away from that. The City of
 Cape Coral stood away from that activity.
 - Q. You said that that project was in the 1990s?
 - A. It was in the '90s, yes, sir.
 - Q. So even in the 1990s, these areas of transfer of water between tidal canals and South Spreader Waterway were considered breaches?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Okay. And I'm sorry. Did you testify as to what ultimately was done about those breaches? I understand you said the city didn't --
 - A. The City didn't pursue it after the FDEP picked it up on their own, and had Wilson Miller design improvements to the breaches. I won't say plugs because they are -- they're not designed to be full plugs. They are -- they have notches so there's -- they look like a weir, so they're -- they have a 10 foot by approximately 2 foot notches so they -- their discharge is controlled primarily through those notches. At certain levels it discharges over the entire structure, but -- but it's not a full plug. And it's clear it's not designed to be a full plug.
 - Q. So there has -- the structures that are in place are intent to allow a transfer of water both into and out of the South Spreader Waterway?

1 A. Yes.

9

10

11

- Q. All right.
- THE COURT: I'm not hearing an objection as
 to leading. But it's much more helpful to me if you
 allow your expert witness to explain those things for
 me.
- 7 MR. HENNESSY: Sure. I'm sorry, Your Honor. 8 I'm just trying to move quickly.
 - THE COURT: I know. Especially since it's an area in which I have no expertise, and also don't be surprised if I interrupt and ask questions of your witness directly.
- MR. HENNESSY: No. That's fine. I'm
 familiar with that. That's a very common experience.
- Where is the laser pointer?
- May I approach the witness, Your Honor.
- 17 THE COURT: Yes, you may.
- 18 BY MR. HENNESSY:
- 19 Q. Are you familiar with those?
- A. They're all different, so far, so good.
- Q. All right. Could you point out, using the map on the wall, the South Spreader Waterway that we're referring to? And can you explain for the Judge the location of some of the breaches that -- that you investigated in your time at the City of Cape Coral?

1	A. This is a location approximate location of
2	Breach 20; that's the largest breach by far. It looks
3	like a it looks like a creek. It's a pretty wide
4	open body of water. FDEP, Wilson Miller is their
5	designer
6	It is coming? Am I loud enough now?
7	so it looks like a creek. It's pretty wide
8	opening for breach number 20, again. By far the
9	largest. The FDEP design putting basically concrete
10	bags, stacked concrete bags, sort of a pyramid-looking
11	device that is basically level with the soil adjacent to
12	that west side of the berm. In the middle of those
13	concrete bags the concrete bags drop by about 2 feet
14	by 10 foot wide, and they're still concrete bags that go
15	all the way down to the breach of water body.
16	But it's it's a control connection to some
17	degree, so there's that's the device that was
18	installed, to plug up the entire breach, and a similar
19	device was installed in Breach 16 and 17 which are just
20	a little bit north, like a half mile to a mile north of
21	Breach 20.
22	THE COURT: You said that same type of
23	THE WITNESS: Same type.
24	(Speaking simultaneously.)^

THE COURT: -- structures.

- Judge Suzanne Van Wyk 1 The breaches are not nearly THE WITNESS: 2 as big, but the same type of device was installed. 3 BY MR. HENNESSY: 4 All right. As part of this permit, did you also O. 5 visit these breaches and determine the existing 6 conditions? 7 I did. Yes, I did. Α. 8 Explain to the Judge what your -- what your 0. 9 current observations were of these breaches? 10 Well, there's definitely water moving at times rapidly between.
- 11
- THE COURT: Tell me what a time frame is 12 13 here, when you...
- 14 THE WITNESS: When I was there?
- 15 BY MR. HENNESSY:
- 16 The application was filed in 2021 --Ο.
- 17 Α. 2021, yes.
- 18 -- so subsequent to the filing of the
- 19 application, did you do a site visit?
- 20 I did a site visit, yes, subsequent to that.
- 21 At that site visit, did you -- was the site visit Ο.
- 22 via boat?
- 23 Α. A kayak, actually, so yes.
- 24 Okay. And did you go to the breaches? Ο.
- 25 Yes, we did. Α.

- Q. Including Breach 20 that you were talking about?
- A. Yes.

- Q. Can you describe for me what you observed in terms of the conditions of Breach 20?
- A. So you have the -- continue to have a big connection, a big wide body and connection between the South Spreader Waterway and Breach 20. And the water moves through the notch, and at times, depending on the water elevations, tide elevations, you'll see water moving over the top of the concrete bags also. Not as much, obviously, but in the -- it will fill up the notch and at times go over the top of the concrete bags.
- Q. And were you able to navigate your kayak through the breach?
- A. We -- I didn't try. It's -- we stood on top of it. We walked on it. You had to be careful. At different -- certain tides, the water is moving pretty quickly through those connections, so we went to it, stood on it, took photos, took measurements of it. Same with 16 and 17, which, again, is much smaller. Much easier -- it's easier to, like, lots of mangroves around it, but also observe it.
- Q. Now, did this structure at Breach 20, has it changed since the work that the Department did, you know, prior -- I guess, pursuant to the Wilson Miller --

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

A. Difficult to tell. It is not built exactly. It's similar; it's very similar to the way Wilson Miller designed it. The depths are a little different. Could that be through deterioration or may -- I could not find record drawings of -- I have design plans, Your Honor, not the final construction plans. The notch was bigger than what was anticipated or shown in the design. It

In looking at early surveys, it looked like there might have been deterioration. It's hard to tell. It might have filled in with, you know, organisms on there, so it's difficult to tell.

Q. By organisms, you mean things like oysters?

was supposed to be 16 inches; it's like 2 feet.

- 14 A. Yes.
- 0. And barnacles?
- 16 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Is that indicative, oysters and barnacles, is that indicative of estuarine environment?
- 19 A. Yes, sir, it is.
- Q. And with regard to the flow of water that you saw, was that flow in one direction, or was it -- has it been -- have you observed it flowing in both directions in and out of that breach?
- A. While I was there -- for the time I was there, it was flowing out.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. All right. In the past, have you seen it flowing into the canal?
 - A. I can't recall.
 - Q. All right. In your experience at the City of Cape Coral and at AIM Engineering, has the South Spreader Waterway ever been a freshwater system?
 - A. No, it has not.
 - Q. What is your understanding of the -- of the nature of the salinity of the South Spreader Waterway?
 - A. It's a brackish system.
 - Q. What do you mean by brackish?
- A. It's not fresh. It's not fresh. The salinity is above freshwater use.
- Q. Is it -- is it below the salinity for an open ocean marine environment?
- 16 A. Yes.
 - Q. Okay. And with regard to this environmental resource permit, what has your role been concerning the South Spreader Waterway improvement project?
 - A. I've been the agent for the City of Cape Coral, so they are portions of the document that I've been fully responsible for. The entire document I've been responsible for assembling with team member assistance and submitting to the Florida Department of Environmental Protection for a permit.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Now, can you briefly describe for us the initial process you went through in approaching designing and permitting a project for the -- this environmental resource permit?
- Α. Yes, I can. So I reviewed the previous application to learn from that application. I learned also and read what the concerns were with the previous application for the civil engineering portions, the concerns seem, as far as the design for the removal, seem -- there didn't seem to be any, so the design seemed really fairly good, so the design at AIM Engineering under -- with my seal, prepared is very similar, not identical, to the AIM one and to the one previously submitted. We did our due diligence. did an updated survey of the area, found a 4-D immediate footprint of the lock and immediately adjacent very little had changed, so we prepared the plans based on That was my first step. that.
- Q. All right. After that first investigation step of looking into the prior designs for removal of the lock, did you and the permitting team perform a full environmental assessment of the long-term impacts have from having the lock removed and the associated project?
- A. Yes. The team did that so we had Brown and Caldwell come on board -- on board with that team to

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

- perform a full environmental assessment looking at many issues.
 - Q. Would that include looking at potential secondary or indirect impacts from the removal of the lock?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Did -- did the environmental assessment include any hydrodynamic modeling work?
 - A. Yes, it did.
 - Q. Did that hydrodynamic modelling work look at any particular parameters?
- 11 A. To the output from that model looked at salinity, 12 looked at nitrogen nutrient loadings. Water levels.
 - Q. Did it also look at any change in currents that might occur from -- or the velocity of water that might occur with the lock removal?
 - A. It did. It looked at that location specifically. It also looked at that, yes. As did I, in the engineering portion also. So both of us looked at that, yes.
- Q. Okay. Great. I'm showing you what's Exhibit
 J -- Joint Exhibit 1.01. It's entitled, "Application
 For Individual and Conceptual Approval Environmental
 Resource Permit State 404 Permit Program Permit and
 Authorization to Use State Owned Submerged Lands."
- Do you recognize this document?

5

6

7

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- 1 A. Yes, I do.
 - Q. What do you recognize it to be?
- A. Our application for the South Spreader environmental improvement sustainability project.
 - MR. HENNESSEY: Mr. Perrigan, if you could move to the next page of the application. I'm sorry. Stop to show the bottom.

8 BY MR. HENNESSEY:

- 9 Q. So in fact -- is this a document, Joint Exhibit
 10 1.01, in fact, an application that you prepared for the
 11 South Spreader Waterway environmental improvement and
 12 sustainability program?
 - A. It is. With team assistance as necessary at certain times, but yes.
 - Q. All right. Now, just at the outset, is this permit application for the same project that was applied for several years ago where a permit was issued but ultimately denied?
 - A. No. No. It's definitely not. It has a number of projects that are -- the City has put forth as part of the lock removal, so it's not the same project.
 - Q. Okay. Are you listed in the application as the registered professional consultant for the project?
- A. Yes, I am.
- Q. All right. And was this application submitted to

- 1 the Department in August of 2021?
- Maybe go to page 2.
 - A. Thank you.
- THE COURT: There might have even been a stipulation as to the date that it was applied for.
- 6 MR. HENNESSY: Yeah, could have been.
- 7 Actually, I think that it's reflected in the e-mail,
- 8 | which is a different document, so...
- 9 BY MR. HENNESSY:
- 10 Q. Do you recall submitting this in -- in 2021?
- 11 A. Yes, I do recall submitting it in 2021.
- 12 Q. Thank you.
- Well, I want to go through some attachments to
- 14 this. The first attachment is the engineering report.
- 15 It's identified as Attachment A, which is Joint Exhibit
- 16 1.004.
- 17 A. Thank you.
- Also has my date on it there, so -- that's my sign and seal date on it.
- Q. All right. Let's roll down to that.
- What's the date on this engineering report?
- 22 A. August 27th, 2021.
- Q. Okay. And do you believe that it's approximately
- the date that the application itself was submitted?
- 25 A. Yes.

1	Q.	All	righ	t. And	you	ı've	menti	oned	а	signed	
2	signatı	ıre a	and a	seal.	Is	that	for	you,	Mr	. Neff?	,

- A. Yes, it is.
- Q. All right. And so you prepared -- you personally prepared Attachment A, this engineering report?
- 6 A. I did.

4

5

7

8

9

10

- Q. Does this report include looking at the engineering aspects needed for removal of the lock?
- A. Yes, it does.
- Q. Does it discuss or identify the reason for removing the lock?
- 12 A. Yes, it does.
- 0. Do you recall what those reasons were?
- A. Yes. There's a number of reasons that are -that are listed there. So certainly impediment to
 navigation and safety. Navigation safety is one of the
 issues.
- Q. And I'm sorry to interrupt you, but if -- Mr.

 Pair can scroll down if there's a particular page that

 will help you.
- A. Yeah, thank you. It's the beginning of the report, yeah, the introduction -- I think it's the introduction.
- Yep. So scroll down just a little more.
- Yeah. "Removal of the locks" -- I won't read it

hurricane.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

- all, but I'm sure -- safety and efficiency of vessel navigation, the potential passage by fish and other aquatic organisms, potential for future failure of the 4 It's happened in the past, so if you -- and challenged it's happened now, I guess, thanks to the hurricane. But it's happened in the past prior to the
 - Injuries to endangered species, such as manatees, and any harmful erosion associated with if you have a future failure of the lock, potential damage to wetlands.
- 12 So those are the issues.
- All right. Now, Mr. Neff, this application was 13 Ο. 14 filed before Hurricane Ian struck the area?
- 15 Α. Yes.
- 16 And so at the time the application was filed, was 17 the -- was the lock generally working?
- 18 Yes, it was. Α.
- 19 To your knowledge, though, did it experience 20 maintenance issues?
- 21 Α. Well, there are ongoing maintenance issues. But, 22 yeah, it was working -- generally working, yes.
- 23 What kind maintenance issues do you know that the Q. 24 city experienced with the lock?
- 25 Well, historically -- again, that's -- I had Α.

significant involvement with the -- a two-month shutdown. It's a tough environment in the saltwater world with metal in the saltwater, so it's had significant issues. The gates are -- the gates and components that operate the gates that are mostly metal in a highly corrosive -- even in a brackish environment, highly corrosive environment.

So things are deteriorating.

- Q. Well, tell me about that two-month shutdown.
- A. Personally, it was painful as a public works director because no one wants to be shut down. The boaters are not happy to be out of commission and not be able to get from one side to the other, so we expedited as much as we could. The gates were actually shipped up to Jacksonville. We had a fabricator that had to do major reconditioning --
- Q. You're kind of jumping around a little bit, Mr. Neff.
- 19 A. Okay.
- Q. Kind take a second, maybe, and explain for the Court. What was it that had to be repaired for that two-month shutdown?
 - A. Well, virtually everything. But the major component that took the most time, the -- there are gates on this lock. There are sector gates, if that

25

reporter can let me know if she's having trouble, for

THE COURT: All right. Well, the court

1	sure.
2	Are you having any trouble, Madam Court
3	Reporter?
4	THE REPORTER: I was getting ready to speak
5	up.
6	THE COURT: Okay.
7	THE REPORTER: He is moving quickly.
8	THE COURT: Okay. Is it that Mr. Neff
9	speaks quickly?
10	THE REPORTER: Yes.
11	THE COURT: Okay.
12	THE WITNESS: People from Indiana don't hear
13	that very often, but
14	MR. HENNESSY: All right.
15	BY MR. HENNESSY:
16	Q. Perhaps using the laser pointer, if you could
17	point out to the judge what it is does it work on the
18	screen?
19	On the screen behind you, you can point out to
20	the judge what you're referring to as the gates and the
21	pizza shape.
22	You need to speak. Explain you need to
23	verbally speak.
24	THE WITNESS: Okay. Your Honor I could
25	see she was nodding her head.

Judge Suzanne Van Wyk 1 Those are the two gates. 2 THE COURT: I can see the gates that look 3 like pizza slices, yes. I got it. Thank you. 4 BY MR. HENNESSY: 5 Was there a significant cost associated with 6 getting these gates replaced? 7 Α. Yes, there was. 8 Do you recall what it was? 0. 9 Α. I do not. 10 Are we talking about millions of dollars? Ο. 11 Hundreds of thousands. I don't know -- I don't Α. 12 think it was millions. 13 Ο. Okay. Several hundred thousand dollars per gate? 14 Α. I think total -- and again, this was -- this is 15 20-some years ago, so the cost today would be much 16 different than the cost then.

- Q. Okay. So I want to turn to page -- Figure 7 on page eight.
- MR. HENNESSY: There you go. Maybe you

 could enlarge us a little bit. Little too far. There

 we go. No. Kind of shrink it down a little bit.
- 22 BY MR. HENNESSY:
- Q. Can you explain for us -- I'm looking at what
 looks like a picture with no lock. Can you explain to
 me what's being depicted here?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

15

16

17

18

19

20

- A. To -- for -- this is the represent the desired recommended option for lock removal. We looked at three different options. This is the recommended one.
- Q. Okay. Perhaps you could just slowly go through the three different options that you looked at.
- A. So the first option that we looked at for this location -- for the lock location was to leave the majority of the structure in -- where it is, and only remove the gates.
- Q. Maybe if we scroll back to Figure 6. And again, you can turn around and use your pointer, if need be.
- MR. HENNESSY: Make that figure 5. Keep going. Okay. Right there.
- 14 BY MR. HENNESSY:
 - Q. Point out to the judge the lock and the different, I guess, land sides of the lock.
 - A. South, north, lock building, the lock itself. So the first option was just remove the gates. Therefore, boats could traverse the area without obstruction. The downside to that is the velocities are significant,
- 21 | not --
- Q. What velocities?
- A. The water velocities. The South Spreader canal velocities within that lock chamber are significant.
 - Q. Is there an engineering term for what causes

3

4

5

15

16

17

18

- 1 increased velocities through a small area like that?
 - That's the formula. So the smaller Α. Q equals VA. the area, same amount of water going through, it's going to go faster.
 - Is that sometimes referred to the Venturi effect?
- 6 Could be, yes. Α. Wow.
- 7 Ο. Okay.
- 8 Α. Yes.
- 9 One the few things I remember from science, high 10 Anyway, so that option, just removing the 11 gates, was that rejected?
- 12 That was rejected for safety reasons. Α.
- 13 All right. What was the other alternative that Ο. 14 was looked at?
 - The second option that was looked at was to Α. remove evert -- all of the facility, all the structure for the entire width of the spreader canal.
 - And when you refer to "structure," are you including what looks like a -- you know, land?
- 20 Α. Everything within the seawalls that are Yes. 21 projecting into the spreader canal, the lock building, 22 the locks, the seawalls, and earthen material on the 23 south side. So you would have a -- a very -- you'd be 24 consistent with the rest of the spreader canal and have 25 the widest facility possible.

1 I'm going to back up just a THE COURT: second. 2 3 MR. HENNESSY: Sure. 4 THE COURT: So in option one, I understand 5 that the increase in water velocity was the reason the 6 option was rejected. But what's wrong with that? 7 What's wrong with increasing the water velocity? 8 THE WITNESS: It's a boater safety issue. 9 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. 10 It's -- navigating that is THE WITNESS: 11 highly unrecommended -- not recommended. It's -- so 12 it's navigation safety. 13 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. 14 BY MR. HENNESSY: 15 Are there other navigation safety issues 16 associated with a 25-foot channel for this -- for this 17 waterway? 18 The 20-foot channel is -- it's -- a 20-foot 19 channel is a challenge period, even with a fully 20 functioning lock it's a challenge, but with high velocities that 20-foot narrow -- 20 foot relatively 21 22 narrow -- 20-foot channel creates challenges for 23 individual boaters, and if you were -- had to take, as 24 is shown in the photo where there are boats queued up

that would magnify that problem.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

23

24

- boats in two directions?
 - A. I don't recommend doing it. Period. In two directions would be -- wow. No, would not be safe.
 - Q. So, I mean, currently when the -- when the lock was operating, is it a single direction at a time boat lock, or is it a -- does it allow passage in dual directions?
 - A. No, it's operates as a single -- single direction at a time, so eastbound they're a go, complete their movement, and the westbounders would -- you know, once the eastbounders leave, then the west bounders would enter, and then repeat.
 - Q. Okay. And how is it decided or controlled as to, you know, which direction a boat gets to go in? Is that the lock tender?
 - A. That's the lock tender, yeah.
- Q. Okay. All right. So if you had a situation
 where you had no gates, are you also creating a
 situation that's, I guess, essentially a navigation
 free-for-all?
 - A. Again, it's just would be -- it's not recommended. One direction to your point, two directions would be -- the one direction is unsafe, so

- 1 two directions would be even more unsafe. So, yeah.
 - Q. But what I mean is, if you remove, simply remove, the lock gates, you now have an uncontrolled situation?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. How is it determined which boats go when?
 - A. Would be a big challenge, yes. Would be a challenge. How about, it's a challenge, yes.
 - Q. All right. What was -- okay. Why was the second alternative of removing the lock and the land mass on both sides of the lock not chosen as the recommended project?
 - A. Couple issues. So the -- that's as far as it goes I think.

So removing -- removing this material on the west side, you're getting close to the mangroves. You have an opportunity to create erosion. There is a concrete bottom that you obviously can't see. At the bottom of boat lock is the concrete bottom so other potential erosion constraints. On the north side, to remove it, in line with the other seawall, which is the full width of the spreader, doesn't really give you room to build your seawall. So there's constraints on that side also. Would be a challenge to construct that based on the city's available land to build it.

Q. So the -- removing the lock to the full width of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- the channel was rejected for both engineering and environmental reasons?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Okay. So let's go back to figure 7, which is the rendering of what the permitted project would look like and perhaps you can explain a little bit to the Judge the details of the proposed lock removal project?
 - Α. So for what you're looking at now, this is an -it's a Photoshop of the actual lock that you were just This is a reasonable depiction of our looking at. engineering plans and what would be the result of our engineering plans for removal of the lock. As you can see, Your Honor, on the south side you'll see the seawall still jutting out there, so we're not touching What you can't see under water is a concrete that. bottom, slab, to the lock, we're leaving that in place No reason to take that out, in our opinion. also. that stays. So there's no erosion with removing that, no erosion with removing that wall.

On the north side, you see the seawall juts out a little -- it juts out 20 feet from the other -- from the seawall that you see adjacent -- on the adjacent properties and that allows you space to appropriately construct a seawall with tie backs for the seawalls so the seawall won't fall over, so it's structurally sound.

It seems like a great design in that, as you can see from the -- this is a great time -- great time, great photo. You see on the south side the mangroves are nearly -- they're not as far as that seawall, but nearly, so not touch them, not doing erosion, not getting in there is a great idea.

On the north side, you can see already you have boat slips both to the west and to the east, so really not creating a great impact by constructing a seawall in the most logical place anyway, based on available land. So this is the option that was -- provides a 125-foot-width canal in that location.

So it's wide enough that that velocity issue that we talked about earlier with the 20-foot channel goes away. And I looked that, as did our Brown and Caldwell team member also, and came up with a similar -- the same answer, in different ways, that that's an acceptable velocity with that 125-foot-width canal.

- Q. All right. And this option, option three, does it allow for the passage of boats in opposite directions at the same time?
- A. Yes, it does.
 - Q. All right. By the way, during his opening,
 Mr. Hannon stated something about the boater regulations
 for the South Spreader Waterway somehow ending at the

Judge Suzanne Van Wyk

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

point of the lock.

What is your understanding of the boater regulations, particularly with regard to speed, both upstream and downstream of the lock, currently?

- A. That would be slow speed for both sides.
- Q. So, to your knowledge, is there a -- there's no change in the regulation on either -- from either side of the lock?
- 9 A. No.
- Q. Okay. Is that slow speed consistent for the canals in -- the saltwater canals in Cape Coral?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. Now, in looking at the removal of the lock, did
 you also study the potential impacts to water levels and
 navigation?
- 16 A. Yes, I did.
- 17 | O. Okay.
- MR. HENNESSY: Perhaps, we could scroll down a little bit. About there.
- 20 BY MR. HENNESSY:
- Q. In fact, there's a paragraph titled, "Potential upstream water level and navigation impacts," and it uses the term "upstream." Is that -- I take it, then, there's no potential impacts to water levels or navigation downstream?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

23

24

- A. No, there is not.
- Q. Okay. Downstream today, with the lock in place, is that a -- is the -- are the water conditions tidally influenced or not?
 - A. Yes, they are.
 - O. Without restriction?
 - A. Without restriction. That's correct.
 - Q. And is the expectation that that with removal of the lock, those conditions that exist outside the lock, immediately adjacent to the lock, will essentially be replicated upstream of the lock?
- 12 A. Yes.
 - Q. All right. Did your study include any investigation into what restrictions may currently exist on navigation, both upstream and downstream of the lock?
 - A. Yes. That's -- yes, indeed.
 - Q. What were your conclusions?
- A. Minimal impact to upstream. Of course, no impact to downstream. Looked at -- we have bathymetric survey data for the canals upstream of the lock. We have some bathymetric data for the canals downstream of the lock.

 So, yeah, no -- certainly no impact downstream.
 - Q. Well, are there -- in looking at those elevations downstream, are those elevations the same or shallower than elevations upstream?

- 1 There are some that are higher -- or that are Α. 2 more restrictive, but the depth is less downstream than 3 There are some locations that are half it is upstream. 4 a foot to a foot higher downstream of the lock than 5 upstream of the lock. 6 So today, a boater has as many or more concerns 7 with regard to bottoming out downstream of the lock than 8 he does upstream of the lock? 9 You would have those concerns downstream -- if 10 it's okay to scroll down to my chart with the colors --11 Sure. Absolutely. Ο. 12 -- I think it might be helpful. Α. 13 So, yes, there are locations south of the lock 14 that have obstructions that do not exist immediately 15 upstream of the lock --16 MR. HENNESSY: There. That's --17 THE REPORTER: Hold on. Hold on. You quys 18 can't talk at the same time. 19 BY MR. HENNESSY: 20 All right. Is the chart that you're referring Ο. 21 to?
- 22 A. Yes, this is it.
- Q. Can you explain what we -- what's being shown in this chart?
- A. If it's possible, can we --

12/1/2023

130

- 1 Zoom in, zoom out? Q. 2 -- make it smaller? It will be helpful --Α. 3 Zoom out? 0. 4 -- to the judge to be able to see the legend. Α. 5 Then maybe we can -- maybe we'll zoom in after we see 6 the legend. 7 I'm sorry. Wait. There's pop-ups coming Yeah. 8 up on the -- there's chats on the screen. 9 Okay. We're not -- we're going to try to ignore 10 the chats. 11 MR. HENNESSY: Is there a way to shut the 12 chats off, Your Honor? I understand that --13 THE COURT: I meant to check on that on the 14 I'll have to do it on the next break. 15 afraid it will require starting the Zoom over again, 16 though, because I think that's one of the settings I
- So next week, we will make sure we don't have it on. Thank you.

have to go in and shut off.

- THE WITNESS: It's okay. Most of the time, it's fine. Just, like, this particular time, the chat pops up over the legend. That's all. It goes away.
- 23 BY MR. HENNESSY:

17

Q. But what we're saying is you have a hard copy in front of you, if it's easier to work off of the hard

 $1 \mid \text{copy.}$

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- A. I'm fine. Thank you.
- Q. All right.
 - A. So the chart you have in front of you is helpful to answer that question. The one on the left is looking -- let's see. Let me focus on the one on the right first. That maybe sounds backwards.

But the one on the right, the slab that we just talked about, the concrete slab in the bottom of the lock, is approximately a -7.2 NABD, so -- of that data. So -7.2.

- Q. That's going to be in the area where you see the words Chiquita Lock?
 - A. Yep. Where the star is.
- Q. Thank you.
 - A. So this is the bathymetric survey of the spreader canal system, so this is the entire South Spreader canal system. I'm calling it upstream of the lock. If you see blue, you're good. That means you're deeper than -- your at 7.22 or deeper. And you can see that many of them, by the darkness of the blue, they're deeper.

So these canals in southwest Cape Coral were dug deeper than any other canals in Cape Coral. So for boating navigation's sake, it's helpful. So you'll see most of them are blue, and there are some at the extreme

dredge them whether this lock was there or not.

I'm probably jumping ahead.

- O. Yeah. Mr. Neff, let's focus here a little bit.
- 7 A. Okay.

4

5

6

8

9

10

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. The question that led to this was that you indicated that there -- that the darker blue indicates a deeper canal, correct?
- 11 A. Yeah. The darker the blue, the deeper it is, 12 yes.
- Q. Okay. And the deeper canal tends to attract boats that are bigger and draw deeper water?
- A. I would -- that would be a prudent move by boaters, yes.
 - Q. I mean, it's -- and that's your experience?
 - A. Unfortunately, I have some of experience where boaters don't always check that. But, yes, that is good experience.
 - Q. Is it fair to say that downstream of the existing location in the Chiquita Lock, the water depth is not indicated as the keep deepest water depth in the channels that are being examined?
 - A. Yes. It -- on the -- on the chart on the right,

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

16

17

- Q. All right. And in the -- in that -- we're talking about outside the lock?
 - A. Outside the lock, yes.
- Q. Okay. So looking at the chart on the left, that would -- the same conditions would exist that the water downstream of the lock is still not, like, the darkest blue that we see throughout the -- some of the canals upstream of the lock?
- 12 A. Yes, the one on the left was done looking at the
 13 the Cape Coral typical dredging 5 feet below mean low
 14 water. That's -- so it's a slightly different chart,
 15 but it shows the same information.
 - Q. So the chart on the right is reflecting actual conditions?
- A. They both reflect actual conditions. The one on the right is relative to the concrete bottom --
 - Q. Okay.
- A. -- elevation. The one on the left is relative to the City's typical dredging depth.
- THE COURT: I still don't understand that.
- 24 THE WITNESS: Okay.
- THE COURT: So explain to me -- and don't be

```
1
    afraid to explain it to me like I'm a fifth grader --
 2
    what -- the difference in these two charts. Because I
 3
    thought I was with you when we are at -- the one on the
 4
    right is actual conditions, but now you're
5
    saying they're both--
 6
                              They're both actual
                THE WITNESS:
7
    conditions, and they both are based on exactly the same
8
    survey. I apologize if it's confusing.
9
                So the one on the right, we thought it
10
    was -- this is comparing you to the bottom.
                                                  That -7.2
11
    is the elevation of the concrete slab --
12
                THE REPORTER: I didn't hear what you said.
13
    97.2?
14
                               The bottom of the concrete
                THE WITNESS:
15
    slab is -7.2.
16
                MR. HENNESSY: Minus.
17
                THE WITNESS: And that is why that chart on
18
    the right is prepared, and then it shows you everything
19
    that is better -- is, you know, deeper than 7.22, and
20
    then it shows you the things that are not as deep as
21
    7.2, and those are in red.
22
                So the ones that you would be concerned
23
    about as the dredging manager for Cape Coral would be
24
    those that are in red, based on that -7.22. And I
```

thought that was important because that is -- that's a

1 | constraint that exists today.

That bottom -- that concrete bottom is there. It's open to the tide. That is a constraint that boaters that go in and out of there use every day. That is the way the gates open. They open so that when you do that, your boat is exposed to that concrete slab, if you will.

BY MR. HENNESSY:

DANIEL CARNEY, JAMES COLLIER, & KEVIN SPARKS V. CITY OF CAPE CORAL

- Q. Maybe what will make it clearer is you're only showing the channels that are reflective of -- or you're stating that on the graph on the right, your -- you're making reference to this 7.2.
- 13 A. Yes.
 - Q. How is that -- how does that 7.2 manifest itself with regard to the changes in that -- in that diagram from the diagram on the left?
 - A. So the elevations obviously don't change.

 They're exactly the same. The bathymetric survey is exactly the same. There was two reference points, and in the document, it talks about those reference points.

So the concrete slab, -7.2, that's an important reference point, I thought. The one on your left is relative to the City of Cape Coral's typical dredging depth. So Cape Coral typically dredges to 5 feet below mean low water, which is a minus 6.4. So it's another

1 point of information, is really what it is.

So that's all it is, and it shows you -- it shows you relatively the same thing, as it turns out, because the red changes very little from one -- as you can see, there's very little change in the red from one to the other.

Is that --

THE COURT: Okay. And then I thought that the reason this was originally brought up, the question was whether the depths were greater on one side of the lock than the other. And so if I'm looking at either one of these, it's showing me that the depths are the same?

THE WITNESS: There are locations, and they're -- they're -- we have a survey from 2018. The locations are isolated, but there are locations east and south of the lock that are actually half a foot to a foot higher than 7.22.

And they're isolated. They're small, so...

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

BY MR. HENNESSY:

- Q. What other kinds of concerns are addressed in the construction plans for lock removal?
- A. Best management practices are addressed in the lock removal. So concerns about turbidity, about

- erosion control during construction, manatee safety, those items are addressed.
- MR. HENNESSY: Perhaps you can scroll down.
- 4 BY MR. HENNESSY:

9

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. So this -- beginning on page 11, there's removal.
- A. Yes. So in addition, navigation considerations.

 So we make sure and let the public know what's going on,

 communicate well to the boaters that would be using the
- 10 | 0. Okay.

area.

- MR. HENNESSY: Scroll down, please.
- 12 BY MR. HENNESSY:
 - Q. How did you look at water -- what water quality considerations did you look at in the construction activities?
 - A. So in addition to the normal turbidity barriers, the floating turbidity barriers in the canals, there'd be erosion control screens up -- on uplands, where appropriate, adjacent to seawalls. I think one of the unique things on this one is that, to the greatest extent possible, you would be removing everything. So removing all the material behind the seawalls, the -- you'd remove the building. You'd remove the dirt behind the seawalls, to the greatest extent possible.
 - So you'd have turbidity barriers, plus you're

- doing your work within the confines of the seawalls until the end. Then at the end, then you pull out the seawalls and other -- divide the pilings and things that are in the water. You would do that. So you would have sort of a belt and suspenders for your turbidity erosion control.
- Q. Okay. The belt and suspenders would be the seawall and the turbidity curtains?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Which one's the belt and which ones the -- now, you then talk about implementation of best management practices. What type of best management practices did you seek to employ with regard to the removal of the lock?
- A. Sediment erosion control barrier. So you have silt screen along the top of the seawalls an around any area where you might have discharge runoff, stormwater runoff through loose materials. So you'd have that above ground, as well as the turbidity barriers.
 - Again, the seawalls that we mentioned previously.

 MR. HENNESSY: Let's scroll down.
- 22 BY MR. HENNESSY:
 - Q. I believe you mentioned something about manatees. Are there some specific conditions that were included to deal with concerns to the impacts to manatees?

A. Yes.

- MR. HENNESSY: You've gone too far, sir.
- THE WITNESS: There are the -- I think we
- 4 would call them the standard manatee conditions, as
- 5 these are used frequently in projects that are in areas
- 6 where manatees might be present. Training of personnel,
- 7 | signage, how -- who to notify if you have issues. So --
- 8 training of the people.
- 9 So all of the standard manatee protection
- 10 | items that you would see on items in water where they
- 11 | might be present.
- 12 BY MR. HENNESSY:
- Q. To your knowledge, did these conditions actually
- 14 become conditions of the permit?
- 15 A. Yes, they did.
- Q. All right. Let's go ahead and turn to Attachment
- $17 \mid B$ to the application.
- MR. HENNESSY: Thank you.
- So we're at Joint Exhibit 1.05.
- 20 BY MR. HENNESSY:
- 21 Q. Did you provided some assistance in the
- 22 preparation of the environmental resource permit
- 23 application, attachment B?
- A. Primarily, this was prepared by Brown and
- 25 Caldwell. I provided some level of review.

1	Q. All right. Do you have a familiarity of what
2	this environmental report the scope of the
3	environmental report?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. What
6	MR. HENNESSY: Let's scroll down to the
7	right there. Let's look at the table of contents.
8	BY MR. HENNESSY:
9	Q. Could you go over for us some of the potential
10	environmental impacts and benefits that were examined by
11	the this environmental report?
12	A. Yes.
13	MR. HANNON: Your Honor.
14	THE COURT: Yes.
15	MR. HANNON: I object. Unless he can speak
16	to those parts of this report that he dealt with, the
17	rest of it is hearsay and he doesn't know anything other
18	than what it says.
19	THE COURT: Your response?
20	MR. HENNESSY: Your Honor, he's permitting
21	engineer. He is the agent for the applicant, for the
22	City of Cape Coral. He was the one responsible for
23	for compiling and submitting and ensuring that the
24	criteria were met, and then, as we'll hear later,

responding to the request for additional information.

1 And as he's indicated, he did provide 2 editorial review and input on this report. 3 THE COURT: Okay. 4 MR. HOENSTINE: It's part of the permitting 5 file, too, which means it gets to come in. 6 Right. Well, and the THE COURT: 7 objection -- I mean, the objection is not to the 8 document coming in. It's to his testimony about the 9 document. I think that's -- it's the -- the document is 10 admitted, and it's an exception to hearsay. I think the 11 objection is to this witness's testimony about the 12 document. 13 Do I understand your objection correctly? 14 MR. HANNON: Yes, Your Honor. 15 Okay. If we could just get a THE COURT: 16 little bit more -- I mean, all I heard was that it was 17 prepared mostly by Brown and Caldwell with some witness 18 review. 19 Could we have a little bit more explanation 20 about what his involvement with Attachment B was? 21 MR. HENNESSY: Absolutely, Your Honor. 22 THE COURT: Thank you. 23 BY MR. HENNESSY: 24 Mr. -- Mr. Neff, I understand that Brown and 25 Caldwell is the primary author of Attachment B. Could

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

- you explain in more detail your role in the development
 of Exhibit B [sic] and how it came to be in the
 permitting file?
 - A. I reviewed the entire document, read the entire document, provided some editorial comments, used parts of the document for the some of the data, some of the hydrodynamic modeling. Some of the outputs were used in my report, so -- which was important to be familiar with the -- from my City of Cape Coral background overall knowledge. Looked at it for consistency with -- at a high level.
- Q. So you did some factual fact-checking as well with regard to the document?
 - A. Those that -- for items that were within my historical knowledge, yes.
- THE REPORTER: "For items"?
- 17 THE WITNESS: Within my historical
- 18 knowledge.
- THE COURT: Okay. I will overrule the objection, but clearly it's an issue that you can
- 21 cross-exam the witness on.
- 22 BY MR. HENNESSY:
- Q. You mentioned some model outputs. Was there some hydrodynamic modeling that was done that is reported on in this environmental report?

1 A. Yes, there is.

MR. HANNON: Your Honor, I object specifically to that unless he's an expert on it. This is really important.

THE COURT: Okay. Overruled.

MR. HENNESSY: All right. I'd like to turn to -- go ahead and turn to page 8 on Section 3. Yes. There's a listing of environmental concerns.

BY MR. HENNESSY:

- Q. Can you explain to the judge the environmental -potential environmental concerns that the report
 addressed with regard to seeking this environmental
 resource permit?
- A. I looked at a number of items. I looked at impacts on -- looking at those, looking at impacts on adjacent waters, the river, Matlacha Pass, immediately adjacent waters, the movement of water. I looked at water levels that we just talked about and the impacts of the water levels ,changes in water quality, nitrogen, which -- being the key one, looking at that one and salinity. Effects on wetland vegetation, particularly mangroves, and how that might change with the changes in water levels. Seagrasses near the mouth of the canal system. Threatened and endangered species, such as manatees.

1	And then navigability.
2	Q. And the reported ultimately reached conclusions.
3	MR. HENNESSY: Can you turn to the
4	further to the conclusion section?
5	BY MR. HENNESSY:
6	Q. The report reaches a number of conclusions with
7	regard to the proposed actions. Have you reviewed those
8	conclusions?
9	A. Yes, I have.
10	Q. Did you agree with the conclusions in this
11	report?
12	A. Yes, I do.
13	Q. What is your understanding, generally, of the
14	conclusions with regard to the potential environmental
15	impacts with the project?
16	MR. HANNON: Objection.
17	THE COURT: What's the objection?
18	MR. HANNON: He's not competent. He's only
19	an engineer.
20	THE COURT: Okay. I understand your
21	objection. It's the same objection I've already ruled
22	on, but I will give it the weight that it is due.
23	Go ahead.
24	THE WITNESS: The overall program provides

improvements to hydrology, stormwater quality, upland

- and wetland habitats, wildlife resource management,
- 2 makes improvements to hydrologic habitat conditions,
- 3 | provides -- with -- everything we're doing will provide
- 4 additional environmental enhancement in the overall
- 5 system.
- 6 BY MR. HENNESSY:
- 7 Q. Did you agree with the conclusions of the
- 8 report --
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 THE COURT: Let him finish the question.
- THE WITNESS: Oh, sorry.
- 12 BY MR. HENNESSY:
- Q. Did you agree with the conclusions of the report,
- 14 Mr. Neff, that the -- from the environmental evaluation
- with regard to the -- the environmental resource permit
- criteria that this project would be consistent with that
- 17 | criteria?
- 18 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 19 BY MR. HENNESSY:
- Q. Okay. There was a -- also an Attachment C, a
- 21 historical overview of actions taken by the City.
- MR. HOENSTINE: 1.06.
- MR. HENNESSY: Thank you.
- 24 BY MR. HENNESSY:
- Q. They were Joint Exhibit 1.06.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- A. I had a similar role to Attachment B. I was not the primary author of Attachment C, but provided editorial comment. I did provide some number -- there was at least one item I provided specific numbers. I provided, I think, some assistance in this in that I because of my 30 -- roughly 32 years with the City, I have good historical knowledge of what's happened in the past.
- Q. Well, based on this attachment, did you also assist in the -- or were you responsible for the preparation of engineering drawings related to some of the projects being discussed in Attachment C?
 - A. Yes, I was.
- Q. Okay. For example, what was your role with regard to replacement or renovation of catch basins?
- A. I -- yes, I prepared plans -- prepared plans for, of course, the lock removal, which you saw plans for, catch basin improvements, plans for improvements to the dog park, plans for mangrove plantings, oyster ball locations, upland plantings.
 - Q. And these are --
- MR. HENNESSY: Can we scroll through
- 25 | Attachment C?

Q. These projects that you're referring to are also discussed in more detail in Attachment C?

ROUGH DRAFT

Judge Suzanne Van Wyk

A. In Attachment C, it's -- it touches very well on the catch basin improvement project, the Rotary dog park, mangrove plantings --

THE REPORTER: "Mangrove"?

THE WITNESS: Mangrove plantings, upland plantings, and the reef balls.

MR. HENNESSY: Let's scroll down to the catch basin plan.

- 12 BY MR. HENNESSY:
 - Q. There's some photographs relating to, I guess, the change-out of the existing catch basins to proposed new catch basins. Perhaps you can explain to the judge what's -- the nature of the project and the importance of the project.
 - A. So what you see on top is the old style catch basin that the original developer of Cape Coral used.

 So -- with what we call an open throat for the stormwater to enter in, and no -- I would say no delays to that water entering. It was a direct entry.

What you see on the bottom are the new style inlets that -- Cape Coral has installed thousands of these modifications. It has replaced thousands -- well,

```
1
    the old style with the new style. And you can see -- in
 2
    the bottom, you'll see that the -- you'll see a small
 3
    bleeder hole.
                   That's where the water now, the initial
 4
    first flush of stormwater, would be -- enter that
5
    bleeder hole.
 6
           But more so, the water is detained. So that's
7
                  The water is detained.
                                           The top of that
    the purpose.
8
    inlet is elevated about half a foot. So the water is
9
    detained within the grass swale system, allowing
10
    pollutants to drop out, allowing for uptake of those
11
    pollutants, again, delaying your first flush and all the
12
                                                   The small
    nutrient reductions that go along with that.
13
    hole allows the water to gradually bleed down slowly
14
    over time so that when it rains again, they're ready to
15
    do the same thing again.
                               So...
16
                THE COURT: And what's the relationship --
17
    physical relationship between these catch basin drains
18
    and the South Spreader Waterway?
19
                MR. HENNESSY: I don't think she's asking
20
    me.
21
                THE WITNESS:
                               Okay. Sure.
                                             Sure.
22
                THE COURT: I'm sorry.
23
                THE WITNESS:
                              No, no.
                                        That's great.
24
                THE COURT: I keep looking over here because
```

I'm having to let people in.

4

7

8

9

15

They --

THE	WITNESS:	No	problem.

from that style to this style.

So as -- the city offered, as part of this project, to do 83 catch basins that would be changed out

ROUGH DRAFT

Judge Suzanne Van Wyk

- And your question, again, is how is that -
 BY MR. HENNESSY:
 - Q. No. I guess to put a fine point on this, those 83 catch basins, are they located in the watershed that drains to the South Spreader--
- 10 A. Yes, they are. Yes, they are. And there's a map
 11 that shows that.
- 12 THE COURT: Thank you.
- 13 THE WITNESS: There's a map that those that
 14 in there. So they are in the South Spreader watershed.
- MR. HENNESSY: Perhaps we can direct your attention to the map.
- 18 A. That's what you're looking for.
- THE COURT: I didn't want to assume. I wanted to ask.
- THE WITNESS: No, no. That's a great question.
- THE COURT: And I'm sure that if I sat down and read this whole thing -- but, you know, this is -I'm allowed to read proposed evidence before it's

Judge Suzanne Van Wyk 1 admitted. 2 THE WITNESS: Gotcha. 3 THE COURT: So it's not like I have the 4 leave to read through all this, so I'm asking the 5 questions as the evidence comes in. Okay? 6 THE WITNESS: Yeah. So -- yes. In the 7 South Spreader watershed, that's where they are. Excuse 8 They -- and so prior to discharge to those canals me. 9 in the -- you know, to the greater canal system in the 10 South Spreader, those catch basin would be modified in 11 that way. 12 And, as it says in this report, many already 13 have been. So these are additional ones. Thousands 14 have already been modified to -- throughout the city, 15 and a number of them within the South Spreader watershed 16 have been modified to that already. These are 17 additional that would be done to further enhance water 18 quality.

- 19 THE COURT: Thank you.
- 20 BY MR. HENNESSY:
- Q. But specific to this permit, the 80 -- how many did you say, 86?
- A. I believe it's 83.
- 24 Q. 83?
- 25 A. Yeah.

1 The 83, they're all located with the South Q. 2 Spreader Waterway watershed, correct? 3 There we are. Thank you. Α. Yes. 4 O. So perhaps you can explain the -- what the map 5 defines --6 I sure can. So --Α. Yeah. 7 Where are we at? What is the --Ο. 8 MR. HENNESSY: Can you scroll up a little 9 bit so we can make reference to the figure number on the 10 page? 11 Yes, there you go. 12 THE WITNESS: Okay. Does it help if I 13 point, Your Honor? 14 THE COURT: Yes. Go ahead. 15 THE WITNESS: Okay. 16 BY MR. HENNESSY: 17 Ο. So we're looking at Figure 11 --18 Α. So --19 -- With the 83 catch basins. Ο. 20 All right. So there's the -- Chiquita Lock is Α. 21 roughly here. So this is the South Spreader canal 22 itself. This is the immediate control system of the 23 South Spreader. These are weirs that discharge over 24 into the South Spreader. So they're in the watershed.

They are -- you know, they're -- these are freshwater

5

6

7

- systems, as it's labeled, so they will get into the
 South Spreader system.

 So you can see there's -- the majority of them,
 - So you can see there's -- the majority of them,

 48 of them, are in the salt -- in the brackish water

 system. The -- and you can see the other numbers in the

 freshwater that overflow weirs to get into that --
 - THE COURT: Thank you.
- 8 THE WITNESS: -- system.
- 9 THE COURT: I apologize for interrupting 10 your questioning, Mr. Hennessy.
- MR. HENNESSY: No, Your Honor. I very much appreciate the help. This is all for you.
- 13 BY MR. HENNESSY:
- Q. I know you mentioned total nitrogen, but do these projects also provide other water quality benefits, such reduction in movement of other detritus or other dissolved contaminates?
- 18 A. Yes. Yes, they do.
 - Q. In response to the application, did the City receive requests from FDEP for additional information?
- 21 A. Yes.

19

20

22

23

24

25

Q. Let's go ahead and turn to the Megan Mills letter dated 9/24/21. So that's Joint Exhibit 1.19. So I'm showing you a letter from the Florida Department of Environmental Protection dated September 24th, 2021.

- 1 It's directed to the city of Cape Coral, attention 2 Robert Hernandez, care of Steve Neff.
 - Do you recall receiving this letter?
 - A. Yes, I do.
 - Q. Okay. And if you scroll down, you'll see that it includes nine enumerated comments.
 - Do you recall reviewing those comments?
- 8 A. Yes.

4

5

6

- Q. Can you generally explain to us what the -- what the purpose of the comments were from the department?
- 11 A. Clarification on some of the items, requests for additional information on some of the items.
- Q. Did the -- if we scroll down past the one through nine items, the letter also attached some comment
- 15 letters?
- 16 A. Yes it did.
- 17 Q. Okay.
- MR. HENNESSY: Keep scrolling.
- 19 BY MR. HENNESSY:
- Q. Okay. The first comment letter is from -- it's to Michael Baker, and it's from Florida Fish and
- 22 Wildlife.
- Did you review this comment letter?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. What did you understand the comment letter

1 | concerned?

- A. Concerned about manatees deaths at the lock.
- Q. All right. And did it specifically provide a proposed -- or suggest solutions to the -- to address those manatees deaths?
- A. Yes. I believe there were three options laid out, and the selected option was to -- one of the options was to remove the lock.
- 9 MR. HENNESSY: Maybe scroll down a little 10 bit. Keep going. I think it's the second letter. Next 11 letter. Oh, it's lagging. Thank you. Here we go.
- 12 BY MR. HENNESSY:
- Q. Is this what you're referring, to the attached -attachment to the Fish and Wildlife letter that makes
 reference to options?
- 16 A. Yes. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Among those options, did it include removal of the lock?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. So from your perspective, did you have any opinion as to whether or not this proposed project would fulfill the -- or address the concerns of the FWC?
- 23 A. No.
- Q. I'm sorry?
- A. No, I did not. This -- they would -- this would.

- 1 Removal of the lock would address it. I'm sorry.
- 2 Q. Okay.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

- A. That was -- if I answered in the negative, I apologize. Removal of the lock was clearly one the options that would address some of their concerns.
 - Q. Okay. We may have gotten into a double negative situation, so I'll make clear the record.

In your opinion, the project that you were proposing and applying for with the department would address the concerns with FWC with regard to manatee deaths?

- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. And why?
- 14 A. Yes.
- Q. And why?
- 16 A. Because one of the options is removal of the 17 lock, which is what is recommended in our permit 18 application.
- Q. Okay. Thank you.
- Now, I believe there's another comment letter
 that we got from the Department of State?
- 22 A. Yes.
- MR. HENNESSY: It's attached to what we were
- 24 just on. There you go.
- 25 BY MR. HENNESSY:

25

1 Do you recall receiving this comment letter? Q. 2 Α. I do. 3 To your recollection, did the Florida Ο. 4 Department of State have any concerns with regard to 5 cultural or archeological matters of significance? 6 They did not. Α. 7 All right. Ο. MR. HENNESSY: Finally, if you'd scroll 8 9 down, I believe there's some attached e-mails within the 10 Department. There you go. 11 BY MR. HENNESSY: 12 Some comments from Department internal Ο. 13 hydrographic review. Do you recall receiving these 14 comments? 15 Α. Yes. And what was done to -- well, first of all, what 16 17 kind of comments or -- what did you understand the 18 comments to concern? 19 MR. HANNON: Objection. Speaks for itself. 20 THE COURT: What's your response? 21 MR. HENNESSY: Well, Your Honor, he's the --22 again, the permit engineer, the agent. He's receiving 23 these comments. He's being asked in the request for

So how did he respond, is the question.

additional information to respond to the comments.

1 THE COURT: All right. So let's ask him 2 that question, how he responded, then. 3 MR. HENNESSY: 4 BY MR. HENNESSY: 5 Ο. How did you respond --6 THE COURT: Sustained. 7 MR. HENNESSY: I'm sorry. 8 BY MR. HENNESSY: 9 Rephrasing the question, Mr. Neff. 10 received -- you did receive these comments from the 11 Department's hydrographic review -- reviewers? 12 Α. Yes. 13 0. Okay. How did you respond to these comments? 14 The team responded to each of those. Graphs were Α. 15 provided. 16 MR. HANNON: Objection. The team or him? 17 He's not a modeler. 18 THE COURT: Okay. He's answering the 19 question. If you'd like to cross-exam him, that's fine. 20 Just hold it for cross-examination. 21 MR. HANNON: Yes, ma'am. 22 THE COURT: You may proceed. 23 THE WITNESS: Additional graphs were 24 prepared. Clarification on the bathymetric data was 25 provided, as was further information on the sediment

- 1 transportation provided.
- 2 And spoil material management was also
- 3 | clarified.
- 4 THE REPORTER: I didn't get the last part.
- 5 THE WITNESS: Spoil material management was
- 6 also clarified, which was something that -- that I did,
- 7 so...
- 8 BY MR. HENNESSY:
- 9 Q. Okay. Thank you.
- There's a lot of technical terms that sometimes
- 11 you use and you run them together. Take your time on
- 12 those sorts of statements for the court reporter's
- 13 benefit.
- 14 A. Yes, sir.
- THE COURT: And for the judge. Thank you.
- MR. HENNESSY: And for everyone in the room.
- 17 BY MR. HENNESSY:
- 0. All right. So to your knowledge, did the work
- done by you and your team address the concerns raised in
- 20 the comments that you received?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 0. All right. Let's turn to Joint Exhibit 1.21.
- 23 It's an e-mail with attachments dated 12/27/21.
- Do you recognize this document that's titled,
- 25 | "Response to Request for Additional Information Number

- 1 1 "?
- 2 A. Yes.
- O. What is it?
- A. It is our response to FDEP's -- the Florida

 environmental resource permit. It's our additional

 information we're providing in response to their request

 for additional information. So those questions that

 were just laid out, this is our informal response to

 those questions.
- Q. And in this response, did you address each and every one of the nine comments that the department had provided?
- 13 A. Yes.
- Q. All right. Let me go ahead and direct you to
 Joint Exhibit 1.48.
- Joint Exhibit 1.48 is entitled, "South Spreader
 Waterway Improvement Project: The" --
- MR. HENNESSY: Thank you.
- 19 BY MR. HENNESSY:
- Q. -- "Environmental Resource Permit."
- Do you recognize this document?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. What is it?
- 24 A. It is the draft permit for this project.
- Q. Is this the draft permit that has been challenged

- in this case, in this administrative proceeding?
- 2 A. Yes.

4

5

6

7

- Q. Does this permit include the water quality projects that were proposed in your application?
- A. It includes those that were initially proposed, as well as some others as we reached the end of the permitting process.
 - Q. All right.
- 9 A. Yes.
- MR. HENNESSY: Let's go ahead and turn to
 Condition 10. Okay. Can we zoom in a little bit on
 that? All right.
- 13 BY MR. HENNESSY:
- Q. The first item indicates replacement of the stormwater catch basins, which drain to the waterway.

 And by "the waterway," are we referring to the South Spreader Waterway?
- 18 A. To that waterway in that basin, yes.
- Q. Okay. Are we referring to the South Spreader
 Waterway?
- A. Yes, we are.
- Q. Thank you.
- A. We're referring to the South Spreader Waterway.
- Q. Thank you.
- 25 And the replacement of these stormwater catch

- basins, this is a project that you specifically personally were involved in?
- 3 A. Yes.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- Q. And, in fact, did you draft engineering plans that are attached to and made a part of this permit related to this project of replacement of the stormwater catch basins?
 - A. For both the catch basins and the dog park, yes.
- Q. All right. So you want to move right on to number 2.

The improvements to the stormwater management system associated with the dog park at Rotary Park, is that -- that stormwater management system in a location where that untreated stormwater -- let me state it differently.

The Rotary Park -- perhaps you can use the pointer and point out the Rotary Park on the drawing on the wall.

Thank you.

- A. Sorry. I'm shaking a little bit.
- THE COURT: I know. I feel the same way.
- THE WITNESS: There.
- 23 BY MR. HENNESSY:
- Q. Currently, does the stormwater that drains off of that park drain into the waters that would ultimately

- 1 reach the Caloosahatchee River?
- 2 A. Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Q. All right. And so. What will be the effect of your stormwater system on that water?
- A. We will be reducing nitrogen and other nutrients contaminates prior to them reaching the Caloosahatchee River.
- Q. So will you be treating that stormwater, in fact, before it even enters an adjacent water body?
- A. Yes.
 - Q. All right. The next project indicates the implementation of an aquatic vegetation removal program. Did you perform any -- or assist the City with performing any calculations on that -- on that project?
 - A. I did not. I reviewed it, but just reviewed it.
 - Q. All right. The -- under that is -- the fifth project is the planting of over 3,000 mangrove seedlings along the waterway, as well as to install oyster reef balls in an area where the seawall is being installed.
 - Did you provide engineering plans that are now an exhibit attached to this proposed permit related to those projects?
- A. Yes, I did.
- Q. Okay. Next project listed is -- the applicant is negotiating with the City of Fort Myers on an interlocal

- agreement with the City to purchase and dispose of reclaimed water generated by Fort Myers, the Connect project.
 - Did you assist in the analysis of that project in any way as a part of this permit?
 - A. I would say I assisted with the analysis, but reviewed the final product.
 - Q. Okay. Based on your experience with the City of Cape Coral, can you explain for us what the City would -- what the purpose of that project is?
 - A. The benefit, of course, is -- to remove the City of Fort Myers' discharge from the river is the benefit to this -- the environment. And also that treated -- that wastewater will go to Cape Coral, where there will be a beneficial reuse.
 - Q. Okay. So are you familiar with the City's reuse system?
- 18 A. Yes.

DANIEL CARNEY, JAMES COLLIER, & KEVIN SPARKS V. CITY OF CAPE CORAL

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. To your understanding, what -- if Fort Myers water is taken and connected into the City of Cape Coral system, where will that water end up?
- A. So the City of Cape Coral has -- I think -- we'd like to think -- and the City of Cape Coral may be the world's largest, but it has a very large residential irrigation program -- reuse program. So the City hasn't

Judge Suzanne Van Wyk

discharged wastewater to the river for 15 years.

The City has a 3-pipe system. So they have a reuse system/irrigation system that is installed as part of the City's ongoing water and sewer installation throughout the City of Cape Coral. So that would be a product used -- treated to be used as irrigation water throughout this -- the city system.

THE COURT: To make sure I understand what you're talking about, would this be something I sometimes hear -- like a gray water reuse, or gray water irrigation?

THE WITNESS: It's similar. It's similar.

So it's -- the City of Cape Coral's is a common -- it's treated wastewater. It's advanced wastewater treatment plants that the city has. So those are one of the primary products. St. Petersburg is another city that has a similar system.

And then it's also canals -- freshwater canals that supplement. The two work together. So this would go into that system, and there is -- it's piped throughout the City of Cape Coral's utility system, which is virtually everything south of Pine Island Road, which you saw earlier, and now growing into north of Pine Island Road. So it's your irrigation for -- for your yards, whether it's commercial, residential,

1 whatever.

4

5

6

7

8

- 2 So it's a beneficial reuse system.
- 3 BY MR. HENNESSY:
 - Well, is the distinction of the Cape Coral system Ο. from one that the judge may be familiar with which is a pure gray water system there a mixing then of this canal water and freshwater canal?
 - Α. Yes.
- 9 Water with the treated wastewater so to I quess 10 further improve the water that's being used for 11 irrigation purposes?
- 12 It's in order to meet the demand, you use both. Α.
- 13 Ο. Okay.
- 14 Treated wastewater and freshwater canals in your 15 system.
- 16 THE COURT: And irrigation, the only reuse 17 is it irrigation or were there other?
- Irrigation is -- there are THE WITNESS: 19 some fire hydrants, I think that might be in the report. 20 There is some fire hydrants that are on the reuse
- 21 system, but by and large, I think -- by and large, it's 22 for irrigation.
- 23 THE COURT: Okay.
- 24 Residential and commercial THE WITNESS:
- 25 irrigation. Yard irrigation. Landscaping irrigation.

1 THE COURT: Thank you.

2 BY MR. HENNESSY:

- Q. Does this permit -- draft permit contain specific conditions to address environmental concerns and ensure compliance with applicable regulatory criteria?
- A. Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

- Q. Okay. And let's look at conditions 2 through 9.

 Are these the specific conditions beginning with

 condition 2?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 0. That --
- 12 A. Sorry. Sorry.
 - Q. I'm sorry. Okay. Beginning with 2 and continuing through 9, are these the conditions placed on methods of construction including removal of the lock to address potential environmental impacts?
- 17 A. Yes.
 - Q. Okay. And then moving to conditions 11 to 12, under monitoring reporting requirements are these also conditions being imposed on the permittee to address potential environmental concerns through monitoring?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. All right. And then looking at conditions 14 through 19. Are these the manatee protection conditions we looked at earlier that are now identified as

- 1 | specific -- or special conditions 14 through 19?
 - A. Can we scroll up just --
 - O. Get to 1718 and 19?
 - A. 19, yeah. Yes.
 - Q. All right. Does the permit also include a condition addressing the calculation of total nitrogen reduction upon which the determination of the net improvement to water quality was determined?
 - A. Yes.

DANIEL CARNEY, JAMES COLLIER, & KEVIN SPARKS V. CITY OF CAPE CORAL

- Q. Okay. When we look at special condition 13 and can you explain for us your understanding of this condition. Can you scroll down a little bit so you can get the entirety of 13 covered under two pages. Now you're scrolling too far down. Okay. What is being what is your understanding of this condition that's being placed on this permit with regard to addressing net improvement to water quality?
 - MR. HANNON: Objection. His understanding is irrelevant.
 - THE COURT: What's your response?
 - MR. HENNESSY: Your Honor, he still remains the project manager, the permitting agent, and he is the individual that's going to be responsible for ensuring that the city understands the conditions that have been imposed upon the permittee going forward.

1	THE COURT: Do you have is the city going
2	to be offering an environmental expert as opposed to
3	engineering expert to also testify?
4	MR. HENNESSY: Yes, Your Honor we will.
5	THE COURT: Then I'm going to sustain the
6	objection and I would rather hear from the person who's
7	a little more knowledgeable.
8	MR. HENNESSY: All right.
9	THE COURT: Thank you. No offense, Mr.
10	Neff.
11	THE WITNESS: No, none taken.
12	BY MR. HENNESSY:
13	Q. Are there detailed plans for each of construction
14	activities required as part of this permit?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. Could we turn to 1.50, the permit drawings. Are
17	these do you recognize this document?
18	MR. HANNON: Your Honor, may I have a brief
19	pause to get my computer going again ?
20	THE COURT: Yes, certainly. In fact, I hate
21	to interrupt the flow but I actually would like a
22	comfort break myself. We'll take five minutes.
23	THE COURT DEPUTY: All rise. This court
24	will be in recess.
25	(Recess)

1	THE COURT: You may proceed.
2	MR. HENNESSY: Your Honor, I previously
3	identified and the witness identified Exhibit C-50, his
4	resume, I don't believe I moved that into evidence.
5	THE COURT: You did not.
6	MR. HENNESSY: I'd like it move that into
7	evidence.
8	THE COURT: Is there any objection?
9	MR. HOENSTINE: No objection from the
10	department.
11	THE COURT: All right. And I did hear from
12	Petitioners no objection. Just give me a minute because
13	there's so many exhibits on the portal now. Give me a
14	minute so I can admit it now. 5? Did you say Exhibit
15	5?
16	MR. HENNESSY: 50, Your Honor.
17	THE COURT: 50.
18	MR. HENNESSY: 50.
19	(*** Exhibit No. 50 marked for identification)
20	THE COURT: Thank you. Go ahead.
21	MR. HENNESSY: Thank you, Your Honor.
22	BY MR. HENNESSY:
23	Q. Mr. Neff, you have in front of you Joint Exhibit
24	1.50. Are these the permit drawings for the subject
25	permit?

1 A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

- Q. Okay. And are these engineering plans that you prepared for this specific project associated with this permit?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. To your knowledge, does the permit specifically incorporate these engineered drawings by reference as part of the permit and essentially conditions of the permit?
- 10 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Scrolling through the exhibits, can you just identify for us what these permit plans are for beginning with the -- keep going. This. Here you go.

 Stop. Is this the permit drawings related to removal of the lock?
- A. Yes. The first set of, I think, 6 sheets if I remember right are related to the removal of the lock.
- Q. Thank you. Scroll down. This is part of removal of the lock?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Continue. Part of the removal of the
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. Continue. What is the next set of permit drawings for?

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

- 1 Mangrove plantings, upland plantings and reef Α. 2 ball improvements.
 - And if you'll go to the next sheet. Do these sheets associated with the mangrove planting and reef ball placement and upland plantings are the locations for these plantings identified on the next engineering sheets?
 - Α. Yes.
 - Let's move to the next sheet, please. Is this the -- is this the -- indicate the upland planting work that would be done on the -- as part of the permit?
 - Yes, it does. Α.
- 13 0. Okay.
 - As well as the reef balls. Α.
- 15 Thank you. Ο.
- 16 MR. HANNON: I'm sorry. May I have a page?
- 17 MR. HENNESSY: We're on Joint Exhibit 1.50.
- 18 The permit drawings and the page number that we're on 19 right now is Bates page 651.
- 20 Thank you. Going on to. MR. HANNON:
- 21 THE COURT: I'm not sure the court reporter 22 got the last statement. It was the plantings and you 23 said as well as --
- 24 MR. HENNESSY: Reef balls. Is that right.
- 25 As well as the reef ball THE WITNESS:

- 1 placement location.
- 2 BY MR. HENNESSY:
- Q. Okay. Let's go to the next sheet of drawings or
- 4 set of drawings. What do we have there? Is that
- 5 actually a depiction of the reef ball?
- 6 A. Yes.

- Q. All right. Continue. What is the next set of
- 9 A. Rotary dog park improvements.
- Q. There are an actually a number of sheets related
- 11 to this dog park, isn't there?
- 12 A. Yes, there are.

drawings relate to?

- Q. You're essentially designing a stormwater
- 14 management system for a park?
- 15 A. Yes, we are.
- Q. Okay. Is there any kind of system that exists
- 17 | there today?
- 18 A. There is. This is a significant improvement to
- 19 that system.
- Q. Okay. Can we scroll down, please. Let's go to
- 21 the next set of drawings. Sorry, Your Honor. There
- 22 seems to be a considerable lag.
- What is the next set of drawings relate to?
- A. These are the stormwater catch basin improvements
- 25 catch basin replacements.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

- Q. So will these plans depict that all of these catch basins are within the watershed that drain to the South Spreader Waterway?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Continue. Is this the individual sheets then start to show us the exact locations of all of the inlets that will be improved as part of the permit?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. And they're identified both on the map in
 a grid format of identifying the locations?
- 11 A. Yes.
- Q. All right. Then you have some like construction typical drawings of the catch basin replacement?
- 14 A. Yes.
- Q. All right. Continue. And that's the last of our construction drawings, sir?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. All right. Thank you.

Yes, I did.

- Q. When you visited the -- the area, the project area recently, did you visit the location of the
- 21 Chiquita Lock?

Α.

- Q. And did you observe the current condition of the
- 24 lock?

22

25 A. I did.

- Q. And what is your understanding of that condition?
- A. It confirmed -- it confirmed visually the
- 3 concerns over navigation with the gates open without
- 4 gates, the velocities through the channel are extreme.
- 5 The boaters are using it at their own risk, but it's
- 6 challenging, particularly those boaters that are going
- 7 | with the flow. It's a challenge for them to navigate
- 8 that.

- 9 Q. So did you personally observe some dangerous
- 10 boating conditions and -- at the time you were observing
- 11 the lock?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. Did you personally observe any boaters trying to
- 14 navigate the lock?
- 15 A. Yes.
- Q. Did you see whether or not they were having any
- 17 | difficulty with that?
- 18 A. They had -- they had difficult. Fortunately, the
- ones I saw did it successfully, but they had difficulty,
- 20 yes.
- 21 Q. Okay. In performing your engineering
- 22 investigation, did you look at the potential impact on
- 23 the projects on the property of others?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. What was your determination?

24

25

Excuse me.

No questions.

All right. Mr. Hannon, cross-examination?

MR. HOENSTINE:

THE COURT:

1	MR. HANNON: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you.
2	CROSS-EXAMINATION
3	BY MR. HANNON:
4	Q. I'm sharing my screen with you, Mr. Neff, and
5	what I have up here is Joint Exhibit 1.05.
6	THE REPORTER: Can you move the microphone
7	closer to you?
8	MR. HANNON: I'm sorry? I didn't hear the
9	Court [sic].
10	THE REPORTER: Move the microphone closer to
11	you.
12	MR. HANNON: Yes, ma'am.
13	THE REPORTER: Thank you.
14	MR. HANNON: All right. Thank you.
15	BY MR. HANNON:
16	Q. I've scrolled down to it's page 4 in the
17	right-hand column, and it's also JNT 0040.
18	MR. HANNON: Give me one moment, Your Honor.
19	I want to change hearing modalities.
20	THE COURT: Certainly.
21	And if it's the squeaking that you're
22	hearing, we're all hearing that. I think it's the
23	air-conditioning system.
24	MR. HANNON: No.
25	THE COURT: Okay.

1 MR. HANNON: I've been using the Zoom to 2 hear. 3 THE COURT: Oh, okay. Okay. 4 MR. HANNON: It reminds me of an expression, 5 "I can't hear myself think." 6 MR. HENNESSY: Your Honor, I have an 7 objection to the exhibit being utilized. This is not 8 Joint Exhibit 1.05. Joint Exhibit 1.05 does not have 9 any highlighting on it, nor does it have the red text 10 that appears to be added. 11 THE COURT: Okay. I don't know if he heard 12 that. Let's wait. 13 MR. HANNON: I did. 14 THE COURT: Okay. Can you respond to the 15 objection? 16 MR. HANNON: Yes. 17 Mr. Neff, it's the same exhibit. I've 18 highlighted some sections to try to expedite my 19 questions of you, and I've also put in some red, which 20 are some questions that I'll ask. 21 And I was hoping that this might be more 22 efficacious to proceed through this document than have 23 to read stuff. 24 THE COURT: Okay. So what -- what's the 25 specific objection, Mr. Hennessy, that it is not the

- 1 document that's in evidence?
- MR. HENNESSY: Yes, Your Honor. It's not
- 3 the document that's in evidence.
- 4 THE COURT: Okay.
- MR. HENNESSY: And it's -- it will be
- 6 confusing both to the witness and to the record.
- You know, we went through this on
- 8 deposition, and I asked him to make these part of his
- 9 depositions and he refused, stating that they were
- 10 work-product privilege.
- Now -- for him now to try to utilize them in
- 12 this trial, I think, is, you know, doubly inappropriate.
- 13 Thank you.
- MR. HANNON: Your Honor, I don't know if you
- 15 need me to respond, but everybody here knows exactly
- what the exhibit is and everybody here knows exactly
- what I put in here. And my purpose in doing this is to
- 18 | facilitate not just my questioning, but his answers and
- 19 everybody's understanding.
- I am happy to put it into the record, but
- 21 | it's simply much like a chart or -- I could illuminate
- 22 these things and highlight them --
- THE COURT: Okay. All right. I understand.
- So I don't want you to put them into the
- record, because I don't like multiple copies of the same

1	exhibits. Absolutely. That is very confusing when I'm
2	preparing a recommended order. It's also confusing if
3	it goes up on appeal and there are multiple copies of
4	the same exhibit.
5	So I don't have a problem with you
6	questioning this witness as far as I'm concerned, you
7	put anything in front of a witness and ask him a
8	question. Just know that it's not coming into evidence
9	with these markings on it. I don't see the red on this
10	page, because, you know, the
11	MR. HANNON: Yes, Your Honor.
12	THE COURT: My question my concern might
13	be whether there's in red that's, you know, in some way
14	leading a witness to answer from something other than
15	their own personal knowledge.
16	But it sounds like these are notes to you
17	about questions you want to ask?
18	MR. HANNON: Yes.
19	THE COURT: Okay.
20	MR. HANNON: That I'll read.
21	MR. HENNESSY: Your Honor.
22	THE COURT: Yes.
23	MR. HENNESSY: If I may, the additional
24	objection would be he's referencing it as 1.05. That's
25	not the case, and the record should not reflect that

- 1 he's showing him Exhibit -- Joint Exhibit 1.05. 2 THE COURT: Okay. Let's just --3 MR. HENNESSY: I'm sorry. My statement. 4 It's 1.04. 5 THE COURT: Well, I think that the objection 6 is going to be the same because it is not the 1.04 that 7 is in evidence -- been admitted in evidence. 8 MR. HENNESSY: Exactly. 9 THE COURT: So if you want to refer to it as 10 an annotated version thereof, that's fine. Let's go 11 with that. 12 MR. HANNON: I understand. 13 THE COURT: Thank you. 14 MR. HANNON: So --15 MR. HENNESSY: Your Honor, the final point I 16 have is I don't have this document, and I can -- I can't 17 read the words. So if he's going to be showing him and 18 being allowed to use this document, either I need to be 19 provided my own copy, or I'm going to need to stand next 20 to the witness so I can read.
- MR. HANNON: It's on Zoom. Everybody has on it on Zoom.
- MR. HENNESSY: I don't have Zoom on because
 I have Zoom up front when I'm asking the questions. I
 can put Zoom on.

1	THE COURT: Fair enough.
2	If you're going to be using a document
3	that's other than one that's being admitted into
4	evidence, certainly provide a copy to opposing counsel.
5	It sounds like it's only Mr. Hennessy that's not looking
6	at it.
7	MR. HANNON: I will e-mail it to him.
8	THE COURT: Okay.
9	MR. HENNESSY: If I walk away for two
10	minutes, my computer shuts down. So I've now got to
11	redo and get through
12	MR. HANNON: I've got to bring up my e-mail,
13	which just verified that it's me.
14	THE COURT: Okay. This is slowing down us
15	getting through this today, so let's try to do it
16	quickly.
17	SPEAKER: Your Honor, if you'll let me into
18	the Zoom, I think
19	THE COURT: Let you into the Zoom?
20	MR. HENNESSY: Yeah, we haven't been
21	admitted.
22	THE COURT: Okay.
23	MR. HENNESSY: We're prepared, Your Honor,
24	if he wants to proceed.
25	THE COURT: Thank you.

- MR. HANNON: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 2 BY MR. HANNON:

DANIEL CARNEY, JAMES COLLIER, & KEVIN SPARKS V. CITY OF CAPE CORAL

- Q. So on page 40, that's JNT 40 with my mockup here.
- 4 | This contains the background section. Did you write
- 5 this?
- 6 A. Yes.
- Q. And you go all the way back to the early 1970s,
- 8 | correct?
- 9 A. The report does, yes.
- 10 | Q. And there were documents available to you both
- 11 | from the department and Cape Coral's files showing you
- 12 the history of these spreader canals that you reviewed,
- 13 | correct?
- 14 A. I reviewed some documents that were available,
- 15 yes.
- 0. For example, you talked about efforts to repair
- 17 | it in the '90s, correct?
- 18 A. Yes, sir.
- 19 O. Correct?
- 20 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And then second paragraph here, you talk about --
- in 1977, you talk about the GAC's bankruptcy, correct?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. And there's a sentence here that says, in the
- 25 | yellow, quote, "Historically, GAC and their engineers

- 1 expressed their concerns that" --
- THE COURT: I'm going to interrupt you.
- 3 | Especially since it's already highlighted on the
- 4 document we're all looking at, if you would refrain from
- 5 rereading it in full.
- 6 MR. HENNESSY: Right.
- 7 BY MR. HANNON:
- Q. So if you take a look at that, Mr. Neff, I'll ask you questions once you're comfortable.
- So where did you get that information?
- A. Previous reports and interactions with engineers historically in my career with the City of Cape Coral.
- Q. For Figure Number 2, the overhead of the lock, are there plans to plant any of the mangrove seedlings anywhere in this photo?
- A. I don't believe they're immediately in that photo, no.
 - Q. And then the next paragraph talks about the history of erosions and breaches, and that information came from the same source that you described earlier?
- 21 A. Yes, sir.

19

- Q. And when did the City of Cape Coral obtain ownership of the boat lock?
- A. I don't remember the exact date. I'm -- maybe late '80s. I'm not sure exactly the date. I

- remember -- I was with the City of Cape Coral when it happened, but I don't remember the exact date.
 - Q. And when the repairs were made that you've discussed, the City of Cape Coral owned it?
 - A. Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

- Q. And those repairs, some of them at least, were not completed based upon your historical overview?
 - A. That -- you're talking -- could I back up? I'm sorry. I didn't understand your question.
- 10 Q. Certainly.
- 11 A. The repairs to the spreader?
- 12 Q. Yes, sir.
- A. I'm looking at the picture of the lock -- of the lock. I'm sorry.
- Q. You're right. You're right.
- So I'm talking about the repairs to the spreader that you talked about earlier, I think, in the '90s.
- 18 And some of those, or all of them, were not completed?
- A. They were not completed by the City. That is correct.
- Q. Now, I assume, and please correct me if I'm wrong, does the City of Cape Coral own the canal?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. And does the City of Cape Coral own the land on the west of the South Spreader canal?

3

4

7

8

- Q. Who owns that now?
 - A. State, I believe.
 - O. And what's that belief based on?
- A. It's -- I haven't researched that. Historical knowledge working at the City.
 - Q. The next page, page 40, has a section describing the current South Spreader Waterway and the boat lock condition and, again, did you write that?
- 10 A. Yes.
- Q. And how did you learn that by 1998, the boat lock qauge had deteriorated, required a total rebuild?
- 13 A. Personal -- personally literally hands-on 14 knowledge.
- Q. And did there come a time specifically in 2003, where the City of Cape Coral considered a new parallel boat lock?
- A. I don't remember the exact date. But the city did consider a parallel boat lock, yes.
- Q. And isn't it a fact that based upon your
 historical review in approximately 2005, 2006, the city
 actually applied for an ERP, an environmental resource
 permit, to build a dual lock?
- MR. HENNESSY: Objection, Your Honor.
- THE COURT: Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. HENNESSY: Multiple grounds, beyond the scope of direct, number 1. Number 2, relevance. We're here on a permitted issue which is removal of the boat lock. The fact that there main other permits or other plans or other projects at other times is irrelevant to the -- to what Your Honor has evaluated and decided.

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Hannon, what's your response?

In opening statement, MR. HANNON: Mr. Hennessy talked about how the benefit of removing the lock would be to increase the value of the land north of the lock for folks that wanted to build and have easy access out to the water. And that's an economic issue. And then Mr. Neff was also asked about the options that were available and none of the three options included building a new parallel boat lock. finally Mr. Neff was asked to testify about who made the decision to go with which removal option. And I'm going to inquire of him about that also. So the alternatives are not all that he says that they are. And the fact is that in 2005 and 2006, the City of Cape Coral received authorization and a permit to build a dual lock. received a permit to dredge to accomplish that and they asked for that permit to be extended for 5 years. we're talking about the history of this area. And so I

1 can't imagine it's not.

THE COURT: I guess the question is on relevance, though. How does knowledge that there was another option that might not have been considered in this permit for rebuilding a new lock help me determine whether this ERP meets the public interest balancing test? That's the question.

MR. HANNON: Certainly. Well, if I were to go through this with Mr. Neff, I assume he has knowledge of it. What the city also achieved, Your Honor, is they obtained authorization from the Florida State Fish and Wildlife Service to put in a dual lock because they were going to include a state-of-the-art manatee protection system and what you're being told today is because of manatee deaths, they're contributing to the lock, they have to remove the lock. Well that's not true.

THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to -- I'm going to sustain the objection. I don't see the relevance of that. If you would move on.

MR. HANNON: Well, if you don't mind, it goes directly to the public interest test. The -- what they're -- what we're going to eventually learn is that they simply want to remove the lock because it would cost less.

THE COURT: That's my ruling. If you want

23

24

25

And this is a wider view of the canal so you see more of the mangroves. Do you know -- do you know anything about the health of the mangroves in your expertise?

- A. It's not my expertise, no.
- Q. And are any of the locations for planting additional mangroves visible in this picture?
- A. It would be difficult, Mr. Hannon, without overlaying the plans.
 - O. Okav.
- A. On top, you might catch one. I don't know. It's hard to be -- hard to do that.
- Q. We'll get to see it. And I notice that there's construction across on the north -- Northeast -- excuse me in the top right-hand corner there's construction underway over there. Isn't that construction that's been completed and a whole number of homes have been built right around there?
- A. A lot of it has been completed, yes.
- Q. And additional construction adds additional load of runoff of nutrients to the canal, correct?
- A. It can. In the case of the Cape Harbour, they have a permitted stormwater system so their stormwater it is treated in their system before being discharged into the canals.
- Q. That's excellent. And I have the question in here. Do you know why when these repairs were required back in the '90s, the department didn't require the city to make the repairs?

- 1 I believe that I'd have to do some more research. Α. I believe -- I'd have to do some research. 2 I was 3 involved in this one personally. I don't know think it 4 was a require. It was more the city initially pursued 5 that option to do this thing to help plug the breaches 6 and when it became clear that it was going to not really 7 work because you're going to continue to have erosion around this thing, this device that we design, then the 8 9 city would be then perpetually responsible for 10 maintaining erosion caused by it. Then the city backed 11 I don't know. I'm not sure how many, several out. 12 years later, FDEP picked it up themselves did the 13 design. I mean, they hired a consultant, FDEP picked up 14 the project themselves and moved forward. 15
 - And they didn't complete it either? Ο.
- 16 They did what they designed. I mean, largely 17 it's not exactly what's designed. It's close to what 18 was designed by FDEP engineer.
 - In your study you learned that the design of the South Spreader was established in the late 1970s, correct?
 - Yes, sir. Α.

20

21

22

23

- And that the design of the South Spreader was contained in consent order number 15 correct?
- 25 Objection, Your Honor. MR. HENNESSY:

Q.

1	THE COURT: Yes.
2	MR. HENNESSY: I'll withdraw the objection
3	if we're just talking background.
4	THE COURT: Thank you.
5	THE WITNESS: Yes.
6	BY MR. HANNON:
7	Q. The question was that the design of the South
8	Spreader was described in consent order number 15?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. And you read that consent order?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. And would I be refreshing your recollection if I
13	told you that the warranty deed that's referred to in
14	consent order number 90 required the original developer
15	of Cape Coral to deed all of the mangroves over to the
16	State of Florida?
17	MR. HENNESSY: Objection, Your Honor. Now
18	we're getting to the details of the requirement. This
19	is the beyond the scope of background for purpose of
20	understanding.
21	THE COURT: I'm going to overrule and allow
22	just for background and then move on, please.
23	MR. HANNON: Of course.
24	BY MR. HANNON:

Did my question refresh that all of the mangroves

6

7

8

9

10

11

18

19

20

- 1 | now belong to the people of the state of Florida?
- A. I don't know. I believe it's the -- it's an aquatic preserve. I believe it's state ownership so I think we're all on the same page.
 - Q. And your reading of the content order number 15 indicated that the berm -- well, let me -- before I ask the question, do you understand what's the berm is that's described in consent order number 15?
 - A. I believe so.
 - Q. And what is your understanding of what the berm is?
- 12 A. The intention of the spread was -- it appeared
 13 the intention of the spreader was to do -- as it's name
 14 says, is to capture water, uniformly spread it to the
 15 west -- over the west and to the south through the
 16 mangrove fringe to either the river or the Matlacha
 17 Pass, depending on its location.
 - Q. And the purpose of the berm was to provide a place where the water when it grows as it would could then roll over the berm?
- 21 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And do you know -- do you use the term "legacy nutrients"?
- 24 A. I've heard the term "legacy nutrients."
 - Q. What do you understand that to mean?

24

25

Α.

Q. Well, would you agree that they become shallower

Not necessarily, no. I wouldn't think so, no.

than the rest of the canal?

1 sooner?

3

4

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 2 A. No.
 - Q. Would you agree that sediment in the runoff requires this dredging process?
- 5 MR. HENNESSY: Objection, Your Honor.
- Vague. What sediment? What runoff? Where -- what are we talking about?
- 8 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 9 BY MR. HANNON:
 - Q. I think you told us earlier that all the runoff from the roads and streets and the like go into sewers that go into the canal, correct?
 - A. It -- in much of Cape Coral, there are areas that as Cape Harbour -- we're looking -- still looking at this page that have permitted stormwater systems, where the water drains to, typically, stormwater ponds or other stormwater treatment devices before being discharged.
 - Q. I'm sorry. I'm going to exclude those private entities that create their own stormwater cleaning. I want to talk strictly about residential commercial areas that don't do that.
 - Isn't it fair to say that the majority -- the large majority of surface water that comes off the roads, the roofs, the sidewalks, the streets, and the

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

- lawns go into a sewer system that goes into the spreader canal?

 A. I think it's probably short-changing the things
 - A. I think it's probably short-changing the things that have happened in Cape Coral over the last 30, 40 years. So --
 - Q. Okay. What's the percentage? What's the percentage --
 - A. I don't -- let me --
 - MR. HENNESSY: Objection, Your Honor. He's not allowing the witness to finish his answer.
 - THE COURT: Okay. So I know everybody's excited. I need you to listen to each other. Please let the witness finish their answer before you ask the next question. And the same thing -- if you would, let the attorney finish their question completely before you answer.
 - Thank you.
- MR. HANNON: Who starts, me?
- THE COURT: I think he was trying to finish
 his answer to your last question, so let's pick up with
 that.
- THE WITNESS: So since DEP has come into
 being and the water magistrates have come into being,
 all the commercial development, any new, larger
 residential development. So a lot of projects within

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the South Spreader system do have stormwater treatment systems before discharging to the canals.

So I don't know the percentage off the top of my head, but there are quite -- it's quite a bit. For the typical residential lots in Cape Coral, they -- they go through grass swales to inlets, many of which have been modified as part of the installation of water and sewer and irrigation lines in these new area. A lot of inlets have already been modified raised, with -- much like we showed earlier.

But, yes, then those -- then it drains through the swales and into these -- into storm drainage pipes and into the canals.

14 BY MR. HANNON:

O. Okay. I got it.

And in your study of the history of the lock, isn't it correct that the amount of detained nitrogen behind the lock has increased in the last four years from 30,000 pounds per year to 58,000 pounds per year?

- A. I don't think so.
- Q. Do you know?
- A. I reviewed Brown and Caldwell's report. That is not my expertise, but that's not what I recall. That's not what I remember, so...
 - Q. When you reviewed -- you reviewed the Avalon

- 1 Engineering report from the first application, correct?
 - A. Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

- Q. And I think you said that you -- in designing this new application, you went through all of that?
- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Okay. I'm going to take a moment to talk a bit about that, which I've successfully placed on the screen without too much harm.

So what I have on the screen now is Petitioners' Exhibit 177, which is described as Joint Exhibit No. 1 from the previous application. Let me scroll down a little bit so that you can make sure that this is a document -- this is the document that you reviewed.

MR. HANNON: And I have to interrupt and acknowledge that there are red lines in there that I put in, very similar formatting.

- 17 BY MR. HANNON:
- Q. And then you mentioned, I think, an Avalon Engineering report?
- THE COURT: All right. First, let's find out if he can identify this document.
- THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Am I ready? Okay.
- Yeah, it looks -- with those minor

 modifications, Mr. Hannon, you mentioned, it looks like
- 25 the document that I reviewed.

1 BY MR. HANNON:

2

3

7

8

- Q. Okay. I'm going to see if we can move it along.

 And one moment. Let me check my notes.
- So I'm at page 44 of Petitioners' 177. So is this the Avalon Engineering report that you referred to earlier?
 - A. It appears to be.
 - Q. And that is prepared by Anthony Janicki?
 - A. For portions of the report.
- 10 Q. I'm looking now at page 46. It says,
- "Introduction." And here, the writer says that, "The
- 12 purpose of the removal is to resolve a public safety
- issue due to increased boat traffic, "correct?
- 14 A. Yes.
- Q. That's the same purpose in this case, right?
- A. It is one of the same purposes, yes.
- Q. And we see photos of the lock, and then we have page 48 that says, "Background."
- 19 Are you with me?
- A. Yes, sir. I believe so.
- Q. Didn't you lift all that --
- THE REPORTER: I didn't hear what you said.
- 23 I'm sorry.
- THE COURT: You need to get closer to your
- microphone. When you lean back, we can't hear you.

1 BY MR. HANNON:

2

- Q. Mr. Neff, didn't you lift all this from this report and put it in your own?
- 4 A. No, sir, and I take great offense at that.
- 5 That -- I did not lift this. I used this as a
- 6 reference, and I talk about using this as a reference.
- 7 | But I did my due diligence on this project, as you know,
- 8 | read many, many files, many, many documents in preparing
- 9 what I prepared.
- Q. So it's -- your -- yours is not word-for-word the
- 11 same?
- 12 A. No, it's not word-for-word. I used this as one
- of my primary references, and that is stated in my
- 14 application.
- Q. And then we come down to another section called,
- 16 | "Current lock conditions," and it starts off, "The boat
- 17 lock has been in operation since 1984," end quote.
- Didn't you say the exact same thing?
- A. Well, yes, I did. And I think that's okay when
- 20 | it's the truth.
- 21 O. I see.
- MR. HANNON: Your Honor, I'd move -- I'll
- 23 make it a clean version. I move Petitioners' Exhibit
- No. 177, which is the joint exhibit from the previous
- 25 proceeding that Mr. Neff reviewed, into evidence.

1 THE COURT: All right. Is the version of 2 Petitioners' 177 that you filed in the exhibit portal a 3 clean one, doesn't have these red lines, or does it have 4 the red lines? 5 MR. HANNON: It's not. Correct, no. 6 THE COURT: All right. Is there an 7 objection? MR. HENNESSY: Yes, Your Honor, multiple 8 9 objections. Object to relevance. Object to the fact 10 that this is cross-examination and he's seeking to 11 introduce a document. Object to the fact that he's 12 showing him a document with all this red and 13 highlighting, and now we're, I guess, purportedly going 14 to introduce a different document. I don't understand 15 why we're not just working off the document that --16 that's going to be put into evidence instead of, I 17 quess, potential misleading or confusing the witness 18 with all of these additional documents. 19 But, you know, fundamentally, it comes down to relevance as well. It's one thing in terms of 20 21 background information. It's another to try to, I 22 guess -- to critique the current application in the 23 permit based on the prior application and permit. I 24 don't understand the relevance. 25 THE COURT: Well, it seems like part of the

But I think, if I

THE COURT: Okay.

25

Let me do this. I'll give them the full

BY MR. HANNON:

1	one. You could actually take judicial notice. It comes
2	right out of the file, and FDEP would know. And it is
3	absolutely grist for the mill as to whether this is a
4	different application or not.
5	THE COURT: Okay. So I am I am willing
6	to overrule all of the objections other than this is not
7	an unadulterated document. So you've indicated you can
8	present a clean one, so when you have a clean on that
9	everybody can look at, you can offer it that time.
10	MR. HANNON: Thank you.
11	THE COURT: Okay.
12	MR. HENNESSY: I'm sorry, Your Honor. Are
13	you talking about, then, the entire permit file?
14	Because I thought you agreed that there were foundation
15	or authentication issues.
16	THE COURT: Well, if he has a complete
17	document that he wants to move in, you know, we'll need
18	authentication or arguments that authentication is not
19	needed, you know, at that time.
20	So I'm not I'm not admitting this
21	document at this time, Petitioners' 177. When you have
22	a clean copy, you know, we have a few more days. If you
23	want to present it, then we will do so.
24	MR. HANNON: Thank you.

- 1 So going back now to Joint Exhibit 1.04, which is Q. 2 your report. 3 THE COURT: For the record, it's an 4 annotated version of Joint 1.04. 5 MR. HANNON: Yes. I'll try to make that 6 clear in my questions. 7 BY MR. HANNON: 8 You -- as a professional engineer, you sign and 0. 9 seal plans, right? 10 Yes, sir. Α. 11 And you signed and seal plans and that means that 12 anyone who reviews those plans looks at them, another 13 engineer knows that he meet accepted engineering 14 standards is that right? 15 They should. Yes, they do. Α. 16 And permitting authorities, like FDEP, rely upon 17 your professionalism, when you seal plans, that they 18 are -- to the best of your knowledge, meet all the 19 engineering standards for plans for that particular 20 project, correct? Α. I'm sure they do. 22
- 21

24

- And I want to go back up to the top of 1.04, page JNT 0037. And ask you I mean I represented professional engineers you seal the narrative part of this, correct?
 - I seal attachment A the engineering report which Α.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Q. Well it's not -- it's not all engineering drawings it contains pages of narrative discussions about manatees. It talks -- has lists of figures that have nothing to do with engineering. It puts out explanations for why the city is doing something?

 THE COURT: All right let's get to a question.
- 9 BY MR. HANNON:
 - Q. The question becomes I mean why did you sell that what are you saying that everything in there meets a professional engineering standard what does it mean?
 - A. To the best of my knowledge this is accurate information that I as an engineering sign and seal.
 - Q. I understand sir. But part of this is a history lesson. And you're not an historian, and it doesn't -- and so that's what perplexes me.
 - MR. HENNESSY: Objection, Your Honor. Is there a question here? It seems to be, you know, a narrative, multiple questions, and argumentative, if not asked and answered.
 - MR. HOENSTINE: Asked and answered.
- THE COURT: Sustained. Asked and answered.
- 24 Sustained.
- 25 BY MR. HANNON:

Q. So -- all right.

So here we have a photograph of boats, I think, that you were asked about this, and you gave an opinion about navigation. And I think you referred to this as a queue of boats?

- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And is -- in your opinion as a navigator, is there some problem with this queue?
- A. Well, a queue can cause safety issues with the one way operation of the lock you can see you have back ups here having delays having to figure out what they're going to do with those delays to be safe while they're under very low power there can be safety issues associated with the accuse.
- Q. Of course. Anything can happen. Prove it. Have you proven it have you proven your opinion that there are navigation safety issues associated with the lock and the condition that it's in now?
- MR. HENNESSY: Objection compound and argumentative.
- THE COURT: Sustained. If you would like town require as to the basis of his opinions you can do so but don't argue with him about it has he proven his opinion.
 - MR. HANNON: I don't mean to argue with the

field.

1 witness and I quess I am. So what -- you are a 2 professional in water science and preparation of 3 applications like this, correct. 4 THE WITNESS: I'm a professional engineer 5 civil engineering with experience in stormwater dredging 6 projects similar projects. 7 BY MR. HANNON: 8 And you also that when you present an application Ο. 9 to the department and you assert that it meets certain 10 standards you have an obligation to prove it to the 11 department correct? 12 MR. HENNESSY: Objection. Asked and 13 answered argumentative. 14 MR. ASCHAUER: Relevance. 15 THE COURT: Sustained. 16 BY MR. HANNON: 17 Do you understand that even after the department Ο. 18 accepts your opinion that it meets certain criteria that 19 DOAH still has to determine whether it's proven to meet 20 the criteria? 21 MR. HENNESSY: Objection calls for a legal 22 conclusion. 23 MR. HOENSTINE: Badgering the witness. 24 MR. HANNON: He's a professional in this

THE COURT: Sustained that that calls for a legal conclusion. I've indicated that if you want to inquire as to the bases scientific otherwise for his opinions, then you may do so otherwise your just badgering him. I agree.

MR. HANNON: And.

BY MR. HANNON:

- Q. Now, under city watershed improvements, you mention here that the City has invested hundreds of millions of dollars in several major programs that are beneficial to water quality. Do you know, in your field of expertise, whether financial circumstances have anything to do with the grant or denial of an ERP?
- A. I do not, and we discussed -- you discussed that -- three removal options, and just -- and we have them here in front of you just to recast this for my questioning.

Option one is removed the lock gates an equipment only. Option two is remove the boat lock and restore it to its full 200-foot width. And option 3 is leave the south upland and boat lock concrete floor bottom in place.

So who was it that picked those as the only options?

A. I looked at it those seem sore for removal that

seemed like an adequate number of options if you scroll up the options are looking at boat dock removal. So those are 3. One is the low cost -- low cost option which is frequently looked at in engineering. So that's a low cost option. Unfortunately, doesn't work.

The second one is another option that's frequently looked at, which is the most expansive option, but that has a downside -- some environmental downsides to that option. So then we looked at what became the optimum option, which minimizes any negative damage and provides a useful open area for boaters.

Those are the three that were developed.

Q. So are you telling the judge that you're the only one who selected these three options?

MR. HENNESSY: Objection, Your Honor argumentative.

THE COURT: I'll overrule. Let him answer this question.

THE WITNESS: These options were -- I worked with my team members of course on this issue. So we had several team members including the city of cape oral as these options are important for them to consider also so I would say this is my sign and seal but there would be input and thoughts by other members on the team including the owner the city of cape Carl.

- Q. Thank you.
- So isn't it true then that the final decision maker on what option to pursue was the City of Cape
- 5 | Coral?

- 6 A. I don't know.
- Q. Well, do you understand that the City of Cape

 Coral -- you worked there for quite some time -- is run

 by a city council, correct?
- 10 A. Yes.
- Q. And isn't it your experience that before an a project like this reaches fruition it has to be approved by the city council?
- MR. HENNESSY: Objection, Your Honor.
- 15 | Relevance.

19

20

21

22

23

24

- THE COURT: I have the same question what is
 the response. What is the relevance of this line as to
 who made the decision which option to go with.
 - MR. HANNON: Well, because the option on the dual boat lock is not considered anywhere and I'm trying to find out and explore why that wasn't considered and the reason why approximate it wasn't considered and if it he's testifying about the options and his recommendations and what should be chosen or not then I ought to be able to ask that question.

problems?

1	THE COURT: Then ask that question. So he's
2	already told you that he's he suggested options
3	for removal not reconstruction and these were the three
4	options you based on sounds like sound engineering
5	principles you start with the least cost most impactful
6	and lay them all out.
7	MR. HANNON: Right.
8	THE COURT: You want to know why wasn't
9	rebuilding an option, ask him that question.
10	MR. HANNON: Sure.
11	BY MR. HANNON:
12	Q. Mr. Neff?
13	A. So the guidance direction, the scope, the project
14	that we are pursuing is removal.
15	Q. The purpose of removal, as I understand it, is to
16	prevent manatee deaths and allow more rapid navigation
17	for boats inside the lock am I missing anything?
18	A. Could you restate that? I'm sorry.
19	Q. Right. You indicated in your report that the
20	concern the goal that this project seeks to achieve is
21	to protect manatees and increase navigation, correct?
22	A. Those were two of them, yes.
23	Q. So wouldn't a dual boat lock system with manatee
24	protections, which are available, solve those two

1 Objection, Your Honor. MR. HOENSTINE: 2 That's not part of the public interest test. There is 3 no factor that goes to weighing alternatives. 4 going outside the bounds of what this proceeding is. 5 MR. HENNESSY: I join in with that, 6 Your Honor, and also state that you're never here to 7 determine the wisdom come of this project versus any 8 myriad of other projects. You're here to determine the 9 compliance of the project that's in front of you. 10 I understand, and I actually had THE COURT: 11 previously ruled on the relevance of this question, but 12 then I invited it. So I was hoping that you would let 13 me gracefully get out of that, but no, you did not. All 14 right. So maybe it's just the late hour. 15 So I had invited it. It's been asked. Ι 16 think it's been answered that they were not looking at 17 options other than removal. So let's just move on. 18 BY MR. HANNON: 19 Okay. Who's "they" --Ο. 20 THE COURT: And --21 BY MR. HANNON: 22 Who's "they"? Ο. 23 MR. HENNESSY: Again, Your Honor, relevance 24 and asked and answered. 25 MR. HANNON: Well, he's working for someone.

1 BY MR. HANNON:

3

4

5

6

7

8

25

- O. Who's the client?
 - A. The City of Cape Coral.
 - Q. Thank you.

So can we infer that the City of Cape Coral did not consider a dual boat lock with manatee protections?

MR. HENNESSY: Same objection, Your Honor relevance.

9 THE COURT: Sustained. All right.

MR. HANNON: Your Honor, the relevance is

11 | that -- and I think that this will -- it's only

|12| logical -- is that the manatee story and the boat

13 story --

MR. ASCHAUER: Objection. He's testifying,

15 Your Honor.

THE COURT: I appreciate that. However,

there is no jury here to mislead. So if we could -- I

mean, technically correct, yes. But I'm going to -- I'm

19 going to reiterate this one more time.

So what is before me in this case is to

21 determine whether this ERP, not on ERP that would

22 consider other options, meets the public interest test.

Okay? So I've allowed you to explore that. The answer

 24 is, no, it wasn't being considered.

And let's move on, please.

- 1 Okay. Thank you. MR. HANNON:
- 2 BY MR. HANNON:
- 3 So, currently, the lock is permanently open and 4 is a danger, in your opinion, to boaters?

Judge Suzanne Van Wyk

- 5 Α. It's dangerous to navigate as it is, yes.
- 6 But the city continues to operate that way, 7 correct?
 - With warning signs, yes. Α.
- 9 Do you think warning signs are adequate to solve 10 the danger that that the city is creating?
- 11 MR. HOENSTINE: Objection, relevance.
- 12 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 13 BY MR. HANNON:

- 14 Well, let's talk about manatees. The City owns 15 the lock, correct?
- 16 Α. Yes.
- 17 And do you happen to recall when the City first 18 learned of what Mr. Hennessy says is the death of a 19 manatee in the lock?
- 20 I -- no, I don't remember the exact date.
- 21 There's some communication, I think, that we were
- 22 looking at. But, no, I don't remember the first date
- 23 that we learned.
- 24 Didn't the City have an absolute duty to make 25 certain that manatees were not harmed by operation of

Q.

1 the lock? 2 MR. HENNESSY: Objection, Your Honor. Calls 3 for a legal conclusion, asking about the duty of the 4 City. 5 THE COURT: And perhaps beyond the expertise 6 of this witness. 7 So, sustained. 8 MR. HANNON: Your Honor, he talked earlier 9 about the Florida Fish and Wildlife approving this, so 10 he has some knowledge about this. 11 BY MR. HANNON: 12 Ο. Do you --13 MR. HANNON: May I ask that? 14 THE COURT: Yes. I mean, you're cross-examining and he testified to comments that were 15 16 made by the FWC during the permitting process and how he 17 believes the permit addresses the issues raised. 18 So, yes, you can ask that. 19 MR. HANNON: Thank you. 20 That's a different question from THE COURT: 21 didn't the City have an absolute duty to not harm 22 manatees. 23 MR. HANNON: You're right, of course. 24 BY MR. HANNON:

The problem that -- well, so do you know whether

you're almost on, you won't have a lock that can fail

removed, and if it's safe, if looks like the photo that

5

6

7

8

9

10

- with potential erosion that could come along with that
 failure of that structure of those seawalls and things
 like that.
 - Q. I know -- I see the part about the ongoing maintenance hardships. What I'm referring to is what what I thought had to do with the wetlands. Harmful erosion of the berm, sediment transport, and damage to the wetlands.
 - How would removal of the lock prevent that from occurring?
- MR. HENNESSY: Objection. Asked and answered.
- THE COURT: I'm sorry. I'm going to have to hear it again. I'm going to overrule.
- THE WITNESS: I'll try again.
- 16 THE COURT: Thank you.
- THE WITNESS: So with the failure of the lock, these items are a risk. So if the lock begins to fall apart, if you have structural damage where the lock is actually falling apart, the seawalls are falling down, you can have these things happen that would create erosion, that creates sediment transportation, and then, of course, could damage the wetlands that are nearby.
- 24 BY MR. HANNON:

25

Q. Mr. Neff, you told us that you learned from

25

others.

Here's one location at page 42, and there are

Read the paragraph that begins, "The SSW west

1 bank." 2 THE COURT: We're not seeing that on the 3 screen. 4 THE WITNESS: It's not there yet. 5 MR. HANNON: There you go. The first 6 paragraph. 7 THE WITNESS: Yes. That's -- so it -- what 8 it says is those breaches have existed since 9 construction was completed. So it doesn't say -- it 10 says they've been there for a long, long time. 11 what it says. So those have been there -- from my 12 research, those have been there -- you know, maybe since 13 day one, those breaches have been there. 14 So those breaches have been there a long, 15 long time, it says that, and existed since construction 16 was completed. So those have been there since that day, 17 and they have not been successfully repaired, is what it 18 says. So they're not fully plugged. 19 Again -- I think I answered this. We've 20 been through this. So they -- Breach 20, they have 21 done -- FDEP has done some projects. You asked me 22 questions about that. They have done some projects to 23 provide -- I don't know -- a more managed interchange in

25 BY MR. HANNON:

24

those locations and those breaches.

1 Mr. Neff, this says that the -- these damages Q. 2 have existed since construction was completed. Are you 3 saying that these damages that they attempted to repair 4 occurred before construction of the lock? 5 Α. I'm saying it says they've been -- that's what 6 I'm saying, is the breaches have been there since 7 construction was completed. 8 Right. Okay. Ο. 9 Α. Yeah. 10 So my question of you is: If the damage has Ο. 11 occurred despite the lock being in place, how can you 12 say that removal of the lock will prevent further 13 damage? 14 Objection. I mean, I find MR. HENNESSY: 15 that question confusing, Your Honor, if not compound. 16 MR. HANNON: I just think he doesn't want to 17 answer it. 18 THE COURT: Excuse me. Please don't impugn 19 the character of the witness. 20 The -- I think what's wrong with that 21 question is that it assumes that they're not mutually 22 exclusive. So there may -- I assume -- let me just ask 23 the witness a couple of questions. Y'all may give me 24 too much credit.

Tell me what material the South Spreader

ROUGH DRAFT

1 Waterway is actually constructed from, particularly the 2 western boundary. What is it? 3 THE WITNESS: So -- sorry. 4 THE COURT: Go ahead. 5 THE WITNESS: The western boundary, which I 6 know we have some photos here, is dirt. 7 THE COURT: Okay. 8 THE WITNESS: It's mostly soil with 9 mangroves winding most of it. That's what you'll see. 10 And then you'll have these breaches, and we're focusing 11 on the three major breaches and --12 THE COURT: And I'm going to interrupt you. 13 THE WITNESS: Yes. 14 THE COURT: So I assume that this took some 15 time to construct, the entire perimeter of it. 16 THE WITNESS: Yes. It's largely a wide 17 It's a -- that's like -- it's a line -- it's a canal. 18 line --19 THE COURT: How long? Do you know how long 20 it took to construct the entire South Spreader Waterway? 21 THE WITNESS: Oh, I do not. That would have 22 been in the -- that would have been predated me, you know, timing with the consent order time frame. 23 24 I would be quessing. I don't really know. 25 THE COURT: It wasn't done in a couple week?

1 THE WITNESS: It was not done in a couple of 2 weeks, no. 3 THE COURT: All right. So I'm going to 4 sustain the objection as to compound, and ask you, 5 Mr. Hannon, to try to rephrase. 6 MR. HANNON: I'm actually going to try to 7 answer Your Honor's inquiry --8 THE COURT: Okay. 9 MR. HANNON: -- by moving to another 10 exhibit, which is Petitioner's Exhibit 143, which is up 11 on the screen. And it's described as -- let me reduce 12 it so we can see it. 13 It's described as the "City of Cape Coral 14 Spreader Waterway Breach Area Improvements Design Report 15 of May of 1993" by Aidens and Emerson, Inc. 16 BY MR. HANNON: 17 Mr. Neff, was this part of the historical Ο. 18 material that you reviewed for your work on this 19 application? 20 Α. No. 21 0. Have you seen it before? 22 I believe I have, but --Α. 23 Ο. And does this? 24 I'm sorry? THE REPORTER: 25 But it's been a while. THE WITNESS:

- DI MIC. HAMMON

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

- Q. Okay. Do you recall whether this shows what the berm looks like on the west side of the canal and the breaches that you've talked about?
- A. I don't remember.
- Q. May I show you some of the photos and see if it refreshes your recollection?
 - A. Sure.
 - Q. First we have -- at page 13, we have a drawing of a portion of the South Spreader that has indications of where a number of breaches have occurred.
- Do you remember seeing that?
- 13 A. I'm just seeing the North Spreader right now.
- Q. I'm sorry. The south. You think this is the north?
- 16 A. It's labeled "north."
- Q. I'm sorry. Forgive me.
- But do you remember seeing this one, in any
- 19 event?
- A. It's -- may have been since 1993 that I saw this document.
- Q. All right. Let's move on. This may be --
- MR. HENNESSY: Objection, Your Honor.
- What's the relevance of this inquiry?
- THE COURT: I thought that counsel was going

1 to help get an answer to my question, although I -- my 2 question was what materials it constructed of. That's 3 been answered, and I quess my follow-up question was how 4 long did it take. 5 I'm not really sure where we're going with 6 this. 7 BY MR. HANNON: 8 On page 17, do you recognize this as being a 9 breach? 10 MR. HANNON: Objection. Relevance, 11 Your Honor. We're -- he's not identifying the breach, and what he has identified is the breaches in the 12 13 Northern Spreader Waterway, which I think, per your 14 previous ruling, would have very limited relevance in 15 this proceeding. 16 THE COURT: Does this document contain the 17 South Spreader Waterway breaches? 18 THE WITNESS: Yes. 19 THE COURT: Okay. 20 THE COURT: Let's try to get to those then 21 and ask the question. 22 MR. HANNON: All right. 23 BY MR. HANNON: 24 Do you recognize the photo that's marked 9 and 10 25 as being the South Spreader?

- 1 A. No.
- 2 MR. HENNESSY: Again --
- THE COURT: Let him answer. He said no.
- 4 Okay. Go ahead.
- 5 BY MR. HANNON:
- Q. Do you recognize the photos that are called
 Breach 11 on page 24 as being in the South Spreader?
- A. Mr. Hannon, if you could go back to like -
 they're numbered. I'm an engineer. Maybe -- I'm

 trying --
- 11 Q. I understand what you're asking.
- A. I -- if you go back -- I think you'll help
 yourself to get to the right -- if you're trying to get
 to the South Spreader, if you use the overall map, it
 might speed things along. Everything you're showing
 there is in the North Spreader.
- Q. Fair enough.
- 18 A. Now go down to the next one.
- 19 Q. You're right.

- A. You're all on the North Spreader there.
- Q. So you do remember this. So this is the South
 Spreader?
- A. There we go, yes.
- Q. Okay. And the numbered breaches here begin at 14
- and go to 19. Did I read that right? 20. Yes, 20,

- 1 right?
- 2 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. So this one is is marked as. 14 so is that --
- MR. HENNESSY: Your Honor, if he could show
 the witness the entire document so we can actually see
 those references to the numbers. Otherwise we're just
 looking at a mangrove.
- 9 MR. HANNON: I'm just doing what he's asked.
 10 So this is -- this is labeled Breach 14 which was on the
- 11 | South Spreader chart. Do you recognize that as Breach
- 12 | 14 in the South Spreader.
- THE WITNESS: I -- this is a 1993 document.
- 14 So it's -- things have changed since 1993. So I don't
- 15 know that I recognize that as that breach.
- 16 BY MR. HANNON:
- Q. Okay. If the engineers did their job right, this would have been a picture of Breach 14 that was on the
- 19 map, right?
- 20 A. I would assume that Aiden and Emerson did a good
- job and those are the right pictures at the right
- 22 | location. I haven't read that document probably since
- '93 or '94, something like that.
- Q. Of course. I understand. So let's see if maybe
- some of them haven't changed that much and you can

1 | recognize them.

MR. HENNESSY: Your Honor, I have to object as relevance here. Originally, it sounded like he was just showing him to refresh his recollection as to some specific point. But it seems like we're going through entire report from 1939 that the witness says he hasn't looked at once 1993 and that it doesn't reflect current conditions. So that's my objection. Relevance.

THE COURT: Thank you. So do you understand, Mr. Hannon? You've asked him if you can identify the document. He says he hasn't looked at it since, you know, the early '90s. He doesn't recognize the particular pictures of breaches because conditions have changed. So what is the relevance of asking him continued question based on this document?

MR. HANNON: Well, because he doesn't remember it, I guess none.

THE COURT: Okay thank you.

MR. HANNON: Let's go back to the report. So we're at page 45 and this is in a section called maximum dredging and canal depth permit limitations and I've highlighted the last sentence and ask you if you wrote that.

MR. HENNESSY: Your Honor, I'm -- I just need to have this better pointed out to me. He said the

last sentence he's highlighted. Which last sentence are
we talking about? Give a word that it starts with.

MR. HANNON: In this section as is.

MR. HENNESSY: Thank you, Your Honor. I object again to the use of this document with these suggestive statements in red. That's clearly putting evidence in front of Your Honor that's -- or comments and statements that are not in evidence and it's just inappropriate.

THE COURT: I appreciate that. I already indicated I'm not accepting this into evidence itself and, you know, give me a little credit for being able to dismiss certain things that I know shouldn't be presented to me and let's just try to get through this. Are we almost finished with your questioning of this witness based on this document?

MR. HANNON: No. I mean Mr. Hennessy went through more documents that this one.

asking a question. It's 5:35. We have to be out of here at 6. We have permission until 6. We're keeping, you know, these guys have to be paid extra when we make them stay late. So this is you know, this is not like just staying at DOAH. We're able to do that, you know, easily. So I want to make sure that everybody is heard

1 but I was trying to -- just trying it gauge where you 2 are. 3 MR. HANNON: I will be happy to go to 6. I 4 won't finish. 5 THE COURT: I gather you won't finish by 6. 6 The question is when he should we actually stop the 7 questioning in order to get out of here. 8 MR. HENNESSY: Your Honor, if I may as well, 9 we had had our fire chief here waiting all afternoon as 10 well to testify. He's got 20 minutes of testimony max 11 and he is -- I don't know that he's available next week. 12 So if he's not going to finish with Mr. Neff and we're 13 at a breaking point before he starts could we take the 14 chief out of -- you in the middle of this and just get a 15 quick witness on and off so he doesn't have to come 16 back. 17 MR. HANNON: Happy to if Your Honor is. 18 If the Petitioners' are all THE COURT: 19 right with that, that's fine with me. I don't normally 20 like to take one witness before we've completed a 21 witness's testimony. 22 MR. HENNESSY: And I've never requested it 23 before, but he has to recertify his entire department 24 next week.

MR. HANNON: I won't take any more time than

- 1 | they do on direct, probably less.
- THE COURT: We are suspending the
- 3 cross-examination of Mr. Neff at this point. And we'll
- 4 | note that for the record, Madam Court Reporter, and we
- 5 | will take the fire chief's testimony next. I hope you
- 6 were in it for the long haul.
- 7 | THEREUPON,
- 8 CHIEF RYAN LAMB,
- 9 Being by me first duly sworn to tell the truth testifies
- 10 as follows:
- 11 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 12 THE WITNESS: I do.
- 13 BY MR. ASCHAUER:
- Q. For the record, we're calling Chief Ryan Lamb to the stand, Your Honor.
- Sir, if you could please state and spell your name for the record?
- 18 A. Sure. Ryan Lamb. R-Y-A-N L-A-M-B.
- Q. And by whom are you employed Chief Lamb?
- 20 A. The City of Cape Coral.
- Q. And in what position are you employed by the city of Cape Coral?
- A. I serve as the fire chief and emergency management director for the city.
- Q. How long have you been the fire chief for the

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

16

17

18

20

- 1 | city of Cape Coral?
 - A. Been the fire chief for over five years.
- Q. How long have you been with the City of Cape
 Coral fire department?
 - A. Started in 2005 so over 18 years.
 - Q. Okay. And as the fire chief for the city of Cape Coral, what are your responsibilities, sir?
 - A. I'm charged first and foremost of the health, safety, and well-being of the residents and visitors of City of Cape Coral, in addition to preventing and responding to emergencies and reducing risk to life and property including the environment of Cape Coral.
- Q. And, Chief Lamb, are you familiar with the Chiquita Lock?
- 15 A. I am.
 - Q. And are you familiar with the city of Cape Coral's permit application for the South Spreader Waterway Environmental Improvement Program?
- 19 A. Yes.
 - Q. Chief Lamb, does the City of Cape Coral fire department have any marine units?
- A. Yes. We have three marine units that service our area.
- Q. Are any of them assigned to the South Spreader
 Waterway, sir?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

17

20

21

- 1 A. Yes, Marine 9.
 - Q. And where is Marine Unit 9 stationed, sir?
 - A. Marine Unit 9 is stationed at Tarpon Marina.
 - Q. And why is Marine 9 stationed at the Tarpon Point Marina, sir?
 - A. It's strategically located in that area because it serves that portion of the City of Cape Coral and surrounding waterways including the South Spreader.

(Reporter clarification.)

- A. Marine 9 covers -- it's strategically located to cover the south of southern area of Cape Coral. I believe it's south of Spread Canal.
- Q. Thank you, sir. Is there a land unit that is responsible for South Spreader Waterway, sir?
- A. That area is covered by Fire Station 6 and Ladder 6 and Rescue 6 respond to that area.
 - Q. And were you ever a part of Ladder Unit 6?
- A. Yes, sir. I served on Ladder 6 for a number of years.
 - Q. Did you -- do you recall when you gave your service on Ladder Number 6?
- 22 A. 2008.
- Q. Can we pull up Joint Exhibit 1.07, Mr. Pair. And let's go to page 2, which is Bates No. JNT 155. Chief
 Lamb, do you recognize this letter that we are showing

- you on the screen that's been marked as Joint Exhibit
 1.07 for the record?
- 3 A. I do.
 - A. Are you the author of this letter, sir.
- 5 A. I am.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- O. Why did you write this letter, chief Lamb?
- A. To express my opinion and the stance of our department that this lock should be removed for health safety concerns that we've noted within.
- Q. Why does the department has the concerns that lock represented health safety and welfare to the city of Cape Coral?
- A. We strategically analyzed this area and working through a community risk assessment. This is an area that we identified as an area that we would have trouble responding to because of the lock, if the lock is closed that we do not have access to get behind the lock.

 There is not public boat ramps or private boat ramps behind the lock area.

So there's a number of areas that we have a concern for. So if there's a boat collision, fire, drowning, any of those areas could pose a risk to our residents and also to our firefighters there's a couple of keys areas, if I can point out those, that we specifically had a concern on in addition to hazardous

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Chief, I would actually like you to, if you wouldn't mind, go to the map and point out the areas but while you're there, just simply point to the areas and these I'm going to ask you to return so when you actually speak your testimony is into the mic.
 - A. Okay.
- Q. If you could go over and point to areas and I'll call out an area and that's the bump out, correct?
- A. Yes.
- 12 O. And the marina?
- 13 A. Yes.
 - Q. Okay you can return. So since you do have the laser pointer. Thank you for bringing that to my attention. Would you go ahead and point out the first area with the laser pointer that we identify as the bump out? Where is that and why is that an area of concern to you, Chief Lamb?
 - A. When we looked at this area, this is at an area that doesn't have residential properties or accessible properties nearby. So if there was a boat collision, a boat fire, drowning, something in that area, I am putting a firefighter in the water to swim over a thousand feet back into that area without a vessel to

1 | support that rescue effort.

- Q. Are there any portions of the bump out that are obstructed from the view of the street?
- A. That area is as well. So they'd be, essentially, out there on their own. There'd be no supervisor being able to watch them, a backup swimmer, limited communications. It's beyond the length of our communication lines.
- Q. And then can you point out to the area we identified as the marina? And why do you have concerns about that area?
- A. So behind the lock is also the Cape Harbour Marina, and there are a good number of large vessels within that marina. And if they -- there's a -- if one of vessels were to catch on fire, one of the first things they do is burn through the dock lines, and then -- now you have a giant boat that's on fire that would be bouncing around within that marina, potentially causing additional damage by fire and additional pollutants by the diesel and the burning fiberglass.
- So with the vessel in there, we'd be able to help control that boat fire.
- Q. And would that create what you reference as a hazmat situation?
- 25 A. Yes.

- Q. And what is hazmat, for the record?
 - A. "Hazmat" is shorthand for us for hazardous materials.
 - Q. And to your marine units respond to hazmat emergencies?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And would the removal of the lock improve your department's ability to respond to potential hazmat situations in the waters of the South Spreader Waterway?
 - A. Yes, because, again, after hours or when the lock is inoperable, we're unable to get a vessel behind that lock into that south waterway.
 - Q. And Chief Lamb, how long have you held these concerns about the Chiquita Lock?
 - A. So this has been a concern since my time on -- as a firefighter on Rescue 6 and Ladder 6, and then that has been heightened in 2015 when I was promoted to division chief, which included overseeing special operations. And then now, since as the fire chief we work on our community risk assessment, this is an area of keen interest for us.
 - Q. Okay. And you mentioned the community risk assessment in your testimony a couple times. What is that, sir?
 - A. This is something that we work through as an

agency that's working towards accreditation that we have to identify the risks that are across our community and how we plan to respond to them. So it's a community risk assessment and our standard of cover.

So this is an area that we've been identified -have identified as a challenge for us to provide
response to.

- Q. And when you say "this area," do you mean the Chiquita Lock?
- A. The Chiquita Lock and the full south waterway.

 Again, looking at all those houses, vessels, and those particular waterways that are only accessible through that lock area.
- Q. Okay. So have you and your department specifically identified the Chiquita Lock as part of your community risk assessment?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And Chief Lamb, do the concerns you've expressed today -- well, let me start that over.

The letter that we're showing that's been marked as Joint Exhibit 1.07, does that letter also summarize some of your concerns about the Chiquita Lock? Yes, sir. In addition to not only just those emergencies instances that we can respond to, we do have concerns.

We do -- sometimes we get calls. The City of Cape Coral

1	participates as we call it the MER team, the Marine	
2	Emergency Response team, in conjunction with other local	
3	fire departments and the Coast Guard. So we get calls	
4	for vessels in distress.	
5	Oftentimes, we'll we can get a call to that	
6	area as vessels are cueing to go through the lock if	
7	there's inclement weather, lightning and such, that they	
8	concerns in that area for injuries out on the waterway.	
9	Q. And so for all of those reasons and the reasons	
10	we discussed today, do you support the removal the	
11	Chiquita Lock?	
12	A. Yes, sir.	
13	MR. ASCHAUER: Not that I'm timing myself,	
14	but that was six minutes, Your Honor.	
15	THE COURT: Thank you.	
16	All right. Cross-examination, or does the	
17	DEP have questions for this witness?	
18	MR. HOENSTINE: No questions, Your Honor.	
19	THE COURT: All right.	
20	CROSS-EXAMINATION	
21	BY MR. HANNON:	
22	Q. Chief, pleased to meet you.	
23	How did you learn about the opportunity to	
24	present this letter in this proceeding?	
25	A. I don't specifically recall.	

Q.

And did you collect that data?

groundwork there.

1	A. We attempted to. There's a number of issues with		
2	trying to collect data in that area, based off of the		
3	addresses that are potentially listed, if it's a land		
4	response versus a marine response.		
5	Q. So you assume there would be some data that would		
6	support your opinion?		
7	A. We're able to collect some portions of data, yes.		
8	Q. And did you provide it to someone?		
9	A. Yes.		
10	Q. Who did you provide it to?		
11	MR. ASCHAUER: Objection, Your Honor.		
12	Relevance.		
13	THE COURT: I'll overrule.		
14	Go ahead.		
15	THE WITNESS: The city council.		
16	BY MR. HANNON:		
17	Q. Okay. And the the Matlacha Pine Island		
18	Independent Fire District has a number of different kind		
19	of boats.		
20	Do you?		
21	MR. ASCHAUER: Objection. Assumes facts not		
22	in evidence. It's also argumentative.		
23	THE COURT: I'll sustain on assumes facts		
24	not in evidence. You might need to lay a little		

1	BY	MR.	HANNON:

3

4

5

6

- Q. Do you know that the Matlacha Pine Island Fire District has boats for water rescue?
- A. Yes. I know Pine Island Matlacha has boats for water rescue.
 - O. And do you have boats for water rescue?
- 7 A. Yes, sir. We have three boats.
 - Q. And where are they kept?
- 9 A. We have three vessels currently. One is on a

 10 lift at Burnt Store Marina. One is on a lift at Tarpon

 11 Point Marina. And one is currently on a trailer at Fire

 12 Station 3, which is at Veterans and Del Prado.
- Q. And I want to go up to Chief Sizemore's letter.
- 14 You know Chief Sizemore, I assume?
- MR. ASCHAUER: Your Honor, outside the
- 16 scope.
- THE COURT: Sustained.
- MR. HANNON: If I may, Your Honor.
- 19 BY MR. HANNON:
- Q. Have you read Chief Sizemore's letter?
- MR. ASCHAUER: Objection.
- THE COURT: Sustained. He wasn't asked
- about Chief Sizemore's letter or any concerns that the
- police department has raised, so...
- MR. HANNON: I just want to raise the point

1 that first and last paragraphs of both letters are 2 identical. 3 THE COURT: Okay. That's something that you 4 can do in your argument. 5 MR. ASCHAUER: I'd say the documents are in 6 They speak for themselves. evidence. 7 MR. HANNON: Thank you. 8 THE COURT: Okay. That's it? 9 Well, I can't ask him if he MR. HANNON: 10 knows that -- knows why that is, but that's okay. 11 THE COURT: Okay. Any redirect? 12 I do not, Your Honor. MR. ASCHAUER: 13 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Aschauer, I'm going 14 to ask you not to deal with this right now, but I want 15 you to make a note that my recollection, which is probably completely inaccurate, when looking at maps 16 17 earlier was that that marina was south of the lock, and 18 not north. 19 So at an appropriate -- with an appropriate 20 witness, if you would address that for me on Monday, 21 okay? 22 Tarpon Point. MR. HANNON: 23 MR. ASCHAUER: If the question is where is 24 Tarpon Point, I can have the chief point that out while 25 we're here with the use of a map.

1	THE COURT: Great. I would appreciate that.
2	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
3	BY MR. ASCHAUER:
4	Q. Chief Lamb, there were a number of questions
5	about where your boat was kept during cross-examination.
6	Do you recall that?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. And, in fact, during direct we identified Tarpon
9	Point. Do you
10	THE COURT: No, no, no. I'm talking about
11	the Cape Harbour Marina. Cape Harbour Marina is one of
12	two areas of special concern.
13	MR. HANNON: That is inside the lock,
14	Your Honor.
15	MR. ASCHAUER: I'll let the witness
16	THE COURT: Okay.
17	BY MR. ASCHAUER:
18	Q. Chief, is Cape Harbour Marina inside the lock?
19	A. Yes. It's the lock is here, and then around
20	and north of that is the Carp Harbour Marina. The only
21	access to that marina there is a boat ramp there, but
22	you have to go through the lock to be able to access
23	that part of the marina.
24	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
25	I thought my recollection was probably

25

- 1 wrong, but I wanted to get that straight in my head. 2 Thank you. 3 All right. It sounds like you are 4 dismissed. Thank you. 5 All right. We have ten minutes. Before we 6 adjourn, I have a housekeeping matter of how early can we start on Monday. Looks like the doors to the 7 8 courthouse open downstairs at 7:30. 9 Can we get started at 8:30 on Monday 10 morning? 11 MR. HENNESSY: Absolutely, Your Honor. I 12 understand, actually, this courtroom will open up at 13 8:00. Is that right, Deputy? 14 THE BAILIFF: I can be here at 8:00 and open 15 it, if you guys want to get here that early. 16 THE COURT: Okay. That would be great if we could -- and then if we could be ready to go on the 17 record at 8:30, fantastic. 18 19 Okay. Not seeing any objection. I'm seeing 20 assenting, nodding heads. 21 MR. HANNON: Well, I do object because we 22 have lots of people who are going to be driving at least 23 an hour and a half to get there that morning.
 - behind you nodding their heads that it was okay.

THE COURT: Okay. Because your clients were

1	MR. HANNON: Well, plus, we have		
2	MR. HENNESSY: I highly recommend that they		
3	go early anyway. They'll beat the traffic that way.		
4	MR. HANNON: We also expect experts that		
5	THE COURT: Well, obviously, we will not		
6	take expert witness testimony before they're here unless		
7	they're appearing via Zoom. I believe Mr. Hennessy has		
8	not concluded his case, correct?		
9	MR. HENNESSY: We're going to start, I		
10	imagine, with the completion of the cross-examination of		
11	Neff. Then we will call Mya Rober, and we will also		
12	call the representative from FWC.		
13	THE COURT: And Ms. Rober is an expert,		
14	correct?		
15	MR. HENNESSY: I'm sorry. I should say		
16	Dr. Rober.		
17	THE COURT: Dr. Rober is an expert?		
18	MR. HENNESSY: Yes, ma'am.		
19	THE COURT: So I think maybe what you were		
20	saying, Mr. Hannon, is you want to make sure your		
21	experts are here, present, during expert witness		
22	testimony?		
23	MR. HANNON: Yes.		
24	THE COURT: All right. So		
25	MR. HANNON: May I inquire through the Court		

ROUGH DRAFT

1	that if Mr. Hennessy anticipates that, completing his		
2	prima facie case?		
3	THE COURT: I assume that's what you meant.		
4	Is that what you mean? After those two		
5	witnesses, you'll be completed with your prima facie		
6	case?		
7	MR. HENNESSY: Unless things change,		
8	Your Honor. Then, of course, we would join in the		
9	testimony of the Department witness.		
10	THE COURT: Sure. And the Department's		
11	planning to offer one witness?		
12	MR. HOENSTINE: That's correct, Your Honor.		
13	THE COURT: All right. I would ask that you		
14	do the best you can to get folks here you know, by 8:30.		
15	I want to get rolling. Okay?		
16	MR. HANNON: Yes, Your Honor.		
17	MR. HENNESSY: Your Honor, yeah. I mean,		
18	I'm sure, at the rate we're going, we won't be done with		
19	Mr. Neff by 9:00.		
20	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.		
21	All right. We're adjourned.		
22			
23			
24			

WORD INDEX

<0> 0037 204:23 0040 176:17 0101 70:12 07-4834 18:10

07-4834 18:*10* <1> **1** 44:8 61:19, 24 64:3 88:11 89:2 91:*14* 99:*3* 159:*1* 186:2 197:10 **1.004** 113:*16* **1.01** 111:21 112:10 **1.04** 180:4, 6 204:1, 4, 22 **1.05** 70:*12* 91:22 139:19 176:5 177:8 179:24 180:1 **1.06** 145:22, 25 **1.07** 64:3. 8 232:23 233:2 237:21 **1.19** 152:23 **1.21** 158:22 **1.48** 51:5 52:5 89:2 159:15, 16 **1.49** 51:3 **1.50** 168:16 169:24 171:17 **10** 44:*19* 60:*19* 103:17 105:14 160:11 224:24 **10th** 16:6 **11** 137:5 151:*17* 166:18 225:7 **12** 57:16 91:25 166:18 **12/27/21** 158:23 **120** 25:17 **120.54** 17:24 **120.545(b** 17:20 **120.569(2)(c** 17:22 **12-1-2023** 1:2 125-foot-width 126:12, 18

13 52:6 53:3 89:17

167:10, 13 223:9

139 88:*11*

14 166:23 167:*1* 225:24 226:3, 10, 12, 18 **14,000** 57:*16* 90:*9* **143** 222:*10* **148** 51:5 **149** 51:2, 6 **15** 164:*1* 190:24 191:8 192:5, 8 **1531** 10:*16* **155** 232:24 **16** 10:*16* 105:*19* 107:20 108:8 **17** 16:7 105:19 107:20 224:8 **1718** 167:*3* **177** 197:10 198:4 199:24 200:2 203:21 **18** 231:5 **1820.003** 20:20 **19** 86:25 166:24 167:1. 3. 4 225:25 **1939** 227:6 **1970s** 59:6 182:7 190:20 **1977** 182:22 **1980** 85:6 **1981** 16:4 **1982** 24:2 **1983** 18:20 99:6 **1984** 24:8 51:*12* 199:*17* **1987** 17:9 **1990s** 103:3, 5 **1993** 222:*15* 223:*20* 226:13, 14 227:7 **1998** 185:11

<2>
2 103:18 105:13
108:8 113:2 161:10
166:7, 9, 13 183:13
186:2 232:24
2:15 96:6
20 35:24 36:2 79:20
90:21 91:4 93:8
105:2, 8, 21 107:1, 4,
7, 23 122:21 125:21
188:13 219:20
225:25 229:10

2003 10:6 88:9 185:*15* **2005** 48:*12* 185:*21* 186:21 231:5 **2006** 72:*19* 185:*21* 186:2*1* **2008** 232:22 **200-foot** 208:20 **2010** 18:*10* **2015** 91:18 92:11 236:17 **2018** 86:12, 20 88:9 136:*15* **2019** 57:7, 25 58:12 **2020** 53:*3* **2021** 106:16, 17 113:1, 10, 11, 22 152:25 **2023** 18:2 19:24 27:21 **20-foot** 122:18, 21, 22 126:14 **20-some** 119:*15* **22-1564** 18:2 20:*15* **23** 16:7 30:2 **23-1786** 1:19 **24** 30:2 225:7 **24th** 152:25 **25** 30:2 **25-foot** 122:*16* **26** 30:2 **27** 27:22 30:2 **27th** 19:24 28:21 113:22 **280** 93:15 **2d** 10:5

<3>
3 143:7 208:20
209:3 241:12
3,000 162:17
30 146:8 195:4
30,000 196:19
300 50:11
301 21:5
302 21:8
303 92:12
30th 6:14
32 99:24 102:7

146:8
373.016 12:22 15:4
373.413 27:1
373.414 12:21 15:4
21:5, 22 22:20, 25
23:2, 5, 11 26:8 29:7, 20
373414 26:10
373426(1 20:4
3-pipe 164:2

<4> **4** 176:*16* **40** 182:*3* 185:*7* 195:4 **400** 65:4 **404** 111:23 **41,000** 53:*4* **41,965** 91:9 **42** 188:*11* 218:*24* **42,000** 53:*4* **422** 24:*1* **44** 198:*4* 216:*16* **45** 96:*3* 227:20 **458** 24:7 **46** 198:*10* **48** 10:*17* 44:*8* 152:*4* 198:18 **4-D** 110:*15* **4th** 10:6

<5>
5 120:12 133:13
135:24 169:14, 15
186:24
5:35 228:20
50 169:16, 17, 18, 19
50s 79:11
57 15:3
57.105 8:17
58 15:3
58,000 91:15 196:19
58,632 89:17, 22
58.062 89:17

<6>
6 120:10 170:16
228:21 229:3, 5
232:15, 16, 17, 18, 21

236:16
6.4 135:25
6:00 94:14
60 10:12, 13, 15
193:5
60s 79:11
62.330 21:21
62.330.302 22:11, 23
622 44:20
623 51:5 52:6 89:5
62-330.302 20:4 21:4
634 51:3, 6
651 171:19

<7>
7 119:17 125:4

7.2 131:10, 11

134:10, 15, 21 135:12,
14, 21

7.22 131:20 134:19,
24 136:18

7:30 244:8

70 193:5

70,000 57:20

70s 35:21 81:5

75 80:7

77 91:22

7th 27:21

<8>
8 20:1 143:7

8:00 244:13, 14

8:30 244:9, 18 246:14

80 150:21

80s 35:21 81:5

183:25

83 149:3, 8 150:23,
24 151:1, 19

83-2134 18:20

834 10:6

842 24:8

86 150:22

870 10:5

89 43:25

<9>
9 166:7, 14 188:19
224:24 232:1, 2, 3, 4,
10

9/24/21 152:23 9:00 246:19 90 191:14 90s 59:13 103:4 182:17 184:17 189:24 218:16 227:12 93 226:23 938 24:1 94 226:23 97.2 134:13

< A >**abandon** 69:8. 13 **abandoned** 74:16, 17 76:7, 10, 16 **abdomen** 26:12 **ability** 48:19 69:4 236:8 **able** 66:14, 16, 18 72:5 73:11 80:10 107:13 116:13 130:4 210:25 228:12, 24 235:6, 21 240:7 243:22 **Ablong** 102:19 **absolute** 214:24 215:21 Absolutely 98:11 129:*11* 141:*21* 179:*1* 203:3 244:11 accelerated 41:5 acceptable 126:17 **accepted** 8:12 90:6 91:20 98:21 204:13 accepting 87:19 228:11 accepts 207:18 access 49:1, 4 88:13 175:4 186:13 233:17 243:21, 22 accessible 234:21 237:12 accomplish 52:18 90:11 186:23 accountable 63:10 accreditation 237:1

accurate 205:13

accuse 206:14

accused 24:5 32:13 achieve 211:20 **achieved** 187:10 acknowledge 197:15 acoustic 45:25 acquiescence 8:3 acted 82:25 action 8:16 81:16 91:11 actions 144:7 145:21 216:3 active 75:15 activities 54:24 55:7 137:15 168:14 activity 103:2 acts 24:13 **actual** 4:8 16:25 31:25 34:6 45:22 48:20 51:3, 4 84:22 125:9 133:16, 18 134:4, 6 **adamant** 76:10 add 11:13 21:15 **added** 14:25 177:10 **addition** 20:14 21:9 36:14 44:14 89:18 137:6. 16 231:10 233:25 237:23 additional 29:24 140:25 145:4 150:13, *17* 152:20 153:*1*2 156:24 157:23 158:25 159:5, 7 179:*23* 189:*3*, *16* 200:18 235:19 address 6:19 26:18 27:10, 12 39:8 154:4, 22 155:1, 5, 10 158:19 159:10 166:4, 16, 20 242:20 **addressed** 6:13 7:14 9:16 25:11 27:22 41:1 46:21 136:22, *24* 137:2 143:*12* addresses 215:17 240:3 addressing 39:14 47:25 167:6, 16 adds 69:10 189:16

adequate 209:1 214:9 adequately 12:11 29:3 adjacent 10:24 21:12 80:14, 20 105:11 110:16 125:22 128:10 137:19 143:16, 17 162:9 adjoining 68:21 **adjourn** 96:6 244:6 adjourned 246:21 **Administration** 10:5 Administrative 6:1, 9, 12 7:12 8:15 10:18 11:18 15:20 17:14, *17*, *18*, *25* 18:*10*, *20* 20:3 23:20 24:4, 11 65:2 160:1 administrator 94:13 admit 42:10 169:14 202:16 admitted 64:7 141:10 150:1 180:7 181:*3*, *21* admittedly 91:15 admitting 92:8 96:10 203:20 **adopted** 15:25 17:24 83:9 advance 25:8 advanced 47:13, 16 164:*14* aerial 60:2 70:14 aerials 58:21 **affect** 54:21 74:23 **afraid** 130:15 134:1 **afternoon** 97:9, 10 229:9 agencies 11:21 **Agency** 10:5 48:17, 18 237:1 agent 109:20 140:21 156:22 167:22 aggressive 52:11 ago 20:16 33:4 93:8 112:17 119:15 AgraCo 16:9

agree 16:8 26:9 61:8 88:1 89:22 144:10 145:7, 13 193:25 194:3 208:5 agreeable 61:16 **agreed** 13:21 15:13 26:10 52:21 61:5 203:14 agreeing 47:22 agreement 13:23 90:19 163:1 **ahead** 5:7 61:19 66:10 68:8 72:24 77:12 132:5 139:16 143:7 144:2*3* 151:*14* 152:22 159:14 160:10 169:20 221:4 225:4 234:16 240:14 **Aiden** 226:20 **Aidens** 222:15 **AIM** 97:13 102:8 109:5 110:11.13 air-conditioning 176:23 **airing** 26:13 **alerted** 19:4, 6 20:13 **Alex** 2:13 **algae** 86:20 **ALJ** 18:2, 10 23:17 **allegation** 8:16, 17 11:3 **allegations** 7:6 10:14 11:1 26:18, 23 28:2, 10 30:10 allege 12:20 16:21 17:1 27:1 **alleged** 7:9 16:24 19:9 20:6 54:12 59:17 allegedly 59:25 alleges 4:15 26:6 alleging 8:7 allocated 52:17 allow 20:19 24:14 31:15 50:20 61:5 67:23 72:23 82:17 87:21 103:24 104:5 123:2, 8 126:20 191:21 193:10 211:16 218:9

allowed 7:8 9:11, 22 19:*1* 83:*14* 149:*25* 180:18 213:23 allowing 55:20 148:9, 10 195:10 allows 37:1 40:21 80:21 125:23 148:13 **alternate** 69:9 76:12 alternative 121:13 124:9 Alternatively 9:2 alternatives 54:2 186:19 212:3 altogether 27:6 **amend** 9:1, 11, 25 10:9 19:6, 10, 18 20:13 amended 9:23 America 85:12 American 99:9 **amicus** 16:9 amount 53:22 88:17 93:9 121:3 196:17 analysis 22:1, 3, 7 57:24 163:4, 6 **analyzed** 233:13 **Andy** 18:*1* Anglers 86:4 **annotated** 180:10 204:4 annually 57:21 answer 55:18 67:23 72:24 75:23, 24, 25 76:1.19 126:17 131:5 179:14 193:10 195:10, 13, 16, 20 209:17 213:23 220:17 222:7 224:1 225:3 answered 155:3 205:21, 22, 23 207:13 212:16, 24 217:12 219:19 224:3 239:10, 12 answering 157:18 **answers** 178:18 **Anthony** 62:7, 10, 21 198:8

A-N-T-H-O-N-Y

62:21 anticipated 108:7 anticipates 246:1 **anxious** 84:17 anybody 239:21 **Anyway** 40:10 41:4 83:10 91:6 121:10 126:10 245:3 apart 217:19, 20 apologize 134:8 152:9 155:4 apparent 36:1 apparently 201:19 **Appeal** 16:4 17:9 179:3 **Appeals** 24:2, 8 appearances 1:22 **appeared** 192:12 appearing 245:7 **appears** 30:10 177:10 198:7 appellate 188:1 applicable 53:24 56:7 166:5 **applicant** 11:16 12:4 16:11 17:2, 4 140:21 162:24 applicants 20:20 application 16:12 24:25 25:1 53:16, 18 54:14 57:9 89:5 91:13 92:1 106:16. *19* 110:6, 8 111:2*1* 112:3, 6, 10, 16, 22, 25 113:24 115:13, 16 139:17, 23 152:19 155:18 160:4 197:1, *4*, *11* 199:*14* 200:22, 23 201:8, 18, 19 202:8 203:4 207:8 222:19 231:17 239:4 applications 207:3 **applied** 112:*16* 113:5 185:22 **apply** 11:25 12:16 applying 155:9 appraiser 87:4 appreciate 27:3 101:11 152:12 188:7

213:16 228:10 243:1 apprised 8:11 approach 14:5 104:16 approaching 110:2 appropriate 54:5 137:19 188:6 242:19 appropriately 125:23 Approval 111:22 **approved** 210:12 approving 215:9 approximate 105:1 210:22 approximately 103:17 113:23 131:10 185:21 **aguatic** 46:6, 7, 10 79:8 81:25 84:12 86:8 88:23 90:8 92:7, 10, 17, 18 94:4 115:3 162:12 192:3 archeological 156:5 **area** 33:19, 25 34:15, 22 35:2, 3, 4 40:11, *17* 42:2 43:8, *13*, *25* 44:1 45:16, 17, 20, 21, 23 71:1, 10 78:6 82:9 83:2 84:20 85:7 88:10, 12, 20 104:10 110:15 115:14 120:19 121:1, *3* 131:*12* 132:*1* 137:9 138:17 162:19 173:19, 20 186:25 193:15 196:8 209:11 222:14 231:23 232:6. 11, 15, 16 233:13, 14, 15, 19 234:10, 17, 18, 20, 23, 25 235:4, 9, 11 236:20 237:5, 8, 13 238:6, 8 240:2 areas 33:11 45:8 46:1, 5, 16 47:24 55:6 71:21 79:24 84:15 97:22 99:21 103:5 139:5 193:17, 21 194:13, 21 233:20, 22, 24 234:1, 4, 5, 9 243:12

argue 12:1, 24 20:19 50:15 188:2, 5 206:23, 25 **argued** 6:18 15:11 58:19 arguing 10:3 19:8 **argument** 4:9, 12 20:18 22:25 23:5 24:21 26:14 27:14, 22 37:20 242:4 argumentative 205:20 206:20 207:13 209:16 240:22 arguments 25:4 30:18 50:25 203:18 **arrival** 73:13 **Aschauer** 2:8 14:5, 8 35:22 61:12 62:5, 15, 16, 18 64:2, 5 67:20 68:6 70:2 72:8, 21 74:2, 7, 10 76:3, 22, 25 79:25 207:14 213:14 230:13 238:13 239:8 240:11, 21 241:15, 21 242:5, 12, 13, 23 243:3, 15, 17 **asked** 3:7 9:25 27:11, 16 74:12 75:1 76:*4* 83:7 94:*16* 156:23 178:8 186:14, *17*, *24* 201:7 205:2*1*, 22, 23 206:3 207:12 212:15, 24 216:10 217:11 219:21 226:9 227:10 239:10, 11 241:22 **asking** 19:1 26:21 148:19 150:4 180:24 215:3 216:7 225:11 227:14 228:20 aspect 16:17 201:11 aspects 114:8 aspersions 9:9 assembling 109:23 assenting 244:20 **assert** 6:19 207:9 asserted 17:6

assertion 87:2

assessment 110:22 111:1, 6 233:14 236:20, 23 237:4, 16 assessments 49:17 assigned 231:24 assist 146:12 162:13 163:4 assistance 109:23 112:13 139:21 146:7 assistant 94:15 assisted 163:6 associated 43:25 45:15 49:2 110:23 115:9 119:5 122:16 161:12 170:3 171:4 206:14, 17 216:22 **Association** 99:9, 10 associations 99:8 **assume** 73:19 101:13 149:19 184:21 187:9 220:22 221:14 226:20 240:5 241:14 246:3 assumes 220:21 240:21, 23 **assuming** 193:8, 20 assumption 90:24 assumptions 90:6 assurance 22:6, 16 56:25 assurances 7:10 11:4 17:3 93:18 assure 49:6 asterisk 53:5 Atlantic 41:2 attached 53:20 58:2*3* 153:*14* 154:*13* 155:23 156:9 161:5 162:21 201:18 attachment 113:14. 15 114:5 139:16, 23 141:20, 25 145:20 146:2, 3, 4, 11, 14, 25 147:3, 4 154:14 204:25 attachments 7:6 10:24 25:10 53:18 113:13 158:23 attack 201:2

attacking 201:1 attempt 18:22 84:19 attempted 220:3 240:1 **attention** 3:13 16:5 18:1 44:7 48:5 51:11 91:22 101:11 149:17 153:1 234:16 239:2, 6 attorney 2:13, 17 20:18 195:15 attorneys 3:6 attract 132:13 attributable 52:22 attributed 48:15 August 113:1, 22 authentication 202:11 203:15, 18 **author** 64:11 83:19 141:25 146:4 233:4 authored 65:23 authorities 204:16 **authority** 6:21 9:5 69:7 **Authorization** 111:24 186:22 187:11 autopsied 48:14 availability 94:20, 24 **available** 94:22 95:*3* 124:24 126:10 182:10, 14 186:15 211:24 229:11 **Avalon** 196:25 197:18 198:5 avenue 74:21 **average** 73:15 aware 8:6 24:22 72:19 73:1 76:9 102:11

bachelor's 98:25 back 3:18, 20 14:18 29:13 35:21 50:3 52:5 59:13 62:2 70:19 86:15 96:2, 14, 19 98:17 100:21 117:11 120:10 122:1 125:4 182:7 184:8 189:24 198:25 204:1, 22 206:10 218:19 225:8, 12 227:19 229:16 234:25 backed 69:10 190:10 **background** 51:8 142:9 182:4 191:3, 19, 22 198:18 200:21 backs 125:24 backup 188:18 235:6 backwards 131:7 **bad** 49:11 50:16, 17 **Badgering** 207:23 208:5 **badly** 218:2 bags 105:10, 13, 14 107:10, 12 **bailiff** 94:10 95:15, 17 244:14 **Baker** 153:21 balanced 22:19 balancing 21:20 22:10 56:8 187:6 **ball** 46:13, 23 146:21 171:2, 5, 25 172:5 **balls** 46:23 147:9 162:19 171:14, 24 **Baltimore** 79:10 **bank** 38:6 219:1 **bankruptcv** 81:18, 19 182:22 bans 39:10 **barely** 133:2 barnacles 46:25 108:15, 17 **barrier** 40:20 84:23 86:11 138:15 barriers 137:16, 17, 25 138:19 **based** 46:1 54:12 76:13 90:10 93:7 102:23 110:17 124:23 126:10 134:7, 24 146:11 163:8 184:7 185:4, 20 200:23 211:4 227:15 228:16 240:2 **bases** 208:3 **basic** 25:17 27:8

basically 26:4 34:1
45:18 51:10 101:4
105:9, 11 205:1
basin 45:4 91:11
93:15, 16 146:20
147:5, 11, 19 148:17
150:10 160:18
172:24, 25 173: <i>13</i>
basins 39:11 91:5
146: <i>17</i> 147: <i>14</i> , <i>15</i>
149:3, 8 151:19
160:15 161:1, 7, 8
173:2
basis 16:22, 23, 24
17:1 20:1 38:10
40:23 51:9 85:17
206:22
Bates 44:20 64:3
171:19 232:24
bathtub 92:25
bathymetric 128:19,
21 131:16 135:18
157:24
bay 86:10
Beach 79:16
beaches 79:18
beat 245:3
began 51:12 59:6
79: <i>11</i>
beginning 31:5
114:21 137:5 166:8,
<i>13</i> 170: <i>13</i>
begins 10:15 34:20
217:18 218:25
begun 19: <i>17</i>
belief 185:4
believe 13:4 15:6
28.12 36.12 47.11
66:15 70:9, 25 72:8
75:12 88:2 113:23
138:23 150:23 154:6
155:20 156:9 169:4
183.16 185.1 3
183: <i>16</i> 185: <i>1</i> , <i>3</i> 190: <i>1</i> , <i>2</i> 192:2, <i>3</i> , <i>9</i>
190:1, 2 192:2, 3, 9 198:20 222:22
232:12 245:7
believes 215:17
belong 192:1
belt 138:5, 7, 10
bends 35:2

beneficial 163:*15* 165:2 208:11 **benefit** 46:12, 17, 18 54:19, 20 57:2, 3 82:2*3* 90:2*3* 158:*13* 163:11, 12 175:4 186:10 benefited 54:24 55:4, 7 100:11 benefits 46:14 58:11 140:10 152:15 **berm** 82:21 83:4 105:12 192:6, 7, 10, 18, 20 217:7 218:1, *16* 223:*3* **best** 3:11 12:7 39:5, 7 136:24 138:11, 12 204:18 205:13 246:*14* **better** 22:24 23:5 76:19 78:1 95:23 134:19 227:25 **beyond** 42:8 50:12 70:4 186:1 191:19 215:5 235:7 **big** 57:13 101:18 106:2 107:5, 6 124:6 **bigger** 108:6 132:14 **Bight** 35:3 45:17 80:12 91:7, 8 **bit** 6:24, 25 9:10 47:17 83:21 84:4 105:20 116:17 117:11 119:20, 21 125:6 127:19 132:6 141:16, 19 151:9 154:10 160:11 161:20 167:12 196:4 197:6, 12 201:4 black-blue 34:11 **blame** 39:23 **bleed** 148:13 bleeder 148:3, 5 **block** 95:21 **blowups** 35:25 **blue** 131:19, 21, 25 132:9, 11 133:10 **BMAP** 52:11, 13, 16, 24 53:3, 8, 9, 12 91:9, 20 93:7, 17, 22

Board 23:25 24:10 110:25 **boat** 39:24, 25 40:2, 12, 17, 18 41:6, 7, 8, 9, *15*, *17*, *20*, *21* 43:*13*, *14*, *17*, *20* 44:*3* 50:*20* 60:1 72:6, 20 80:21 82:12 83:5 84:8, 10, 20, 23 85:8 86:11, 16 87:6 106:22 123:7, *16* 124:*18* 126:*8* 135:6 183:23 185:8, *11, 17, 19* 186:*3, 16* 198:13 199:16 208:19, 21 209:2 210:20 211:23 213:6, 12 233:18, 21 234:22, 23 235:17, 22 243:5, 21 **boater** 49:2 68:10, 11 69:12 122:8 126:24 127:2 129:6 **boaters** 65:8 67:7, 9, 14 68:3, 4 80:23 116:12 122:23 132:16, 19 135:4 137:8 174:5, 6, 13 209:11 214:4 **boating** 49:1 68:14 131:24 174:10 boats 40:19, 21 41:10 67:6 70:24 71:21, 24 72:5, 14, 16 80:18, 20, 22 84:18 120:19 122:24 123:3 124:5 126:20 132:14 188:19 206:2, 5 211:17 240:19 241:3, 4, 6, 7 **Boca** 79:18 **bodies** 37:9 39:8 48:13 54:6, 8 **body** 36:23, 24 37:13, 25 39:13 45:11 46:9, 11 47:14 51:24 105:4, 15 107:6 162:9 **Boilermakers** 99:2 **Boilers** 99:3

boils 27:9 **Boksner** 2:*13* **bonus** 52:24 booted 11:2 **bottom** 80:11 82:19 112:7 124:17, 18 125:16 131:9 133:19 134:10, 14 135:2 147:23 148:2 193:6 208:21 **bottom-dwelling** 35:9 bottoming 129:7 **bought** 81:23 84:7 **bouncing** 235:18 **boundary** 221:2, 5 **bounders** 123:*13* **bounds** 212:4 brackish 37:15 109:10, 11 116:6 152:4 breach 35:23, 24 36:2 46:5 105:2, 8, 15, 18, 19, 21 107:1, 4, 7, 14, 23 108:23 188:13 219:20 222:14 224:9, 11 225:7 226:10, 11, 15, breaches 35:18, 20 36:21 46:3 59:3, 4, 5, 6 88:21 92:6 102:17 103:1, 7, 10, 14 104:24 106:1, 5, 9, 24 183:19 190:5 218:2 219:8, 13, 14, 24 220:6 221:10, 11 223:4, 11 224:12, 17 225:24 227:13 **break** 3:17, 23 30:7, 15 60:17, 19 94:8 130:14 168:22 **breaking** 229:13 **breaks** 59:1 breezeway 95:19 **bridge** 33:15 80:6 85:12, 13 86:9 87:8 88:15 bridges 79:6 brief 19:23 56:22 61:6 168:18

briefly 14:21 98:23 110:*1* bring 9:18 29:13 35:10 44:9 48:5 91:21 92:17 100:19 181:12 239:6 **bringing** 101:11 234:15 **brings** 12:9 85:16 **broaden** 29:17 **broader** 13:19 **Brookwood** 27:24 **Brookwood-Extended** 10:4 **brothers** 79:10 **brought** 11:1 13:18 21:2 25:5 28:20 80:23 136:9 239:1 **Brown** 110:24 126:15 139:24 141:17, 24 196:22 **buffer** 80:6 88:16 **build** 91:18 124:21, 24 185:23 186:12, 22 **building** 95:13, 14 120:17 121:21 137:23 186:16 **built** 81:4 83:22 90:17 108:1 189:14 **bulk** 75:16 **bump** 234:10, 17 235:2 **burden** 17:3, 4 **burden-shifting** 15:24 burn 235:16 **burning** 235:20 **Burnt** 241:10 **butt** 84:10 **buy** 90:3

< C > C50 97:19 C-50 169:3 Cafe 95:19 calculation 167:6 calculations 162:14 Caldwell 110:25 126:15 139:25 141:17, 25

Caldwell's 196:22 calendar 94:22 95:7 call 3:25 48:14 61:16 62:4, 6 65:15 72:4 73:12 76:16 80:9 82:20 88:21 92:2, 15 96:8, 21, 24 139:4 147:20 234:10 238:1, 5 245:11, 12 **called** 35:2 39:25 80:4, 8 81:10 83:6 85:12 86:4 199:15 202:3 225:6 227:20 **calling** 131:18 230:14 **calls** 65:19 74:15 75:10 207:21 208:1 215:2 237:25 238:3 Caloosahatchee 33:10 34:25 35:1 45:9, 12 47:18 52:12, 13 54:8 57:4, 12, 23 59:20 71:18 79:7 80:3, 13, 24 82:1 83:16 88:14 89:11. *19* 90:*11* 91:*16* 93:*3* 94:4 162:1, 6 Calusa 47:5 57:10 camera 14:14 canal 36:3 38:20 40:22 41:3 49:24 58:22 72:15 82:4, 11, 17, 20, 21 86:5 87:7 88:13 92:5 109:2 120:23 121:17, 21, 24 126:12, 18 131:17 132:10, 13 143:23 150:9 151:2*1* 165:6, 7 184:22, 25 188:22 189:*17* 193:*4*, *21*, *23* 194:12 195:2 221:17 223:3 227:21 232:12 canal-dredging 102:2 canals 38:25 42:5, 6 60:11. 14 65:4 69:22 73:15 79:11, 12 81:9, 14 84:7, 18 86:17, 19, 22 101:25 102:5 103:6 127:11 128:20, *21* 131:22, *23* 133:*10* 137:17 150:8 164:18,

19 165:*14* 182:*12* 189:21 193:17 196:2, 13 capacity 50:12 **CAPE** 1:1 2:8 20:6 32:19, 23 33:10 34:4, 22 36:4 42:9 43:10 47:8, 9, 10, 15 51:15, 20 57:18 62:6, 25 63:3, 5, 8, 16, 24 64:13 70:21 71:11 72:2 75:6 78:25 79:2, 3, 6 81:9, 14, 23, 24 82:9 83:9 84:6 86:18, 23 88:17 90:18 91:11, 19 99:21, 23 100:2, 6 101:22, 25 102:4, 7 103:2 104:25 109:5, 20 127:11 131:22, 23 133:13 134:23 135:23, 24 140:22 142:9 147:19, 24 153:*1* 163:9, *14*, *20*, 22, 23 164:5, 13, 21 165:4 182:11 183:12, 22 184:1, 4, 22, 24 185:16 186:21 189:18 191:15 194:13, 14 195:4 196:5 209:21, 25 210:4, 7 213:3, 5 222:13 230:20, 22 231:1, 3, 6, 10, 12, 16, 20 232:7, 11 233:12 235:12 237:25 243:11, 18 **Captiva** 79:17 capture 22:22 38:24 192:14 Care 10:4, 5 27:24 153:2 202:13 career 64:22, 25 69:18 99:18, 25 183:12 **careful** 107:16 Carl 85:25 209:25 **Carp** 243:20 Carpenter 2:20

case 1:19 5:25 6:11 8:8 9:24 10:1, 6, 7, 8 11:10, 12 12:19 15:19, 25 16:2 17:3, 7, 9, 11 18:2, 9, 12, 20 19:8 20:14, 15, 16, 17 23:15, 25 24:7, 24 25:2, 8, 13 27:25 28:1, 3 29:18 35:12 39:19 40:23 45:2 50:14, 21, 24 56:10 58:*3* 89:*9*, 20 160:*1* 179:25 189:18 198:15 213:20 245:8 246:2, 6 cases 6:1 7:20 10:8 11:14 13:17 14:9, 11 17:19, 25 25:6 32:14 33:2 85:21 Casev 85:10, 11, 13 **cast** 9:9 catch 39:11 45:4 78:11 91:5 146:17, 20 147:5, 11, 14, 15, *18* 148:*17* 149:*3*, 8 150:10 151:19 160:15, 25 161:7, 8 172:24, 25 173:2, 13 189:7 235:15 cause 21:10, 16 41:20, 21 48:10, 15 50:3 89:10, 11 175:8 206:9 caused 41:5 87:3 190:10 218:16 causes 8:15 120:25 **causing** 235:19 Cavo 79:17 cease 81:15 ceased 36:4 Ceitus 39:25 40:2, *12* 60:*1* 84:*20*, *23* 85:8 86:10 **Center** 10:4 **central** 57:18 **certain** 39:19 103:19 107:17 112:14 207:9, 18 214:25 228:13 **Certainly** 74:4 87:16 94:14 101:18 102:15

114.15 100.00
114:15 128:22
168:20 176:20 181:4
184:10 187:8 201:10
certainty 24:5
certified 1:14
cetera 25:15 65:8
chain 64:23
challenge 15:22 20:2
32:20 122:19, 20
124:6, 7, 23 174:7
237:6
challenged 33: <i>3</i> 45:2
54:11 56:8 115:5
159:25
challenges 122:22
challenging 174:6
chamber 120:24
chance 24:14 50:15
change 25:2 29:2 39:11 41:1 42:16
39:11 41:1 42:16
43: <i>4</i> 51: <i>19</i> 111: <i>13</i>
127:7 135:17 136:5
143:22 176:19 246:7
changed 85:7 107:24
110: <i>17</i> 149: <i>3</i> 226: <i>14</i> ,
25 227:14
change-out 147: <i>14</i>
changes 29:12
135:15 136:4 143:19,
22
changing 43:1
channel 71: <i>17</i>
122:16, 18, 19, 22
125: <i>1</i> 126: <i>14</i> 174: <i>4</i>
channelized 36:22
38:8
channels 132:24
135:10
chapter 25:17
character 220:19
charge 24:6
charged 231:8
Charlie 87:4
Charlotte 84:5
chart 129:10, 20, 24
131.4 132.25 133.7
131:4 132:25 133:7, 14,16 134:17 178:21
226:11
charts 134:2
CHAILS 154.2

DANIEL CARNEY, JAMES COLLIER, & KEVIN SPARKS V. CITY OF CAPE CORAL

chat 130:21
chats 130:8, 10, 12
check 130:13 132:19
198:3
chief 61:5, 16 62:7,
19, 23, 25 63:3, 8, 13,
16, 23 64:6, 9, 13, 20
65:16 66:11, 18
67:18 74:11 75:12,
17 77:2 229:9, 14
230:8, 14, 19, 23, 25
230.6, 14, 19, 25, 25
231:2, 0, 13, 20 232:24 233:6 234:3,
19 236:13, 18, 19
237:18 238:22
239:21 241:13, 14, 20,
23 242:24 243:4, 18
chief's 230:5
chime 202:13
Chiquita 34:15 44:3
51:12 63:14, 20
64:16 65:22 70:18
73:20 75:18, 21
80:16 81:1, 3 82:12
83:5 86:16 88:12, 20
131: <i>13</i> 132:22
151:20 173:2 <i>1</i>
231:14 236:14 237:9,
10, 15, 22 238:11
chosen 124:10
210:24
Chris 2:10
circled 34:15
circumstances 208:12
citation 20: <i>3</i>
citations 6:21
cite 10:1, 7 12:1, 2, 3
21:23 24:19 25:6
cited 7:20 21:18, 24
22:14 24:6
cites 28:5
cities 42:4
citing 27:24
citizenry 11:21
CITY 1:1 2:5, 7, 12
14:2, 3 20:6 27:23
32:19, 22 38:1 39:3
42:9 43:11 47:22
48:24 49:8 51:14
52:11, 18 53:8, 9, 12,

19, 22 56:15, 25 62:6,
25 63:3, 5, 8, 12, 16,
24 64:13 69:12 72:2,
19 83:8 86:22 88:17,
20, 22 89:7, 9, 20, 23
90:7, 18 96:23 99:21,
<i>23</i> 100:2, <i>5</i> , <i>6</i> 101: <i>17</i> ,
<i>22, 25</i> 102: <i>4,</i> 8 103: <i>1</i> ,
11 12 104:25 100:4
11, 12 104:25 109:4,
20 112:20 115:24
107 00 110 00 110 0
145:2 <i>1</i> 146:8 149:2
150: <i>14</i> 152: <i>19</i> 153: <i>1</i>
162: <i>13</i> , <i>25</i> 163: <i>1</i> , <i>8</i> , <i>9</i> ,
11, 20, 22, 23, 25
164:2, 5, 7, 13, 15, 16,
<i>21</i> 167:24 168: <i>1</i>
183: <i>1</i> 2, 22 184: <i>1</i> , <i>4</i> ,
<i>19</i> , <i>22</i> , <i>24</i> 185: <i>6</i> , <i>16</i> ,
18, 21 186:21 187:10
189: <i>24</i> 190: <i>4</i> , <i>9</i> , <i>10</i>
205:6 208:8, 9
209:21, 25 210:4, 7, 9,
<i>13</i> 213:3, 5 214:6, <i>10</i> ,
14, 17, 24 215:4, 21
216 2 222 12 222 22
216:2 222:13 230:20,
21, 24 231:1, 3, 6, 10,
<i>16</i> , 20 232:7 233: <i>11</i>
237:25 239:3 240:15
City's 27:18 52:4
97: <i>19</i> 100: <i>3</i> 124: <i>24</i>
133:22 163:16 164:4
24
citywide 100:15
civil 17:12 98:25
110:8 207:5
claim 8:16, 18 12:20
27:20
claims 6:15 8:14, 21,
22 9:3, 13 12:13, 14,
20 29:10 49:22
1 10 41 25 22
clarification 25:22
85:15 153:11 157:24
85: <i>15</i> 153: <i>11</i> 157: <i>24</i> 232: <i>9</i>
85: <i>15</i> 153: <i>11</i> 157: <i>24</i> 232: <i>9</i>
85:15 153:11 157:24 232:9 clarified 158:3, 6
85:15 153:11 157:24 232:9 clarified 158:3, 6 clarify 76:4
85:15 153:11 157:24 232:9 clarified 158:3, 6
85:15 153:11 157:24 232:9 clarified 158:3, 6 clarify 76:4 Class 21:13 45:11
85:15 153:11 157:24 232:9 clarified 158:3, 6 clarify 76:4 Class 21:13 45:11 54:7
85:15 153:11 157:24 232:9 clarified 158:3, 6 clarify 76:4 Class 21:13 45:11 54:7 clean 30:16 199:23
85:15 153:11 157:24 232:9 clarified 158:3, 6 clarify 76:4 Class 21:13 45:11 54:7

cleaning 83:*3*, *13* 194:20 cleanse 47:3 **clear** 7:3, 4 11:9 15:25 17:7, 17 19:9 36:13 103:21 155:7 190:6 204:6 clearer 135:9 **clearly** 7:2, 18, 23 10:8, 10 12:10 13:22, 24 14:24 15:17, 21 18:5 23:10 25:12 40:13, 15 41:7, 25 42:1 51:1 56:11 60:3 87:13, 15 117:6 142:20 155:4 228:6 **client** 32:22 33:11 213:2 clients 244:24 close 21:13 67:6 91:8 124:15 190:17 **closed** 68:19, 24, 25 69:3 86:17, 23 233:16 **closely** 35:24 closer 88:19 100:20 117:10 176:7, 10 198:*24* **closes** 40:21 Coast 85:22 86:12 238:3 co-counsel 2:19 **Code** 23:20 colleagues 78:4 collect 239:21, 25 240:2, 7 colliding 67:7 collision 233:21 234:22 **colors** 129:10 **column** 30:13 176:17 combinations 1:9 come 37:1 59:7 65:13 75:15 77:21 79:4 80:22 83:12 85:19 88:21 93:3 110:25 141:5 185:15 193:*1* 195:22, 23 199:15 212:7 216:22 217:1 229:15

comes 10:3 14:23 34:21 80:13 86:5 87:7 91:18 150:5 194:24 200:19 203:1 **comfort** 60:17, 19 168:22 comfortable 101:14 183:9 **coming** 3:15, 17 16:23 38:9 67:6 80:7 91:3 105:6 130:7 141:8 179:8 **comment** 146:5 153:14, 20, 23, 25 155:20 156:*1* comments 142:5 153:6, 7, 10 156:12, 14, 17, 18, 23, 24 157:10, 13 158:20 159:11 215:15 228:7 **commercial** 85:10, 14 164:25 165:24 194:21 195:24 commercially 83:23 commission 116:12 Commissioners 24:1 commitment 61:4 committed 49:8 **common** 93:21 104:14 164:13 communicate 137:8 communication 214:21 235:8 communications 235:7 community 86:6 233:14 236:20, 22 237:2, 3, 16 **Company** 16:*3* comparable 41:23 **compare** 42:12 **compared** 201:23 **comparing** 134:*10* comparison 41:19 43:19, 21 **competent** 144:18 **compiling** 140:23 complained 24:14 complaint 24:4, 12, 13

complaints 67:6, 9 68:2 **complete** 15:*13* 123:11 190:15 202:11 203:16 **completed** 184:7, 18, *19* 189:*13*, *15* 219:9, 16 220:2, 7 229:20 246:5 completely 16:11 55:14 83:22 195:15 242:16 completing 246:1 completion 102:21 245:10 **complex** 11:13 complexity 12:6 compliance 8:25 12:8 20:19 26:1 166:5 212:9 **complied** 12:5 22:6 **comply** 21:22 **component** 116:24 components 116:5 117:2 **compound** 206:19 220:15 222:4 compromise 81:22 computer 3:18 168:19 181:10 computer-generated 1:7 concede 23:6 **concept** 38:23 Conceptual 111:22 **concern** 33:12 45:8 47:24 65:7 132:2 156:18 179:12 211:20 233:21, 25 234:18 236:15 243:12 concerned 117:22 134:22 154:1, 2 179:6 concerning 49:19 109:18 concerns 4:7 55:16 56:17 64:14, 19 65:1, 21 110:7, 9 129:6, 9 136:22, 25 138:25

143:8, 11 154:22 155:5, 10 156:4 158:19 166:4, 21 174:*3* 183:*1* 216:*1*2 233:9, 10 235:10 236:14 237:18, 22, 24 238:8 241:23 conclude 90:22 concluded 245:8 conclusion 144:4 207:22 208:2 215:3 conclusions 11:8 128:17 144:2, 6, 8, 10, 14 145:7, 13 **concrete** 105:9, 10, 13, *14* 107:*10*, *12* 124:*16*, 18 125:15 131:9 133:19 134:11, 14 135:2, *6*, *21* 208:21 condition 33:23 44:17, 18, 19 49:9 52:6, 7, 23, 25 53:2, 3 55:5, 9, 10 61:11 89:15 160:11 166:9 167:6, 10, 12, 15 173:2*3* 174:*1* 185:9 206:18 conditions 42:23 55:8 56:2 89:6 106:6 107:4 128:3, 9 133:8, 17, 18 134:4, 7 138:24 139:4, 13, 14 145:2 166:4, 7, 8, 14, 18, 20, 23, 24 167:1, 24 170:8 174:10 199:16 227:8, 13 conducted 81:9 confident 8:5 configurations 79:23 confines 138:1 confirmation 94:13 confirmed 174:2 conflating 15:6 conflict 89:8 **conform** 8:24 confused 87:25 confusing 15:7 134:8 178:6 179:1, 2 200:17 220:15

confusion 4:14 conjunction 238:2 **Conklin** 17:8, 16 Connect 47:5, 20 57:10 90:11 163:2 connected 35:24 163:20 connecting 36:5 connection 36:2 47:7, 18 105:16 107:6 connections 107:18 **consent** 18:4, 5 19:2, 3, 16 20:22 26:14 190:24 191:8, 10, 14 192:8 221:23 consequence 81:16 conservation 54:21 consider 22:3 25:1 185:19 209:22 213:6, 22 239:6 considerable 172:22 consideration 21:3 55:10 considerations 137:6, 14 considered 20:7 43:10 44:24 103:7 185:16 187:4 210:20, 21, 22 213:24 consisted 82:3 consistency 38:7 142:10 consistent 121:24 127:10 145:16 constrained 32:8 constraint 68:23 135:*1*, *3* constraints 68:22 124:19, 22 **construct** 124:23 125:24 221:15, 20 constructed 58:22 221:1 224:2 constructing 126:9 construction 38:20 44:6 81:8 108:6 136:23 137:1, 14 166:15 168:13 173:12, 16 189:10, 11,

12, 16 219:9, 15 220:2, 4, 7 consultant 112:23 190:13 consultation 81:21 **contain** 1:7, 10 17:2*1* 166:*3* 224:*16* contained 24:11 190:24 containing 83:5 contains 21:8 182:4 205:3 contaminants 47:4 contaminates 152:17 162:6 contamination 39:8 **contend** 7:8 14:25 contending 25:13 **content** 59:10, 11 192:5 contention 17:1 contents 140:7 contest 4:18 **context** 41:20 **continue** 43:16 50:6 90:17 98:18 107:5 170:21, 24 172:7 173:5, 15 190:7 **continued** 81:*13* 94:3 227:15 continues 53:13 88:16 214:6 **continuing** 166:*14* continuous 38:10 continuously 99:6 contributing 187:15 contribution 52:16 contributions 52:16 **control** 102:4 105:16 137:1, 18 138:6, 15 151:22 235:22 controlled 42:3, 4 43:22 103:18 123:15 controversy 16:21 conventional 80:21 conversion 53:13 **copies** 178:25 179:3 **copy** 5:1, 3 14:9 16:6 17:8 61:23

130:24 131:1 180:19 181:4 203:22 **CORAL** 1:1 2:8 20:6 32:19, 23 33:11 34:4, 22 36:4 42:9 43:10 47:8, 9, 10, 15 51:15, 20 62:6 63:1, 3, 6, 8, 16, 24 64:14 70:21 71:11 72:2 75:7 78:25 79:2, 3, 6 81:9, 14, 23, 24 82:10 83:9 84:6 86:18, 23 88:18 90:18 91:12, 19 99:21, 23 100:2, 6 101:22, 25 102:4, 7 103:2 104:25 109:5, 20 127:11 131:22, 23 133:13 134:23 135:24 140:22 142:9 147:19, 24 153:1 163:9, 14, 20, 22, 23 164:5 165:4 183:12. *22* 184:*1*, *4*, *22*, *24* 185:16 186:21 191:*15* 194:*13* 195:*4* 196:5 210:5, 8 213:3, 5 222:13 230:20, 22 231:1, 4, 7, 10, 12, 20 232:7, 11 233:12 237:25 **Coral's** 57:18 135:23 164:13, 21 182:11 231:17 corner 33:8 189:11 Correct 68:13 69:18, 25 70:24 71:1, 15, 18, 22 128:7 132:10 151:2 173:8 182:8, 13, 17, 19, 22 184:20, 21 189:17 190:21, 24 193:18, 20 194:12 196:*17* 197:*1* 198:*13* 200:5 202:18, 21 204:20, 24 207:3, 11 210:9 211:21 213:18 214:7, 15 218:4, 6 234:10 245:8, 14 246:12 corrected 1:13

correctly 141:*13* corroded 117:3 **corrosive** 116:6, 7 cost 119:5, 15, 16 187:24 209:3, 5 211:5 Costa 79:17 council 210:9, 13 240:15 counsel 1:22 4:11 25:19 61:4 89:7 181:4 223:25 **County** 23:25 **couple** 14:9, 10 34:24 74:2 79:10 124:12 201:16 220:23 221:25 222:1 233:23 234:1 236:23 course 3:13 42:13 73:4 82:6 128:18 146:19 163:11 191:23 206:15 209:20 215:23 217:23 226:24 246:8 **COURT** 1:17, 21 2:5, 14, 22 3:3 4:5 5:1, 5, 11, 14, 18 12:17 13:6, 12, 15 14:4, 7, 12, 15, 18 16:4, 8 17:9 18:21 19:20 20:24 22:20 23:7 24:2, 8, 9, 12, 16 25:16, 22, 23 26:5, 20 27:5, 12, 15 28:24 30:20, 22 31:3, 9, 14, 18, 20, 23 32:10, 16 34:9, 13, 16 37:12 38:11, 16 39:1 56:19 60:16, 18, 22, 23 61:1, 7, 10, 13, 17, 20, 25 62:3, 14 66:2, 6, 10, 16 67:22 68:8 70:4, 7 72:10, 23 73:18, 22, 25 74:4 75:23, 25 76:23 77:1, 8, 11, 16, 21, 25 78:3, 5, 15 85:15 87:18, 23 88:3 94:6, 13, 15, 23 95:2, 5, 8, 16, 25 96:5, 13, 17, 19 97:5, 21 98:1,

4, *9*, *12*, *17* 100:*19* 104:3, 9, 17 105:22, *25* 106:*12* 113:*4* 116:21 117:16, 19, 23, 24 118:2, 6, 8, 11 119:2 122:1, 4, 9, 13 130:13 133:23, 25 136:8, 20 140:14, 19 141:3, 6, 15, 22 142:19 143:5 144:17, 20 145:10 148:16, 22, 24 149:12, 19, 23 150:3, 19 151:14 152:7, 9 156:20 157:1, 6, 18, 22 158:*12*, *15* 161:*21* 164:8 165:16, 23 166:*1* 167:20 168:*1*, *5*, *9*, *20*, *23* 169:*1*, *5*, *8*, 11, 17, 20 171:21 175:20, 24 176:9, 20, 25 177:3, 11, 14, 24 178:4, 23 179:12, 19, 22 180:2, 5, 9, 13 181:1, 8, 14, 19, 22, 25 183:2 185:25 186:7 187:2, *17*, *25* 188:*1*, *7* 191:*1*, *4*, *21* 193:*10* 194:8 195:11, 19 197:20 198:24 200:1, 6, 25 201:5, 14, 25 202:6, 10 203:5, 11, *16* 204:*3* 205:*7*, *23* 206:21 207:15 208:1 209:17 210:16 211:1, 8 212:10, 20 213:9, *16* 214:*12* 215:*5*, *14*, 20 216:9 217:13, 16 218:9 219:2 220:18 221:4, 7, 12, 14, 19, 25 222:3, 8 223:25 224:16, 19, 20 225:3 227:9, 18 228:10, 19 229:5, 18 230:2, 4 238:15, 19 239:11, 14, *17* 240:*13*, *23* 241:*17*, 22 242:3, 8, 11, 13 243:1, 10, 16, 24 244:16, 24 245:5, 13,

17, *19*, *24*, *25* 246:*3*, 10, 13, 20 courthouse 244:8 **courtroom** 2:11 32:4 244:12 **Court's** 48:5 cover 7:6 232:11 237:4 **covered** 11:23 56:22 88:7 167:*13* 232:*15* covers 232:10 crashes 65:7 create 6:25 36:18 38:6 41:15 46:23, 24. 25 79:12 124:16 194:20 217:21 235:23 created 35:20 40:25 41:8 43:12 52:20 81:20 82:7 93:8, 17 creates 34:22 86:16 89:4 122:22 217:22 **creating** 37:8 52:2 83:5 123:20 126:9 214:10 **creation** 19:13 37:4 credibility 201:2 **credit** 47:21, 22 53:6 90:9 91:19 220:24 228:12 **credits** 53:8, 11 90:3, 22 91:10 93:21 **creek** 36:6 105:3, 7 Creeks 10:7 36:6, 25 37:1, 2 58:23 **criminal** 17:*13* criteria 18:17 23:14 45:1 53:25 54:13, 15 56:7 57:1 140:24 145:16, 17 166:5 207:18, 20 criticizing 228:19 critique 200:22 Cross 10:7 90:20 **cross-exam** 142:21 157:19 cross-examination 157:20 175:25 176:2 200:10 230:3 238:16, 20 243:5 245:10

cross-examining 215:15 crossing 90:12 **crushing** 48:*13* crux 50:14 **cryptic** 6:22 9:19 **cue** 51:2 **cueing** 238:6 **cultural** 156:5 cumulative 21:11 22:1, 3, 6 23:13 **cure** 9:22 curiae 16:9 **current** 55:5, 8, 10 87:13 97:11 106:9 173:23 185:8 199:16 200:22 227:7 currently 47:16 57:11 58:6 99:4 123:6 127:4 128:14 161:24 214:3 241:9, 11 **currents** 55:9 111:*13* **cursor** 70:23 71:17 80:17 86:4 **curtail** 98:22 curtains 138:8 cut-and-paste 23:4 cyanotoxins 86:21

< D > damage 39:21, 22, 23 115:10 209:11 216:18, 21, 22, 23 217:7, 19, 23 218:6, 16 220:10, 13 235:19 damages 220:1, 3 danger 48:9 214:4, 10 dangerous 86:21 174:9 214:5 dark 34:9, 10, 11 darker 132:9, 11 darkest 133:9 **darkness** 131:21 data 128:20, 21 131:10 133:1 142:6 157:24 239:21, 25 240:2, 5, 7

date 6:19 9:19 113:5, 18, 19, 21, 24 183:24, 25 184:2 185:18 214:20, 22 **dated** 152:23, 25 158:23 day 75:9 95:10 135:4 219:13, 16 days 50:11 83:1 203:22 **DCA** 10:6 **dead** 88:10 deal 40:24 45:5 57:13 67:16 68:4 91:4 138:25 242:14 **dealing** 45:1 67:19 dealt 140:16 202:24 **death** 48:15 50:18 214:18 deaths 48:11, 22 154:2, *5* 155:*11* 187:15 211:16 decade 47:11 decades 47:10 92:10 decaying 50:18 **decent** 96:1 **decide** 16:17 201:9 **decided** 123:15 186:6 deciphered 1:10 **decision** 18:10, 21 24:9 25:20, 21 33:21 186:18 210:3, 18 declaration 12:22 15:4 **decrease** 58:8, 10 **deed** 191:13. 15 **deep** 49:4 51:21 134:20 **deeper** 131:19, 20, 21, 23 132:10, 11, 13, 14 134:19 **deepest** 132:23 **defense** 24:15 deficiencies 9:22 20:8 58:2 **deficient** 27:24 28:12 **defies** 93:21 **defined** 4:16 41:25 42:2, 3

definitely 7:17 95:9 106:10 112:19 degradation 90:1 93:23 degree 105:17 **Del** 241:*12* delay 65:14, 15 69:10, 14 74:23 **delayed** 74:19 **delaying** 148:11 **delays** 147:21 206:11, 12 **deliver** 36:11 49:24 delivered 33:23 37:25 **delivering** 38:9 88:22 **delivery** 80:21 **demand** 165:12 demarcation 79:2 80:7 demonstrate 54:17 56:16, 24 59:12 demonstrated 91:14 demonstrates 10:20 117:6 demonstrative 78:18 87:15 denial 10:19 20:9 208:13 denials 28:1 **denied** 57:7 112:18 **DEP** 30:1 195:22 202:13 238:17 **Department** 2:15, 18 6:7 7:25 14:2 16:3 19:24 20:17, 22 22:15 24:7 32:21 37:8 44:16 51:11 52:20 53:24 56:15, 16, 24 57:24 63:6, 17, 24 66:4 69:25 73:4 74:13 81:7, 11, 16 87:2 89:8, 15, 16, 20 91:20 98:7 99:17 107:24 109:24 113:1 152:24 153:10 155:9, 21 156:4, 10, 12 159:11 169:10 182:11 189:24 207:9, 11, 17 229:23 231:4,

defines 151:5

21 233:8, 10 237:14 241:24 246:9 departments 238:3 **Department's** 27:18 64:14 157:11 236:8 246:10 depended 83:4 depending 35:11 65:14 107:8 192:17 **depict** 173:1 **depicted** 47:6 119:25 **depiction** 125:10 172:5 deposition 178:8 depositions 178:9 **depth** 73:15 129:2 132:22, 23 133:22 135:24 227:21 **depths** 108:3 136:10, 12 **Deputy** 95:13 98:12 168:23 244:13 **describe** 82:15 89:3 107:*3* 110:*1* described 44:17 73:5 83:6 84:3 183:20 191:8 192:8 197:10 222:11, 13 describes 44:16 describing 185:7 design 36:10, 17 49:20 51:13, 20 54:4 99:15 103:13 105:9 108:5, 7 110:9, 10, 11 126:1 190:8, 13, 19, 23 191:7 222:14 **designated** 37:6, 7, 13 designed 34:4 103:15, 21 108:3 175:12 190:16, 17, 18 designer 105:5 designing 110:2 172:13 197:3 **designs** 110:20 **desired** 120:1 **despite** 23:18 43:17 220:11 destroying 86:13 **detail** 16:13 54:14 80:10 142:1 147:3

detailed 11:17 49:16 168:13 **details** 125:7 191:18 detained 82:12 92:19 148:6, 7, 9 196:17 **detention** 50:12 82:7, 13 deteriorated 185:12 deteriorating 116:8 deterioration 108:4, 10 determination 24:10 29:9 167:7 174:25 determinations 28:6 determine 26:14 30:7 58:18 65:10 106:5 175:7 187:5 201:23 207:19 212:7, 8 213:21 determined 124:5 167:8 detriment 175:4 detrimental 43:4 65:15, 16 detritus 39:12 152:16 devastatingly 93:13 **develop** 53:11 developed 5:24 34:22 102:2 209:12 developer 36:3 81:10 147:19 191:14 development 36:15, *16* 38:21, 24 43:13, 22 81:13 142:1 193:1 195:24, 25 **device** 3:18 39:4 105:11, 17, 19 106:2 190:8 216:23 devices 194:17 diagram 135:15, 16 die 46:8 diesel 235:20 difference 42:11 88:8 134:2 **different** 6:5 21:6 22:9 23:22 53:16 57:6 64:23 69:16 75:11 76:14 83:21

113:8 119:16 120:3, 5, 16 126:17 133:14 175:16, 17 200:14 201:8 203:4 215:20 240:18 differently 58:16 161:15 **Difficult** 108:1, 12 174:18 189:4 difficulties 89:4 **difficulty** 174:17, 19 **dig** 79:11 digging 79:12 81:9, 14 **Dikert** 85:25 86:3, 7 **diligence** 110:14 199:7 **direct** 16:4 17:25 44:6 62:17 70:5 97:7 147:22 149:16 159:*14* 186:2 230:*1*, 11 243:8 directed 153:1 **direction** 108:21 123:7, 10, 16, 24, 25 211:13 directions 2:23 108:22 123:3, 5, 9, 25 124:1 126:20 **directly** 104:12 187:2*1* **director** 2:20 99:25 100:2 116:11 230:24 **dirt** 137:23 221:6 discharge 51:23 57:11 103:18 138:17 150:8 151:23 163:12 discharged 164:1 189:20 194:18 discharges 47:17 103:20 discharging 196:2 **discovery** 5:24 8:3, 12 discrepancies 1:12 discrete 22:3 discuss 49:10, 13 54:3 114:10

discussed 45:13 57:5 146:14 147:3 184:4 208:14 238:10 discussing 49:7 discussion 36:8, 9 61:4 discussions 102:23 205:3 **dismiss** 6:14 8:14. 21, 23 9:16, 17 15:9 19:25 26:3 27:17, 19 28:15, 19, 22 228:13 dismissal 10:9 dismissed 12:13 15:10 244:4 **dispel** 50:1, 23 dispelled 56:12 **dispose** 163:1 dispositive 21:7 **dispute** 4:9 49:21 **disputed** 7:7 30:14 disputes 13:24 disregarded 18:2 dissolved 152:17 distance 84:4 distinction 40:18 165:4 distress 238:4 **district** 2:20, 21 16:4 17:9 18:9 23:16 24:2, 8 240:18 241:3 districting 3:8 **divide** 138:*3* **Division** 6:1, 9, 12 11:18 236:18 **DOAH** 1:19 12:24 13:16 14:11 18:21 20:14, 15 27:4 207:19 228:24 dock 68:15 71:1 209:2 235:16 docked 71:21, 24 docket 5:6 **docking** 71:20 75:2 **doctor** 48:20 document 66:21 109:21, 22 111:25 112:9 113:8 135:20 141:8, 9, 12 142:4, 5, *6*, *13* 158:24 159:21

104:20 107:17 108:3

168: <i>17</i> 177:22 178: <i>1</i> , <i>3</i> 180: <i>16</i> , <i>18</i> 181:2
183:4 197: <i>13</i> , 21, 25
200:11, 12, 14, 15
201:3, 17 202:12, 18
203:7, 17, 21 223:21
224:16 226:6, 13, 22
227:11, 15 228:5, 16
documents 25:4
182:10, 14 199:8
200:18 228:18 242:5
dog 45:15, 18 91:6
146:21 147:5 161:8,
12 172:9, 11
doing 13:10 19:13 28:14 47:15 59:15
90:23 123:4 126:5
138: <i>1</i> 145: <i>3</i> 178: <i>17</i>
205:6 226:9
dollars 119:10, 13
208:10
door 95:18
doors 244:7
dot 57:11
double 155:6
doubled 52:19
doubly 178:12
downside 120:20
209:8
downsides 209:9
downstairs 244:8
downstream 41:13
45:20 55:25 127:4,
25 128:2, 15, 19, 21,
22, 24 129:2, 4, 7, 9
132:21 133:2, 9
Dr 245:16, 17
draft 159:24, 25
161:4 166:3
drain 160: <i>15</i> 161: <i>25</i> 173:2
drainage 196:12
drains 148:17 149:9
161:24 194: <i>16</i>
196:11
drastic 59:18
draw 132:14
drawing 161:17
223:9

Judge Suzar
drawings 108:5
146: <i>13</i> 168: <i>16</i>
169:24 170:7, 14, 25
109.24 170.7, 14, 25
171:18 172:3, 4, 8, 21,
23 173:13, 16 205:3
dredge 132:4 186:23
dredged 58:22
dredges 135:24
dredging 101:24
133:13, 22 134:23
135:23 193:18, 22
194: <i>4</i> 207: <i>5</i> 227:2 <i>1</i>
dressed 24:25
dressing 25:2
drive 62:1 84:18
driving 244:22
drop 47:12 105:13
148:10
drowning 233:22
234:23
drunken 67:14
dry 70:24 80:19, 23
dual 51:24 123:8
185:23 186:22
187: <i>12</i> 210:20
211:23 213:6
due 9:11 15:12, 15,
17 17:15 24:3 32:20
50:19 56:4 68:25
74: <i>16</i> 76:7 110: <i>14</i>
144:22 198: <i>13</i> 199:7
dug 36:3, 4 131:22
duly 62:11 97:2
230:9
dumping 57:14 90:14
duty 214:24 215:3,
21
dying 50:18
< E >
earlier 29:15, 16
32:14 52:1 69:15
126: <i>14</i> 164: <i>23</i>
166:25 183:20
184:17 194:10
196:10 198:6 215:8
1,0.10 1,0.0 215.0

218:*15* 242:*17* **early** 37:*4* 59:*13*

81:5 108:9 182:7

```
227:12 244:6, 15
245:3
Earth 78:13 87:14
earthen 121:22
easier 107:21 130:25
easily 21:18 228:25
east 33:18 34:1
78:25 126:8 136:16
eastbound 123:11
eastbounders 123:13
easy 186:13
ecological 58:15
ecologists 81:6
economic 186:14
ecosystem 43:4
46:18, 24 47:2
Edison 90:15
editorial 141:2
142:5 146:5
educate 39:9
educational 98:24
effect 7:13, 14 121:5
162:3
effective 39:4. 5
Effects 143:21
efficacious 177:22
efficiency 115:1
effluent 57:12, 14
effort 235:1
efforts 100:13
182:16 218:3
eight 48:10, 11
119:18
either 8:20 20:4, 7
40:9 127:7 136:11
180:18 190:15
192:16
elementary 17:10, 12
elements 28:5
elevated 148:8
elevation 41:1
133:21 134:11
elevations 107:9
128:23, 24, 25 135:17
eliminate 48:23 49:1
eliminated 51:23
e-mail 5:4 113:7
158:23 181:7, 12
e-mails 156:9
```

emergencies 63:20 75:14 231:11 236:5 237:23 **emergency** 65:10, 11 74:21 230:23 238:2 **Emerson** 222:15 226:20 **emplov** 138:*13* **employed** 62:24 230:19, 21 employment 97:12 **empty** 58:23 encapsulate 4:13 **encountered** 69:18 endangered 54:22 115:8 143:24 endeavor 13:3 endeavored 11:24 ends 35:12 engage 90:7 **engaged** 45:23 52:4 **engineer** 2:11 96:24 98:3, 5, 22 99:5 100:4, 5 102:18, 23 140:21 144:19 156:22 175:13 190:18 204:8, 13 207:4 225:9 engineered 170:7 engineering 44:5 53:18 97:13 98:25 99:11 102:8, 19 109:5 110:8, 12 111:18 113:14, 21 114:5, 8 117:5 120:25 125:1, 11, 12 146:13 161:4 162:20 168:3 170:2 171:6 174:21 197:1, 19 198:5 201:18 204:13, 19, 25 205:2, 5, 12, 14 207:5 209:4 211:4 engineers 81:21 182:25 183:11 204:24 226:17 **engineer's** 202:4, 6 enhance 150:17 enhancement 44:15 49:7 57:7, 20 145:4

enjoy 78:7 **enlarge** 44:10 119:20 **ensure** 6:8 166:4 **ensuring** 140:23 167:23 enter 9:5 69:8 83:14 123:14 147:21 148:4 **entered** 90:19 **entering** 39:8 147:22 **enters** 162:9 **entire** 18:15 63:11 88:10 93:6 103:20 105:18 109:22 121:17 131:17 142:4 201:19 202:7 203:13 221:15, 20 226:6 227:6 229:23 **entirely** 68:15 93:12 **entirety** 64:25 167:13 **entities** 194:20 **entitled** 7:21 17:14 111:21 159:16 **entitlement** 15:16.17 **entry** 147:22 entryway 69:9 enumerated 153:6 environment 37:5, 7, *17*, *21*, *23* 42:*16* 43:*1* 49:12 59:12 108:18 109:15 116:2, 6, 7 163:13 231:12 environmental 2:12, 18 6:7 11:14 32:21, 22, 25 44:15 48:3 49:7, 17, 18 53:19 54:10 57:1 81:7, 17 99:16, 17 109:17, 25 110:3, 22 111:1, 6, 22 112:4, 11 125:2 139:22 140:2, 3, 10, 11 142:25 143:8, 10, 11, 12 144:14 145:4, *14*, *15* 152:25 159:5, 20 166:4, 16, 21 168:2 185:22 202:2 209:8 231:18 **EPA** 92:12 **equals** 121:2

equipment 45:25 77:24 208:18 **eroded** 218:2 erosion 41:6, 11 55:*1* 115:9 124:*16*, 19 125:18, 19 126:5 137:1, 18 138:5, 15 175:9 190:7, 10 216:18, 22 217:1, 7, **erosions** 183:*19* **ERP** 4:15 20:2 26:7, 23 29:19 90:6 185:22 187:6 208:*13* 213:21 **Erwin** 81:5, 12, 21 82:14, 23 83:18 **escort** 96:4 Especially 104:9 183:3 **essence** 7:21 65:20 **essentially** 8:20 36:4 52:24 59:21 93:17 123:21 128:10 170:8 172:13 235:4 established 11:19 88:25 190:20 Estates 18:1 estoppel 7:13 estuarine 37:4, 7, 13, *15, 17* 38:5 58:20 59:12, 16 108:18 estuary 43:1, 2 et 25:15 65:8 **evaluated** 58:13, 17 186:6 evaluation 145:14 event 223:19 events 56:4 73:5, 9 93:12 eventually 38:25 187:22 Everglades 79:14 evert 121:16 everybody 13:10 51:18 93:10 178:15, 16 180:21 203:9 228:25 everybody's 13:21

evidence 3:14 17:5 31:2, 10, 13 32:1 33:5 36:13, 19 37:22, 24 39:2 41:4 43:16, 23, 24 47:8 49:14 51:1, 17 54:16, 18 55:22 56:14 60:7 61:19 87:20, 24 149:25 150:5 169:4, *7* 178:*1*, *3* 179:*8* 180:7 181:*4* 188:*5* 193:9 199:25 200:16 228:7, 8, 11 240:22, 24 242:6 exact 24:20 173:6 183:24 184:2 185:18 199:18 214:20 exactly 6:24 25:12 35:7 73:8 108:*1* 134:7 135:18, 19 178:15, 16 180:8 183:25 190:17 201:22 examination 56:13 62:17 74:9 97:7 230:11 243:2 **examined** 132:24 140:10 **example** 8:17 10:13 146:16 182:16 188:11 **exceed** 14:25 Excellent 94:18 189:22 exception 141:10 excess 52:20, 21, 22 53:4 91:17 exchange 55:20, 21 **excited** 195:12 **exclude** 194:*19* exclusive 220:22 **excuse** 10:13 73:3 150:7 175:24 189:10 220:18 **executed** 76:24 exercise 69:7 **Exhibit** 44:8 51:2 52:5 61:19, 24 64:3, 8 67:19 70:11 78:11, *15* 86:25 87:1, *14*, *16*

88:11 89:2 91:14, 22 97:19 101:8 111:20, *21* 112:9 113:*15* 139:19 142:2 145:25 152:23 158:22 159:15, 16 162:21 169:3, 14, 19, 23 171:17 176:5 177:7, 8, 17 178:16 179:4 180:*1* 197:*10* 199:*23*, 24 200:2 204:1 222:10 232:23 233:1 237:21 **exhibits** 169:13 170:11 179:1 exist 128:9, 14 129:14 133:8 existed 219:8, 15 220:2 existence 102:3 **existing** 36:5 106:5 132:21 147:14 exists 135:1 172:16 expanded 22:12 expansion 43:13 expansive 209:7 **expect** 245:4 expectation 128:8 **expected** 6:3 51:20 expecting 96:4 **expedite** 69:4 177:18 **expedited** 116:*13* **experience** 6:*3* 41:*19* 42:25 82:18 84:23 99:11, 14, 20, 23 101:16, 24 102:13 104:14 109:4 115:19 132:17, 18, 20 163:8 188:*15* 207:*5* 210:*11* experienced 115:24 experiencing 42:23 experiment 60:10 **expert** 35:10 46:2 79:9 87:17 97:23 98:3, 5, 21 104:5 143:3 168:2, 3 245:6, 13, 17, 21 expertise 97:22 104:10 188:25 189:1

178:19 195:11

193:15 196:23 208:12 215:5 experts 42:10 49:14, 19 54:16 83:15 91:19 245:4, 21 expired 9:17 **explain** 65:1 83:20 92:2 104:5, 23 106:8 116:20 118:22 119:23, 24 125:6 129:2*3* 133:2*5* 134:*1* 142:1 143:10 147:15 151:4 153:9 163:9 167:11 216:20 explains 53:5 explanation 141:19 explanations 205:6 **explore** 210:21 213:23 exposed 135:6 express 38:12 233:7 expressed 16:9 65:22 183:1 237:18 expression 177:4 Extended 27:24 186:24 extensive 11:16 53:22 **extensively** 45:10, 23 extent 87:12 137:21, 24 extra 22:16 57:24 228:22 **extreme** 131:25 174:4 extremely 12:12

<F>fabricator 116:15 117:4 facie 246:2, 5 facilitate 178:18 facilities 75:2 facility 70:24 80:19 121:16, 25 fact 8:10 26:18 30:9, 10, 14 37:4, 6 38:1 39:10 40:17 41:5, 22 55:18, 19 89:11 93:24 112:9,

10 127:21 161:4 162:8 168:20 185:20 186:4, 20 200:9, 11 243:8 fact-checking 142:12 **factor** 212:3 factories 83:3 factors 22:3, 18 56:9 facts 7:11 17:2, 6 20:5 49:21 55:23 56:13 193:8 240:21, 23 **factual** 16:21, 23, 24 17:1 50:23 142:12 **factually** 41:18, 22 42:17 43:6 **fail** 216:25 **failing** 93:13 **fails** 20:5 **failure** 10:20 54:12 115:3, 10 217:2, 17 **fair** 24:14 38:11 132:21 181:1 194:23 225:17 239:1 **fairly** 110:11 **fairv** 50:1 **faith** 24:21 **fall** 17:2 30:11 82:19 125:25 217:19 **falling** 217:20 **familiar** 35:5 63:13 73:2 102:3, 6 104:14. *19* 142:8 163:*16* 165:5 202:16 231:13. 16 familiarity 140:1 **famous** 84:25 fantastic 244:18 **far** 33:8 60:20 70:4 104:20 105:2, 8 110:9 119:20 124:12 126:4 139:2 167:14 179:6 **farther** 79:4 83:24 85:21, 22

fashion 37:8 43:4

faster 121:4

favorable 56:2

fate 50:22

FDEP 102:17 103:12 105:4, 9 152:20 190:12, 13, 18 203:2 204:16 219:21 **FDEP-created** 93:8 **FDEP's** 159:4 **feature** 80:1 **fed** 42:7 **federal** 26:24 28:9 feeding 47:1 **feel** 7:16 161:21 **feet** 105:13 108:8 125:21 133:13 135:24 234:25 **fertilizer** 39:10 82:25 fiberglass 235:20 fictitious 91:9 **field** 11:20 207:25 208:11 **Fifth** 17:9 134:*1* 162:16 **figure** 90:3 91:25 93:10 119:17 120:10, *12* 125:*4* 151:9, *17* 183:13 188:19 206:11 **figures** 205:4 **file** 141:5 142:3 201:20 202:8 203:2, 13 **filed** 4:23 12:18 18:25 19:24 26:6 27:21 106:16 115:14, 16 200:2 **files** 182:11 199:8 **filing** 16:10 20:11 28:23 106:18 **fill** 107:11 **filled** 108:11 **filter** 47:1 **final** 1:18 13:17 108:6 163:7 180:15 201:15 210:3 finalized 1:13**finally** 47:5 156:8 175:11 186:17 **financial** 208:12 **find** 5:10 9:10 52:15 69:11 74:20

85:23 108:4 197:20 210:21 220:14 **finding** 22:*4* **findings** 7:11 10:18 11:7 fine 53:12 77:18, 22 104:13 130:21 131:2 149:7 157:19 180:10 229:19 finger 34:5, 6 **finish** 74:3 88:3 145:10 195:10, 13, 15, 19 229:4, 5, 12 **finished** 61:14 228:15 fire 75:12 165:19, 20 229:9 230:5, 23, 25 231:2, 4, 6, 20 232:15 233:21 234:23 235:15, 17, 19, 22 236:19 238:3 240:18 241:2. 11 firefighter 234:24 236:16 firefighters 233:23 First 2:23 7:3 14:21, 22 16:4 24:18 27:18 30:7, 15 43:14 62:4, *11* 89:*3* 97:2, *16*, *17* 110:18, 19 113:14 120:6, 18 131:7 148:4. 11 153:20 156:16 160:14 170:16 197:1, 20 214:17, 22 219:5 223:9 230:9 231:8 234:16 235:15 242:1 **fish** 10:20 35:7, 9 54:21 68:15 84:14, 15 85:17, 19, 23 115:2 153:21 154:14 187:*11* 215:9 216:*1* **fisheries** 46:16, 18 **fisherman** 85:10 **fishing** 55:3 85:16 fishingest 85:12 **five** 20:16 33:4 79:5 100:1 168:22 231:2 **fix** 14:16 98:14

fixed 40:20 **flaring** 67:7 68:3 **flat** 35:7 70:23 **fleet** 85:16 **flip** 3:22 **floating** 137:17 **floor** 208:21 Florida 2:18, 21 10:6 15:3 16:2 17:20 18:9 23:15, 20 24:2, 8 45:11 48:6 58:12, 13 60:12 78:6 81:19 83:17 85:23 86:12 92:12 93:23 99:5, 10 109:24 152:24 153:21 156:3 159:4 187:11 191:16 192:*1* 215:9 216:*1* **flow** 36:10, 11, 18, 22 38:8 39:11, 12 49:24 50:4 54:25 55:1 92:14 108:20, 21 168:21 174:7 **flowed** 82:8 **flowing** 108:22, 25 109:*1* flush 148:4, 11 **focus** 131:6 132:6 **focused** 3:14 99:12 **focusing** 221:10 **folks** 84:*17* 186:*12* 216:1 246:14 **follow** 31:1, 13 70:1 91:12 **Following** 71:*17* **follows** 62:12 97:3 230:10 **follow-up** 224:*3* **food** 95:13 **foot** 103:17, 18 105:14 122:21 129:4 136:17, 18 148:8 **footprint** 110:*16* **force** 67:16 73:13 forces 67:25 70:21 **Ford** 90:16 foremost 231:8 **forest** 41:12 **forgive** 78:20 91:23

DANIEL CARNEY, JAMES COLLIER, & KEVIN SPARKS V. CITY OF CAPE CORAL

223:17 **form** 35:6 **format** 173:10 **formatting** 197:16 **former** 36:3 40:1, 11 **formula** 121:2 **formulate** 91:*19* Fort 16:15 33:8 47:7, 16 79:5, 16 90:14, 19 162:25 163:2, *12*, *19* **forth** 112:20 Fortunately 174:18 **forward** 9:18 11:2 12:9 13:18 17:5 25:5 167:25 190:14 239:4 Foulks 28:6 29:5 found 7:25 29:10 58:*3* 91:*17* 110:*15* **foundation** 203:14 four 63:25 79:5 93:18 196:18 **four-room** 86:*3* frame 73:12 106:12 221:23 **frankly** 16:14 **Fred** 2:8 free 55:21 free-for-all 123:22 frequently 139:5 193:22 209:4, 7 fresh 42:17 85:17 109:12 freshwater 36:12, 18 37:16, 21, 22, 25 38:3 42:5 49:23 58:18 102:5 109:6, 13 151:25 152:6 164:18 165:7. 14 Friday 94:20, 25 95:4, 10 fringe 33:19, 22 80:4 192:16 front 23:2 66:19 95:18 130:25 131:4 169:23 179:7 180:24 208:16 212:9 228:7 **fruition** 210:12

frustration 49:1 **fulfill** 154:22 **full** 15:13 17:11 82:15 96:2 103:15, 21, 22 110:21 111:1 124:20, 25 183:5 202:25 208:20 237:10 **fully** 8:6, 11 47:21 102:25 109:22 122:19 219:18 **function** 6:4 14:14 55:6 84:2 functioned 82:13 functioning 122:20 **functions** 55:12, 13 fundamentally 200:19 **funding** 45:22, 25 52:3 **funds** 48:25 **further** 5:24 65:25 117:13 144:4 150:17 157:25 165:10 175:18 220:12 **future** 115:3, 10 **FWC** 45:22 48:6, 8 154:22 155:10 215:16 216:5, 10 245:12

<G> GAC 81:10, 19 182:25 **GAC's** 182:22 Gallery 86:7 galore 84:14, 15 gamut 11:23 **gap** 84:5 gasoline 82:16 Gasparilla 79:18 gate 119:13 gates 116:4, 5, 14, 25 117:9 118:20 119:*I*, 2, 6 120:9, 18 121:11 123:2, 20 124:3 135:5 174:3, 4 208:18 **gather** 229:5 gathered 92:5

gauge 185:12 229:1 general 5:25 28:1 generally 18:12 22:21 65:1 115:17, 22 144:13 153:9 generated 163:2 gentleman 85:24 geography 78:21 84:21 **getting** 70:4 72:9 118:4 119:6 124:15 126:6 181:15 191:18 **giant** 235:17 give 27:6 31:16 72:10 77:6, 12, 22 98:13 124:21 144:22 169:12, 13 176:18 202:25 220:23 228:2, given 47:22 50:15 55:19 95:25 gives 22:2 51:8 **glean** 26:24 **Glover** 35:3 45:17 80:12 91:7 **go** 1:17 5:7 14:2, 15, 18 17:5, 16 26:17, 21 28:24 29:21, 22 33:16 39:12 42:8 44:12 47:19 52:5, 6 53:15, 20 57:17 58:3, 7 61:19 66:10, 24 68:8 69:13 72:24 76:18, 19 77:11 80:15 83:12 84:11, 12, 13 85:21 89:10, 23 91:25 92:18, 21 93:2 94:14 95:17, 18 96:13, 19 98:14, 17 99:2, 3 105:14 106:24 107:12 113:2, 13 119:19, 21 120:4 121:4 123:11, 16 124:5 125:4 130:17 135:4 139:16 140:9 143:7 144:23 148:12 151:11, 14 152:22 154:11 155:24 156:10 159:14

160:10 163:14

164:20 169:20 170:13 171:3 172:3, 20 180:10 182:7 186:18 187:9 188:5 194:11, 12 195:1 196:6 204:22 210:18 219:5 221:4 225:4, 8, 12, 18, 23, 25 227:19 229:3 232:24 234:4, 9, 16 238:6 239:18, 19 240:14 241:13 243:22 244:17 245:3 **goal** 211:20 goes 16:2 23:24 27:25 28:4 34:23 52:13 54:14 82:4 84:4, 5 85:18 124:13 126:14 130:22 179:3 187:2*1* 195:*1* 212:*3* **going** 1:21 3:5, 17 4:10 14:13 20:25 29:17 30:14, 22 33:17, 21 34:17 35:3, 10, 16, 18 36:8, 9, 13, 19 37:18 39:18, 19, 22 42:15, 18 43:4, 19 50:15, 19 53:17 55:17 57:1, 2, 3, 17 58:7, 8 59:10, 18 60:7, 13, 14 66:3 67:20 68:6 69:11 70:2 72:10, 11, 23 76:11, 18 77:19 85:19 87:11 89:3, 21, 23 90:22, 24 91:1, 10, 16 92:6, 14, 16, 17, 18, 23 93:3, 4 95:10 97:19 98:22 102:24, 25 120:13 121:3 122:*1* 130:9 131:*12* 137:7 154:10 167:23, 25 168:1, 5, 19 170:13 171:20 174:6 180:6, 17, 19 181:2 183:2 186:18 187:13, *17*, *22* 188:*4* 190:*6*, *7* 191:21 193:10 194:19 197:6 198:2 200:13, 16 204:1 206:12 212:4 213:18,

19 217:13, 14 218:9 221:12 222:3, 6 223:25 224:5 227:5 229:12 234:6 242:13 244:22 245:9 246:18 **golf** 82:5 **Good** 1:24 2:6 3:2 24:21 32:15, 16 65:12 97:9, 10 104:20 110:11 131:19 132:19 146:9 226:20 235:13 Google 78:4, 13 79:23 87:14 **Gotcha** 150:2 **gotten** 70:12 155:6 **governor** 81:*12* gracefully 212:13 **grader** 134:1 **gradually** 148:13 **Grande** 79:18 grant 28:10 102:17 208:13 granted 28:8, 20 90:2 granting 89:5 **graph** 135:11 **Graphs** 157:14, 23 grass 148:9 196:6 **gray** 164:10 165:6 **Great** 3:3 31:24 54:14 89:4 90:20 101:*10* 111:*20* 126:*1*. 2, 3, 6, 9 148:23 149:21 199:4 243:1 244:16 greater 136:10 150:9 greatest 137:20, 24 **Green** 2:9 14:13 90:13 **grid** 173:10 **grist** 203:3 **ground** 138:19 **grounds** 186:1 groundwork 240:25 **growing** 164:23 grows 192:19 **growth** 56:3 **Guard** 238:3 guess 16:23 35:13 43:9 59:1 72:6

87:12 107:25 115:5
120:16 123:21
147:13 149:7 165:9
187:2 200:13, 17, 22
202:15 207:1 224:3
227:17
guessing 6:24 221:24
guidance 211:13
Gulf 84:14 85:18, 20
guys 129:17 228:22
244:15

<H>
habitat 145:2
habitats 145:1
half 105:20 129:3

< H > habitat 145:2 **habitats** 145:*1* **half** 105:20 129:3 136:17 148:8 244:23 **halt** 81:10 **hand** 32:14 62:8 **handbook** 12:4 20:20 handbooks 11:16 **handle** 69:17 hands 76:17 **hands-on** 185:13 **HANNON** 1:24 2:1 4:21, 22 5:3 27:10, *14*, *16* 30:21, 24 31:7, 11, 17, 19 33:1, 3 49:6 50:2 60:17 61:8, 9, 11 66:6, 8, 11, 14, 17, 21, 24, 25 67:24 68:9 70:6, 8, 10 72:13, 25 73:21, 23 74:5, 12 75:1 77:8, 11, 13, 19, 23 78:1, 13, 17 85:16 88:1, 6 98:8 117:18, 22 126:24 140:13, 15 141:14 143:2 144:16, 18 156:19 157:16, 21 167:18 168:18 171:16, 20 175:25 176:1, 3, 8, 12, 14, 15, 18, 24 177:1, 4, 13, 16 178:14 179:11, 18, 20 180:12, 14, 21 181:7, *12* 182:*1*, *2* 183:*7* 186:7, 9 187:8, 20 188:4, 9, 10 189:4 191:6, 23, 24 193:13,

16 194:9 195:*18* 196:14 197:14, 17, 24 198:1 199:1, 22 200:5 201:4, 6, 22 202:9, 23 203:10, 24, 25 204:5, 7 205:9, 25 206:25 207:7, 16, 24 208:6, 7 210:1, 19 211:7, 10, 11 212:18, 21, 25 213:1, 10 214:1, 2, 13 215:8, 11, 13, 19, 23, 24 216:7, *14*, *15* 217:24 218:*1*2, 13 219:5, 25 220:16 222:5, 6, 9, 16 223:1 224:7, 10, 22, 23 225:5, 8 226:9, 16 227:10, 16, 19 228:3, 17 229:3, 17, 25 238:21 239:13, 15, 19, 20 240:16 241:1, 18, 19, 25 242:7, 9, 22 243:13 244:21 245:1, 4, 20, 23, 25 246:16 Hannon's 75:21 77:6 **happen** 50:6 93:19 206:15 214:17 217:21 **happened** 17:*16* 36:20 60:1 86:8 93:19 115:4, 5, 6 146:9 184:2 195:4 happening 9:9 **happy** 30:24 116:12 178:20 229:3, 17 **Harbor** 18:19 Harbour 84:6 189:*18* 194:*14* 235:12 243:11, 18, 20 **hard** 5:3 61:23 76:17 108:10 130:24, 25 189:8 hardships 217:5 **hardy** 43:17 **harm** 43:24 56:3, 11 197:8 215:2*1* **harmed** 40:15 214:25 **harmful** 49:11 55:1 115:9 175:8 216:18

217:6 **harvesting** 90:8, 10 **hate** 5:9 168:20 haul 230:6 **Hayman** 2:19 **hazard** 48:23 hazardous 233:25 236:2 hazmat 235:24 236:1, 2, 4, 8 **head** 16:6 48:17 76:15 80:15 83:6 118:25 196:4 244:1 **headed** 19:11 headquartered 71:3, 5 headquarters 71:9 heads 244:20, 25 **Health** 10:5 33:24 54:19 65:17 188:24 231:8 233:8, 11 healthy 42:19, 23 43:17 **hear** 13:13, 17 14:1 15:19 32:2 33:17, 21 34:18 35:3, 16 37:18 39:18 47:8 58:25 66:11 75:12, 24, 25 83:15 84:21 85:3, 9, 24 86:14, 16 118:12 134:12 140:24 164:10 168:6 169:11 176:8 177:2, 5 193:*13* 198:22, *25* 217:14 **heard** 16:14 48:4 141:16 177:11 192:24 228:25 **hearing** 1:19 3:1, 6 15:*13* 18:22 20:*3* 21:16 29:5 58:19 59:24 104:3 176:19, 22 **Hearings** 6:1, 10, 12 11:18 hearsay 140:17 141:10 height 38:7 heightened 236:17 **held** 18:21 64:18, 22 236:13

help 98:9 114:20 151:12 152:12 187:5 190:5 224:1 225:12 235:21 **helpful** 104:4 129:12 130:2 131:4, 24 218:20, 22 **helps** 76:20 Hennessey 2:7 112:5, **HENNESSY** 2:6 4:4 5:17 14:8, 13, 20 20:14 23:8 30:19 31:21, 24 32:2, 7, 12, *17*, *18* 34:*11*, *14*, *17* 37:14 38:14, 18 39:2 40:4, 6, 9 56:22 57:5 60:25 61:2, 15, 18, 23 62:1 77:4, 5, 9, 14 78:10, 14 87:11, 22 88:1, 5 94:9, 18 95:1, 3, 6 96:3, 15, 21, 23 97:6, 8, 24 98:2, 11, 18, 19, 20 100:21, 24 101:2, 7 104:7, 13, 18 106:3, 15 113:6, 9 118:14, 15 119:4, 19, 22 120:12, 14 122:3, 14 127:18, 20 129:16, *19* 130:*11*, *23* 134:*16* 135:8 136:21 137:3, *4*, *11*, *12* 138:21, 22 139:2, 12, 18, 20 140:6, 8, 20 141:21, 23 142:22 143:6, 9 144:3, 5 145:6, 12, 19, 23, 24 146:24 147:1, 10, 12 148:19 149:6, *16* 150:20 151:8, *16* 152:10, 11, 13 153:18, *19* 154:9, *12* 155:23, 25 156:8, 11, 21 157:3, 4, 7, 8 158:8, *16. 17* 159:*18. 19* 160:10, 13 161:23 165:*3* 166:2 167:2*1* 168:4, 8, 12 169:2, 6, *16*, *18*, *21*, *22* 171:*17*, 24 172:2 175:18 177:6, 25 178:2, 5

179:21, 23 180:3, 8, *15*, *23* 181:5, *9*, *20*, *23* 183:6 185:24 186:*1*, 10 190:25 191:2, 17 193:7 194:5 195:9 200:8 201:7, 15, 24 202:4 203:12 205:18 206:19 207:12, 21 209:15 210:14 212:5. 23 213:7 214:18 215:2 216:6 217:11 218:7 220:14 223:23 225:2 226:5 227:2, 24 228:4, 17 229:8, 22 244:11 245:2, 7, 9, *15, 18* 246:*1, 7, 17* Hennessy's 202:15 Hernandez 153:2 **high** 117:12, 14 121:9 122:20 142:11 **higher** 129:1, 4 136:18 highlight 178:22 highlighted 67:3 68:*17* 177:*18* 183:*3* 227:22 228:1 highlighting 177:9 200:13 **highly** 116:6, 7 122:11 245:2 **Highpoint** 18:8 23:14 hilarious 32:12 Hindenach 85:4 **hired** 190:13 historian 205:16 historic 36:1 historical 58:20 59:10, 11 142:15, 17 145:21 146:9 184:7 185:5, 21 188:14 222:17 historically 100:10 115:25 182:25 183:12 **history** 49:20 81:18 98:24 182:12 183:19 186:25 188:13 196:16 205:15 218:1 **HOENSTINE** 2:16, *17* 5:*16* 14:*3* 19:*5*, 8,

21, 22 21:17 22:24 27:10, 17, 19 28:17 56:20, 21 59:4 66:5 98:6 141:4 145:22 169:9 175:2*1*, 23 202:14 205:22 207:23 212:1 214:11 216:4 238:18 239:10 246:12 Hoenstine's 87:2 **hold** 50:3 67:22 99:7 117:16 129:17 157:20 **holds** 10:2 **hole** 148:3, 5, 13 homes 3:9 90:16 189:*13* Homestead 10:4 **Honor** 1:24 2:6, 16 3:2 4:4, 22 5:4, 9, 16, 17, 19 9:4 14:6, 20 15:2, 24 17:7 18:5 19:22 20:23 23:1, 3, 8 24:18 26:16 28:8. 10, 18 30:19, 21, 24 31:21 32:12 39:18, 20 42:19 43:7 50:15 51:7 53:15 55:9 56:6, 9, 21 60:15, 25 61:15, 24 62:5, 16 65:25 66:5, 8, 15 67:20 68:6 70:3 72:8, 21 74:2, 8 76:22, 25 77:5 78:3, 10 87:11, 22 88:5 89:4, 25 90:17 94:9, 21 96:15, 23 97:24 98:8, 11, 19 104:7, 16 108:5 117:1 118:24 125:13 130:12 140:13, 20 141:14, 21 143:2 151:13 152:11 156:21 167:21 168:4, 18 169:2, 16, 21 172:21 175:19 176:1, 18 177:6 178:2, 14 179:11, 21 180:15 181:*17*, *23* 182:*1* 185:24 186:6 187:10 190:25 191:17 193:7

194:5 195:9 199:22 200:8 201:15 202:14 203:12 205:18 209:15 210:14 212:1, 6, 23 213:7, 10, 15 215:2, 8 218:7 220:15 223:23 224:11 226:5 227:2, 24 228:4, 7 229:8, 17 230:15 238:14, 18 239:8 240:11 241:15, 18 242:12 243:14 244:11 246:8, 12, 16, 17 Honor's 14:22 51:11 222:7 **hope** 66:11 230:5 hopeful 4:24 hopefully 4:1 **hoping** 177:21 212:12 **HORBLZ** 42:14 horizontal 71:20 horribles 55:17 horrific 56:4 hot 95:20 **hotel** 86:3 hour 96:2 212:14 244:23 hours 76:11 236:10 **house** 85:4 87:7 housekeeping 244:6 houses 237:11 huge 51:19 hunched 101:2 **hundred** 47:10, 11 119:13 hundreds 50:11 60:11 93:1 119:11 208:9 **Hunter** 24:6, 9 **Hurricane** 55:*13* 56:5 87:3 88:18 115:6, 7, 14 hurricanes 40:16 60:4, 7 **hybrid** 75:14 **hvdrants** 165:19, 20 hydrodynamic 111:7, 9 142:7, 24

hydrographic 156:13 157:11 hydrologic 145:2 **hydrology** 144:25 hypothetical 193:8 $\langle I \rangle$ **Ian** 55:13 56:5 69:2 88:18 115:14 idea 36:16 42:18 101:12 126:6 **ideal** 86:2 ideas 16:21 **identical** 110:*13* 242:2 identification 37:10 169:19 **identified** 12:11, 12 37:9 45:20 46:4 48:10 113:15 166:25 169:3 171:6 173:9 224:12 233:15 235:10 237:5, 6, 15 243:8 **identify** 11:24 12:15 13:3, 4 16:20, 21 23:2 25:14 114:10 170:12 197:21 227:11 234:17 237:2 identifying 173:10 224:11 **ignore** 49:10 130:9 **ignored** 10:15 **II** 21:13 45:11 54:7 **III** 21:*13* illuminate 178:21 **Imaec** 18:1 **imagine** 187:*1* 245:10 **immediate** 110:*15* 151:22 immediately 2:8 33:18 34:1 45:19 110:16 128:10 129:14 143:16 183:16 **impact** 22:1, 3, 6 54:25 58:1 91:2 126:9 128:18, 22

impacted 36:24 42:19 74:18 impactful 211:5 impacting 47:24 **impacts** 10:25 21:11 23:13 44:23 45:6 59:17, 25 60:4 110:22 111:4 127:14, 22, 24 138:25 140:10 143:15, 18 144:15 166:16 175:1 **impaired** 92:11 **impediment** 114:*15* impervious 82:8 **implement** 11:*15* implementation 100:15 138:11 162:12 implemented 51:15 implementing 21:4 51:24 implements 21:3, 5 importance 147:16 **important** 33:6, 25 36:8 46:7, 14 55:9 59:14 69:21 84:21 134:25 135:21 142:8 143:4 201:6 209:22 importantly 21:19 **imposed** 89:16 166:20 167:25 impossible 38:5 85:23 **improper** 193:8 **improve** 46:15 47:25 51:15 58:4 165:10 236:7 improved 173:7 175:2 **Improvement** 32:25 44:13 45:19 46:16 52:3, 8 54:11 109:19 112:4, 11 147:5 159:*17* 167:*8*, *17* 172:18 175:6 231:18 improvements 45:14 51:25 102:22 103:14 144:25 145:2 146:20 161:11 171:2 172:9.

24 208:8 222:14 improving 34:19 impugn 220:18 inaccurate 242:16 inadequacies 6:15, 17 inadequate 6:20 9:19 12:2, 3 inappropriate 178:12 228:9 **inches** 108:8 incidentally 90:14 incidents 239:22 inclement 238:7 include 17:23 20:5 111:3, 6 114:7 128:13 154:17 160:3 167:5 187:13 included 11:3 138:24 186:16 236:18 includes 20:3 44:14 153:6 160:5 **including** 46:1 47:4 51:16 54:7, 22 56:7 107:1 121:19 166:15 209:21, 25 231:12 232:8 incorporate 29:23 170:7 incorporated 30:4 incorporating 7:15 incorrect 41:18 42:17 43:6 49:22 increase 122:5 186:11 211:21 increased 84:6 121:1 196:18 198:13 increasing 122:7 **Independent** 240:18 **Indiana** 118:*12* **indicate** 2:25 171:10 **indicated** 44:12 48:9 54:9 55:24 132:9, 23 141:1 192:6 203:7 208:2 211:19 228:11 indicates 132:9 160:14 162:11 indicating 18:24, 25 43:9 85:5 86:5, 17

174:22

87:5 indications 223:10 indicative 108:17, 18 indirect 111:4 Individual 111:22 122:23 167:23 173:5 individually 30:5 individuals 27:6 **industry** 86:13 **infer** 213:5 influenced 128:4 **inform** 24:5 **informal** 159:8 information 22:8 133:15 136:1 140:25 152:20 153:12 156:24 157:25 158:25 159:6, 7 183:*10*, *19* 200:*21* 205:14 **informed** 15:18, 22 **initial** 110:1 148:3 initially 160:5 190:4 **initiation** 101:21 injection 51:21 **Injuries** 115:8 216:3 238:8 injury 48:21, 22 **inlet** 148:8 inlets 147:24 173:7 196:6, 9 Inn 86:4 inoperable 236:11 **input** 141:2 209:24 **inquire** 94:20 186:19 208:3 245:25 inquired 94:24 95:1 inquiry 222:7 223:24 **inside** 72:2 75:2 211:17 243:13, 18 insistence 81:13 **install** 162:18 **installation** 46:13, 23 164:4 196:7 installed 42:4 105:18, 19 106:2 147:24 162:19 164:3 **installing** 51:18, 21 instance 76:9

instances 40:14 82:19 237:24 insufficiency 29:8 intended 25:20 intending 201:1 **intent** 51:4, 7, 8 52:10 103:24 **intention** 36:14 192:12, 13 interactions 183:11 interchange 219:23 interest 4:16 12:21 15:5 18:17 21:9, 19, 24, 25 22:2, 17 23:12 26:7, 10 28:5, 18, 20 29:4, 6, 19 30:3, 12 44:21, 25 45:1 46:21 54:13 56:7 187:6, 21 212:2 213:22 236:2*1* interested 23:11 interlocal 162:25 **internal** 156:12 **interrupt** 104:11 114:18 117:21 168:2*1* 183:2 197:*14* 221:12 interrupting 152:9 introduce 200:11, 14 introduced 87:16 201:20 introduction 114:22, 23 198:11 invested 208:9 investigate 53:23 investigated 38:2 104:25 investigating 47:25 investigation 54:6 110:19 128:14 174:22 175:7 invited 212:12, 15 **involved** 99:19 100:9, 12, 16 102:12, *15*, *16*, *20* 161:2 190:3 involvement 101:22 116:1 141:20 irrelevant 167:19 186:5

irrigation 38:3 51:24 163:25 164:6, 11, 24 165:11, 16, 17, *18*, *22*, *25* 196:8 Irwin 79:9 80:5 **Isis** 7:13 **Island** 35:15 78:22, 23, 24 79:17, 20 84:8, 16 85:13 164:22, 24 240:17 241:2, 4 isolated 133:3 136:16, 19 issuance 51:9 issue 4:10, 12, 15 6:13 7:19 8:5 13:24 15:11, 12 16:22 18:22 21:7 24:3, 11, 19 26:11, 25 27:23 28:6, 16, 21 29:5, 18 30:2, 11 65:17 94:9, *19* 100:8 117:*17* 122:8 126:13 142:20 186:*3*, *14* 198:*13* 201:12 202:24 209:20 **issued** 32:21 112:17 175:15, 16 issues 4:8, 18 5:22, 23 7:7, 14, 15, 16, 22 8:2, 4, 9, 11 9:14, 15, 18, 20 10:11, 25 11:12, 24 12:9, 10, 24 13:1, 4, 17, 19, 22 14:24 15:7, 14, 18, 22 16:25 17:14 18:14 19:7, 16 21:15 22:4 23:3, 23 24:22, 24 25:3, 4, 5, 11 26:2, 9, 12, 15 29:24 30:8, 14 40:24 76:5 101:23 111:2 114:17 115:12, 20, 21, 23 116:4 122:15 124:12 139:7 203:15 206:9, 13, 17 215:17 240:1 **issuing** 48:18 item 146:6 160:14 items 16:12 17:23 137:2 139:10 142:14, 16 143:14 153:11, 12, 14 217:18 its 37:4 82:3 90:14 99:21 192:17 208:20

< J>Jacksonville 116:15 Janicki 198:8 Jennifer 2:20 iet 65:8 **JNT** 176:*17* 182:*3* 204:23 232:24 **JNT154** 64:4 **iob** 68:13 226:17.21 **John** 2:*3* join 3:12 202:15 212:5 246:8 **joinder** 27:18 **Joint** 44:7 51:2 61:19, 23 64:3, 8 70:11 89:1, 2 91:13, 22 111:21 112:9 113:15 139:19 145:25 152:23 158:22 159:15, 16 169:23 171:17 176:5 177:8 180:*1* 197:*10* 199:24 204:1, 4 232:23 233:1 237:21 **iudge** 7:12 10:19 20:16, 18 28:6, 25 29:4 65:2 104:23 106:8 118:17, 20 120:15 125:6 130:4 143:10 147:15 158:15 165:5 193:21 209:13 judgment 8:22 9:3, *6* 12:*14* 15:*9* 26:*3* judicial 17:13 203:1 **jump** 69:4 **jumped** 14:4 **jumping** 116:*17* 132:5 **June** 19:24 27:21 28:21 jurisdiction 9:5 jury 213:17 juts 125:20, 21

jutting 125:*14* **JWC** 16:*3*

< K > **kavak** 106:23 107:13 keen 236:21 **keep** 3:5 25:23 77:14 117:23 120:12 132:23 148:24 153:18 154:10 170:13 keeping 228:21 **Kenny** 2:19 **kept** 241:8 243:5 **Kevin** 2:7 32:18 79:9 80:5 81:5 **key** 143:20 kevs 233:24 kicker 72:5, 6 kind 35:7 40:22 93:22 101:2 115:23 116:17, 20 117:6 119:21 156:17 172:16 240:18 kinds 136:22 **know** 3:20, 24 9:9 13:17, 20 14:1 16:16 18:24 19:14 21:2 22:25 23:6, 21, 22 25:12 27:2, 5 29:14 30:11 32:3 33:22 35:5 36:14 40:24 41:20 42:14, 21 43:7 50:24 51:13 55:22 57:9 60:20 67:12 72:5, 11 73:16 76:17, 18 84:21 87:15, 19, 23 89:20 94:12, 21 97:24 98:12 100:25 104:9 107:25 108:11 115:23 117:11, 25 119:11 121:19 123:12, 16 134:19 137:7 140:17 149:24 150:9 151:25 152:14 161:21 177:11 178:7, 12, 14 179:8, 10, 13 188:19, 21, 23 189:7, 23 190:3, 11 192:2, 22 193:14 195:11

196:*3*, *21* 199:*7* 200:19 201:17 203:2, 17, 19, 22 205:19 208:11 210:6 211:8 215:25 217:4 219:12, 23 221:6, 19, 23, 24 226:15 227:12 228:12, 13, 22, 23, 24 229:11 241:2, 4, 14 246:14 knowledge 11:22 115:19 127:6 139:13 142:10, 15, 18 146:9 158:18 170:6 179:15 185:6, *14* 187:3, 9 193:11 204:18 205:13 215:10 knowledgeable 168:7 known 8:7 35:4 knows 1:25 178:15, *16* 204:*13* 216:8 242:10

< L > **labeled** 152:1 223:16 226:10 lack 11:10 **Ladder** 232:15, 17, 18, 21 236:16 lag 172:22 **lagging** 154:11 **laid** 154:6 159:8 **LAMB** 230:8, 14, 18, *19* 231:*13*, 20 232:25 233:6 234:19 236:13 237:18 243:4 **L-A-M-B** 230:18 **land** 79:9 120:16 121:19 124:9, 24 126:10 184:24 186:11 232:13 240:3 land-based 65:9 76:13, 20 landmarks 79:16 landowners 175:4 **Lands** 111:24 Landscaping 165:25 language 16:5 large 18:12, 14 42:8 70:23 80:18 95:9

163:24 165:21 194:24 235:13 largely 190:16 221:16 larger 84:4 195:24 largest 35:23 81:18 105:2, 9 163:24 laser 32:3 104:15 118:16 234:15, 17 late 6:19 8:20 9:19, 20, 22 19:17 59:5 81:5 183:25 190:20 212:14 228:23 launch 69:13.14 72:2, 5 **launched** 72:15 **law** 7:12 10:1, 3, 19 11:8 15:25 26:9, 24 29:24 65:2 95:19, 23 lawns 82:8 195:1 **lay** 211:6 240:24 **layered** 79:22 **lead** 87:4 leader 47:9 leadership 101:20 **leading** 104:4 179:14 lean 198:25 **learn** 79:1, 8 83:11 89:25 110:6 185:11 187:22 238:23 learned 110:6 188:14 190:19 214:18, 23 217:25 **leave** 95:14 120:7 123:13 150:4 208:20 leaves 39:16 **leaving** 3:16, 23, 25 96:7 125:16 **led** 132:8 leeway 27:7 72:11 **left** 1:20 4:6 78:22 88:8 131:5 133:7, 12, 21 135:16, 22 legacy 82:20 192:22, 24 **legal** 4:8 7:14 13:24 16:25 20:3 26:15

30:11 201:11 207:21

208:2 215:3

legend 130:4, 6, 22 legendary 85:10 **length** 69:21 235:7 lengthy 4:9 **Leoma** 86:6 lesson 205:16 **letter** 64:9, 11 65:22 67:1 69:2 70:20 152:22, 24 153:3, 14, 20, 23, 25 154:10, 11, *14* 155:20 156:*1* 232:25 233:4, 6 237:20, 21 238:24 239:7, 16 241:13, 20. **letters** 48:8, 16, 18 153:15 242:1 **level** 11:20 23:10 38:6 105:11 117:12, 14 127:22 139:25 142:*11* **levels** 92:1 103:19 111:12 127:14, 24 143:18, 19, 23 Lewis 2:7 liability 48:24 licensing 9:6 24:10, lies 202:20 lieu 76:7 **life** 37:17 65:7 231:11 **lift** 39:24, 25 40:2, 12, 17, 18, 19 41:6, 7, 8, 9, 15, 17, 20, 21 43:13, 14, 18, 20 44:3 50:20 60:1 84:9, 10, 20, 23 86:11 87:6 198:21 199:2, 5 241:10 **lifted** 81:3 lightning 238:7 **limit** 96:9 limitations 227:21 **limited** 9:12 12:24 13:*1* 15:*3* 29:*19* 224:14 235:6 limiting 29:6

line 34:12 69:4 79:2
124:20 210: <i>17</i> 221: <i>17</i> , <i>18</i>
lines 196:8 197:15
200:3, 4 202:17
235:8, 16
list 25:19 51:10
52:9 87:16 92:12
239:22
listed 17:23 112:22
114: <i>15</i> 162:24 240:3
listen 195:12
listing 4:17 143:8
lists 205:4
litany 26:11
literally 185:13
little 3:8 6:23, 25
27:7 72:11 78:23
79:4, 15 83:2 84:4
95:19 100:21 105:20
95: <i>19</i> 100:2 <i>1</i> 105:2 <i>0</i> 108: <i>3</i> 110: <i>17</i> 114:2 <i>4</i>
116:17 117:11, 20
119:20, 21 125:6, 21
127:19 132:6 136:4,
5 141:16, 19 151:8
154:9 160:11 161:20
167:12 168:7 197:12
201:4 228:12 240:24
lived 85:6
lives 85:4
living 81:24 86:1
LLP 10:4
load 189:16
loadings 111: <i>12</i>
local 238:2
located 33:14 45:18,
25 80:16 84:24
85: <i>13</i> 86: <i>4</i> 149: <i>8</i>
151:1 232:6, 10
location 21:12 33:11
40:1, 12 43:18 71:4
80:25 82:5 90:4
104:24 105: <i>1</i> 111: <i>16</i>
120:7 126:12 132:22
161: <i>13</i> 172: <i>1</i> 173:20
192: <i>17</i> 218: <i>24</i>
226:22
locations 33:7 72:1
86:1 129:3, 13 133:3
136:14, 16 146:22

```
171:5 173:6, 10
189:2 219:24
Lock 34:15, 23
40:18, 20, 25 42:21,
22, 24, 25 43:2, 3, 20
44:4, 15 46:4 48:2, 4,
9, 12, 16, 21, 25 49:2,
11 50:3, 20 51:12
54:2, 4 55:10, 12, 14,
20, 25 56:1, 2 58:1, 4
59:15, 19 60:5, 6, 10
63:14, 20 64:16 65:3,
13 68:19 69:14
70:18 71:14, 20
72:20 73:20 75:3, 18,
21 76:5, 8 80:16, 20
81:1, 3 82:12 83:5
85:1, 8 86:16 87:6
88:9, 12, 20 89:10, 14
91:8 92:14, 20, 22
100:13 110:16, 21, 23
111:4, 15 112:21
114:8, 11 115:4, 10,
17, 24 116:25 119:24
120:2, 7, 15, 16, 17, 24
121:21 122:20 123:6,
8, 17, 18 124:3, 9, 10,
18, 25 125:7, 9, 12, 16
127:1, 4, 8, 13 128:2,
9, 10, 11, 15, 20, 21
129:4, 5, 7, 8, 13, 15
131:10, 13, 18 132:4,
22 133:3, 5, 6, 9, 11
136:11, 17, 23, 25
138:14 146:19
151:20 154:2, 8, 18
155:1, 4, 17 166:15
170:15, 17, 19, 22
173:21, 24 174:11, 14
183:13, 23 184:13, 14
185:8, 11, 17, 19, 23
186:4, 11, 12, 16, 22
187:5, 12, 15, 16, 23
196:16, 18 198:17
199:16, 17 206:10, 17
208:18, 19, 21 210:20
211:17, 23 213:6
214:3, 15, 19 215:1
216:2, 21, 23, 25
217:9, 18, 19 218:4, 5
```

```
220:4, 11, 12 231:14
233:8, 11, 16, 17, 19
235:12 236:7, 10, 12,
14 237:9, 10, 13, 15,
22 238:6, 11 239:4
242:17 243:13, 18, 19,
22
locks 79:13 100:12
114:25 121:22
lock's 74:16
loft 65:22
log 10:19
logged 3:19
logical 126:10 213:12
long 9:17 24:1 35:9
50:8 63:2, 5 64:18,
20 65:14 77:14
79:20 94:11 95:25
219:10, 14, 15 221:19
224:4 230:6, 25
231:3 236:13
longer 28:2 33:14
53:7, 8 55:12, 13
68:12
Longman 2:7
long-term 110:22
look 21:9, 25 22:4,
25 33:8 35:24 56:9
103:16 111:9, 13
117:1 119:2 125:5
137:13, 14 140:7
166:7 167:10 174:22
183:8 203:9
looked 4:14 5:6
45:10 54:2 57:25
59:9, 22 60:2 108:9
111:11, 12, 16, 17, 18
120:2, 5, 6 121:14, 15
126:15 128:19
142:10 143:14, 17
166:25 208:25 209:4,
7, 9 227:7, 11 234:20
looking 3:21 22:7
23:24 40:1 78:7, 12
94:21 95:11 108:9
110:20 111:1, 3
114:7 119:23 125:8,
10 127:13 128:23
131:6 133:7, 12
136:11 143:15, 20
```

148:2*4* 149:*18* 151:17 166:23 181:5 183:*4* 184:*13* 194:*14* 198:10 205:1 209:2 212:16 214:22 226:8 237:11 242:16 **Looks** 14:3 35:7, 8 79:25 105:2, 3, 7 119:24 121:19 197:23, 24 204:12 216:24 223:3 244:7 loose 138:18 **Loquilla** 84:*13* loss 41:11.13 **lot** 13:24 33:17, 21 34:18 35:16 36:9 39:13 58:6 59:16, 23 100:11 101:22 158:*10* 189:*15* 195:25 196:8 **lots** 81:23 84:7 89:25 107:21 196:5 244:22 **loud** 105:6 Lovegrove 86:7 **low** 132:3 133:13 135:25 206:13 209:3, 5 lower 45:12 **lunch** 3:16, 23 61:12 77:7, 12, 15, 17 94:8 < M >ma'am 157:21 176:12 245:18 **Madam** 60:23 96:17 118:2 230:4 magistrates 195:23 **magnify** 122:25 magnitude 42:11 main 71:9 82:4 95:21, 22 186:4 maintain 102:22 maintained 83:4 91:5, 6 maintaining 190:10 maintenance 65:6

100:13, 14 102:9, 24

115:20, 21, 23 217:5

major 100:13 116:16, 23 117:4 208:10 221:11 majority 65:3, 12 85:19 120:8 152:3 194:23, 24 maker 210:4 making 15:23 18:24 24:10 32:13 47:18 135:12 man 85:9 **managed** 219:23 Management 18:9 23:16 38:13 45:14 48:24 83:8, 9 91:11 99:15 136:24 138:11, 12 145:1 158:2, 5 161:11, 13 172:14 230:24 manager 134:23 167:22 manatee 48:11.13 54:23 84:25 137:1 139:4, 9 155:10 166:24 187:13, 15 211:16, 23 213:6, 12 214:19 manatees 48:7, 9, 13, 22 115:8 138:23, 25 139:6 143:25 154:2, 5 205:4 211:21 214:14, 25 215:22 216:3 mangrove 33:19, 22 40:13 41:12 43:8 46:12, 21 55:25 56:1, *3* 80:*4* 88:*15* 146:*21* 147:6, 7, 8 162:17 171:*1*, *4* 183:*14* 192:16 226:8 mangroves 10:21, 22, 24, 25 33:24 36:7, 12, 18, 24, 25 38:1, 9 40:14 41:11, 16 42:18, 19, 20, 23, 24 43:5, 15, 16 46:15 49:25 50:17 56:4 59:17, 25 60:4, 12 81:14, 15, 25 82:22, *24*, *25* 84:*19* 107:*21*

124:15 126:3 143:22 188:23, 24 189:3 191:*15*, *25* 221:9 manifest 135:14 manner 91:20 Mansoor 18:1 map 33:7, 15, 16 38:19 40:9 43:9 52:14 87:14 104:21 149:10, 13, 17 151:4 173:9 225:14 226:19 234:4 242:25 maps 79:23 242:16 map's 52:12 **Marina** 80:15, 17 232:3, 5 234:12 235:10, 13, 14, 18 241:10, 11 242:17 243:11, 18, 20, 21, 23 marine 46:16 55:4 63:17, 23, 25 64:24 65:5, 6 67:16, 18, 25 68:3, 20 69:6, 17, 21 70:20 71:3, 6, 8, 9, 11 75:6, *11* 76:6 109:*15* 175:3 231:21, 22 232:1, 2, 3, 4, 10 236:4 238:1 240:4 marine-based 76:20 marked 169:19 224:24 226:3 233:1 237:20 marked-up 202:21 Market 85:13, 17, 19, 23 markings 179:9 mass 124:9 master 100:14, 16 102:2, 9 material 121:22 124:14 137:22 158:2, 5 220:25 222:18 234:1 **materials** 138:*18* 224:2 236:3 **Matlacha** 33:17, 18, 19 35:14, 15 36:7, 23 38:9 45:9 54:7 57:4 58:1, 4, 7, 10, 23 78:8, 24 79:8, 21 80:6

81:25 84:11, 15 85:12, 14, 25 86:6, 8, 9 87:8 88:15, 23 89:12 92:7, 9, 10, 17 94:4 143:16 192:16 240:17 241:2, 4 **matter** 6:9 17:15 244:6 matters 6:21 18:3 100:8 156:5 max 229:10 maximum 227:21 Maya 2:12 meals 95:20 mean 12:23 25:17 72:6 73:19 87:23 94:23 108:13 109:11 123:6 124:2 132:17 133:13 135:25 141:7, *16* 190:*13*, *16* 192:*25* 204:23 205:10, 12 206:25 213:18 215:14 218:21 220:14 228:17 237:8 246:4, 17 means 13:21 37:15 131:19 132:1 141:5 204:11 meant 26:13 27:1 58:9 59:6 130:*13* 246:3 measured 90:7 93:23 measurements 107:19 mechanism 82:6 meet 4:15 6:7 26:7, 24 27:8 29:24 54:12 56:25 165:12 204:13, 18 207:19 238:22 meets 29:19 56:6 187:6 205:11 207:9, *18* 213:22 Megan 152:22 member 109:23 126:16 members 2:23 209:20, 21, 24 memberships 99:7 memorandum 4:23 5:10.15 10:2

mention 22:21 78:22 208:9 mentioned 39:21 70:20 78:21 80:12 114:1 138:20, 23 142:23 152:14 197:18, 24 236:22 MER 238:1 merely 16:10 met 11:5 53:24 140:24 **metal** 116:3, 5 117:4 **method** 90:5 methodology 91:14 **methods** 166:15 Mexico 84:14 85:18, 20 mic 234:7 **Michael** 2:1 97:13 153:21 Michigan 85:11 microphone 32:9 100:20, 22 176:6, 10 198:25 **middle** 105:12 229:14 mile 105:20 miles 60:11 65:4 79:20 mill 203:3 Miller 103:13 105:4 107:25 108:2 millions 119:10, 12 208:10 Mills 152:22 mind 29:2 66:9 68:18 187:20 234:4 **mindset** 12:15 mini 79:13 **Minimal** 128:18 **minimizes** 209:10 minor 197:23 Minus 134:16 135:25 minute 169:12.14 minutes 60:19 96:3 168:22 181:10 229:10 238:14 244:5 mirrors 21:21 22:10 mischaracterization

218:8
mislead 213:17
misleading 200:17
missing 211:17
misspellings 1:11
mistranslations 1:8
misunderstood 31:14
mitigate 89:24 90:1,
2, 4
mitigating 89:18
90:5 91: <i>15</i>
Mitigation 44:21, 22,
23 47:22 57:8 93:6
mix 37:16
mixing 165:6
mockup 182:3
modalities 176:19
model 90:9, 23 92:1
93:9, 16 111:11
142:23
modeled 93:12
modeler 157:17
modelers 90:22
modeling 10:16
modeling 49: <i>16</i> 93: <i>14</i> , <i>20</i> 111: <i>7</i>
142:7, 24
modelling 90:10
111:9
modifications 147:25
197:24
modified 25:1
150:10, 14, 16 196:7,
9
moment 5:13 66:24
70:9 176:18 197:6
198: <i>3</i>
Monday 242:20
244:7, 9
monitoring 166:19, 21
months 20:16
moor 80:18
morning 1:24 2:6
4:23 32:15, 16 33:2
94:17 244:10, 23
motion 6:14, 15 8:21,
22 9:2, 16, 17 15:9,
10 19:15, 25 20:9
26:3, 6 27:17, 19
28:9, 11, 15, 19, 22
20.7, 11, 13, 19, 22

DANIEL CARNEY, JAMES COLLIER, & KEVIN SPARKS V. CITY OF CAPE CORAL

motions 18:25
mound 79:11
mouth 143:23
move 61:3, 19 70:6
104:8 112:5 132:15
161:9 169:6 171:9
176: <i>6</i> , <i>10</i> 187: <i>19</i>
188:8 191:22 198:2
199:22, 23 203:17
212:17 213:25
223:22
moved 8:13 30:13
87:13 169:4 190:14
movement 42:5
123:12 143:17
152: <i>16</i>
moves 107:8
moving 75:15
106:10 107:10, 17
118:7 166:18 202:7
222:9
multiple 25:10 46:20
178:25 179:3 186: <i>1</i>
200:8 201:7 205:20
museums 90:16
mute 4:1
muted 3:6
mutually 220:21
Mya 245:11
Myers 16:15 33:8
47:7, 16 79:5, 16
90:14, 19 162:25
163:2, 12, 19
myriad 66:22 212:8
* T
< N >
NABD 131:10
name 2:17 62:20
85: <i>25</i> 97: <i>11</i> , <i>15</i> , <i>17</i> ,
<i>18</i> 192: <i>13</i> 230: <i>17</i>
Nancy 85:4
Nancy's 87:7
narrative 204:24
205:3, 20
ŕ
narrow 122:21, 22
narrowed 13:20

native 46:22

109:9 147:16

nature 24:6 26:2

navigability 144:1

```
navigate 107:13
174:7, 14 214:5
navigating 122:10
navigation 48:23
54:25 114:16 115:2
122:12, 15 123:21
127:15, 22, 25 128:15
137:6 174:3 175:1
206:4, 17 211:16, 21
navigation's 131:24
navigator 206:7
near 84:12 99:25
143:23
nearby 217:23
234:22
nearly 106:1 126:4, 5
necessarily 30:12
193:24
necessary 50:3, 4
112:13
necropsied 48:14
necropsies 48:20
need 3:20 5:10 13:9
18:25 19:6 20:25
26:5 30:12 48:19
55:18 65:11 74:6, 7
77:23 81:24 83:20
94:11 95:11 96:2, 10
118:22 120:11 132:3
178:15 180:18, 19
193:18, 22 195:12
198:24 203:17
227:25 240:24
needed 13:13 19:10
20:13 23:10 41:1
94:12 114:8 117:3
203:19
needs 3:13 13:3
53:9
Neff 2:11 96:24
97:9, 13, 15, 18, 20
98:2, 5 114:2 115:13
116:18 118:8 132:6
141:24 145:14 153:2
157:9 168:10 169:23
176:4 177:17 183:8
186:14, 17 187:9
199:2, 25 201:24
211:12 217:25 220:1
```

222:17 229:12 230:3 245:11 246:19 **N-E-F-F** 97:18 negative 155:3, 6 175:1 209:10 negotiating 162:25 **neither** 20:20 **net** 58:8, 10 167:7, 17 never 19:10, 11 76:16 90:5 212:6 229:22 new 1:21 72:20 147:*15*, *23* 148:*1* 185:16 186:16 187:5 195:24 196:8 197:4 newfound 78:4 **newly** 41:7 **night** 94:14 nine 153:6, 14 159:11 **nitrogen** 57:17, 21 58:9, 10 89:10, 11, 18, *19*, *23* 90:9 91:*3*, *15* 92:4 93:10 111:12 143:19 152:14 162:5 167:6 193:5 196:*17* **nodding** 118:25 244:20, 25 nonsensical 1:9 nonspecific 28:2 non-stenotypists 1:10 **normal** 137:16 **normally** 229:19 **north** 34:21 35:15 38:11, 12, 18, 19 39:20, 21, 23 40:6, 11, 13 41:19, 23 42:6 50:16 59:23, 24 79:2 80:9, 15 82:4 83:18, 20, 21, 23, 24, 25 84:2, 7, 13 85:3 87:3, 6 88:14, 16 93:19 94:2 105:20 120:17 124:19 125:20 126:7 132:1 164:23 186:12 189:10 223:13, 15, 16 225:16, 20 242:18 243:20 Northeast 43:10 132:1 189:10

< 0 > **object** 6:18 67:20 68:6 70:2 87:12 140:15 143:2 200:9, 11 227:2 228:5 244:21 **objected** 5:20 8:13 18:6 **objection** 6:22, 25 9:19 14:23 72:21 98:4, 6 104:3 141:7, 11, 13 142:20 144:16, 17, 21 156:19 157:16 167:18 168:6 169:8, 9, 12 177:7, 15, 25 179:24 180:5 185:24 187:18 190:25 191:2, *17* 193:7 194:5 195:9 200:7 202:1 205:18 206:19 207:12, 21 209:15 210:14 212:1 213:7, 14 214:11 215:2 216:4, 6 217:11 218:7 220:14 222:4 223:23 224:10 227:8 239:8 240:11, 21 241:21 244:19 **objections** 6:18, 23 8:19 200:9 202:15 203:6 obligation 15:21 49:8 207:10 obligations 23:1 observations 106:9 **observe** 107:22 173:23 174:9, 13 observed 107:3 108:22 **observing** 174:10 obstructed 235:3 obstruction 120:19 obstructions 129:14 **obtain** 183:22 **obtained** 187:*11* obviously 78:7 107:11 124:17 135:17 245:5

occasion 48:5 71:24 occasionally 36:17 occasions 33:2 occur 67:12 111:14, 15 occurred 55:19 56:5 218:6 220:4, 11 223:11 239:23 occurrence 86:24 **occurring** 38:8 56:3 217:10 occurs 13:19 Ocean 41:2 109:15 offense 9:4 24:25 168:9 199:4 **offer** 203:9 246:11 **offered** 149:2 **offering** 98:2 168:2 **office** 2:21 71:6 75:9 officer 2:12 64:21 65:9 **officers** 67:18 68:4 69:6 offices 3:9 official 1:5, 14 61:22 **offline** 57:14 offset 89:17 oftentimes 65:8 238:5 **Oh** 14:15 26:25 35:15 94:15, 19 145:11 154:11 177:3 221:21 oils 82:16 Okay 3:3 4:3 5:5, *11, 18* 13:6 14:4 23:7 27:15 28:24 29:18 30:22 31:18 32:2 34:13, 16 39:1 42:20, 22 47:14 61:7, 10, 14, 20, 25 65:1, 21, 25 67:3 70:7 72:4 73:3, 22 74:5, 20 77:1, 8, 16, 21, 25 78:14, 16 89:24 91:23 94:23 95:2, 5, 8, 16 97:17 98:1, 4, 9 99:20 100:6, 18, 23 101:1, 6, 10, 16 103:9 106:24 108:17

109:17 111:20 112:22 113:23 116:19 118:6, 8, 11, 24 119:13, 17 120:4, *13* 121:7 122:9, *13* 123:15, 19 124:8 125:4 127:10, 17 128:2 129:10 130:9, 20 132:7, 13 133:7, 20, 24 136:8, 20 137:10 138:7 141:3, 15 142:19 143:5 144:20 145:20 146:16 148:21 150:5 151:12, 15 153:5, 17, 20, 25 154:17 155:2, *6*, *19* 156:*3* 157:*13*, 18 158:9 160:11, 19 162:24 163:8, 16 165:13, 23 166:7, 13, *18* 167:*10*, *14* 170:2, 11.21 171:13 172:3. *16*, *20* 173:9 174:2*1* 175:3, 7, 11 176:25 177:3, 11, 14, 24 178:4, 23 179:19 180:2 181:8, 14, 22 186:7 187:17 188:9 189:6 195:6, 11 196:15 197:6, 22 198:2 199:19 201:5, 14, 25 202:10 203:5, *11* 212:*19* 213:*23* 214:1 216:9, 11 220:8 221:7 222:8 223:2 224:19 225:4. 24 226:3, 17 227:18 231:6 234:8, 14 236:22 237:14 240:17 242:3, 8, 10, 11, 13, 21 243:16, 24 244:16, 19, 24, 25 246:15, 20 **old** 147:18 148:1 193:5 **oldest** 78:25 once 3:15 9:24, 25 19:17 42:24 87:9 92:22 123:12 183:9

227:7

ones 13:17 134:22 138:10 150:13 174:19 one's 138:10 **ongoing** 115:21 164:4 217:4 open 3:23, 25 55:11, 20 69:1 86:19, 22 89:14 96:8 105:4 109:14 135:3, 5 147:20 174:3 209:11 214:3 244:8, 12, 14 **opened** 58:5 **opening** 30:18 31:4 58:4 59:15 61:6 77:7, 12, 17 80:12, 13 87:18, 21, 24 88:4 89:7 105:8 126:23 186:9 openings 31:1, 12, 15 opens 34:24 40:21 **operate** 71:8 77:23 102:11 116:5 214:6 **operates** 123:10 operating 123:7 **operation** 48:12, 15 199:17 206:10 214:25 operational 68:20, 24, 25 69:1.3 operations 49:2 51:12 236:19 **opinion** 49:15 125:17 154:21 155:8 206:3, 7, 16, 24 207:18 214:4 233:7 240:6 **opinions** 50:24 206:22 208:4 opportunity 8:24 9:1 10:9 19:4 94:10 124:16 238:23 **opposed** 42:6 168:2 **opposing** 181:*4* **opposite** 126:20 **optimum** 209:10 **option** 120:2, 6, 18 121:10, 15 122:4, 6 126:11, 19 154:7 186:18 187:4 190:5

208:18, 19, 20 209:3, 5, 6, 8, 9, 10 210:4, 18, 19 211:9 216:17 options 120:3, 5 154:6, 8, *15*, *17* 155:5, *16* 186:*15*, *16* 208:*15*, 24 209:1, 2, 14, 19, 22 210:23 211:2, 4 212:17 213:22 oral 209:21 order 24:14 29:15, 16 31:13, 15, 22 42:11 66:3 95:19, 23 165:12 179:2 190:24 191:8, 10, 14 192:5, 8 221:23 229:7 organisms 47:1 108:11, 13 115:3 organized 12:19 **orient** 78:5 oriented 33:7 **original** 147:19 191:14 originally 136:9 227:3 osmosis 51:22 ought 210:25 **output** 111:11 outputs 142:7, 23 outset 112:15 outside 29:11 52:10 68:7 70:2 71:14 72:9, 21 75:2 80:20, 22 91:8 128:9 133:5, 6 212:4 241:*15* outstanding 32:7 45:10 58:12, 13 92:11 overall 63:11 142:9 144:24 145:4 225:14 overfertilize 39:10 overflow 152:6 **overhead** 78:5 85:2 183:*13* overlaying 189:5 overrule 67:22 68:8 142:19 191:21 203:6 209:17 217:14 240:13

Overruled 143:5 218:9 overseeing 236:18 oversight 100:15 overtopped 36:17 55:14 **overview** 70:17 88:12 145:21 184:7 **OW** 54:7 **Owned** 111:24 184:4 **owner** 49:3 209:25 ownership 183:23 192:3 owns 185:2 214:14 216:2 **oxbow** 41:8 ovster 146:21 162:18 ovsters 46:25 83:2 88:7 108:13, 17 < P > Pacific 41:2

page 10:6 16:5, 6 44:20 51:3, 5, 6 52:6 53:1 64:3 70:12 88:11 89:5 91:22, 25 112:6 113:2 114:19 119:17, 18 137:5 143:7 151:10 171:16, *18. 19* 176:*16* 179:*10* 182:*3* 185:*7* 188:*11* 192:4 194:15 198:4. *10, 18* 204:22 216:*16* 218:24 223:9 224:8 225:7 227:20 232:24 pages 26:11 167:13 201:16 202:16 205:3 **paid** 228:22 **painful** 116:10 **Pair** 114:19 232:23 **Panama** 40:22 41:3 **paper** 5:13 parade 42:14 55:17 paragraph 10:13, 15, *17* 17:*11* 20:*1* 26:*17* 27:22 67:4 68:17 89:17 127:21 182:21 183:18 188:12 218:25 219:6

paragraphs 5:21 10:12 11:2 15:3 26:12 30:2 242:1 parallel 72:20 185:16, 19 186:16 parameters 111:10 park 45:15, 18 79:18 80:11 84:25 86:6 146:21 147:6 161:8. 12, 16, 17, 25 172:9. 11, 14 **parks** 91:6 part 10:14 15:10 22:2 34:4, 19 38:22 45:12 52:20 53:23 54:10 57:8, 15 69:12 78:6 83:*15* 84:*1* 86:18 89:1 91:4, 22 99:18 100:16 101:18, *21* 106:4 112:20 117:*1* 141:*4* 149:2 158:4 161:5 163:5 164:3 168:14 170:8, 18, 21 171:11 173:7 178:8 196:7 200:25 201:6 204:24 205:15 212:2 217:4 222:17 232:17 237:15 243:23 participate 100:7 participates 238:1 particular 11:20 76:9 86:11 90:4 93:25 99:20 100:7 102:13 111:10 114:19 130:21 204:19 227:13 237:12 particularly 50:9 83:24 127:3 143:21 174:6 221:*1* parties 3:14 4:2 5:14 12:24 13:23 17:12 30:6, 14 97:21, **partner** 95:24 parts 46:20 50:25 140:16 142:5

party 16:10

Pass 33:17, 18, 19 35:14 36:8, 23 38:9 40:22 45:9 54:7 57:4 58:1, 4, 7, 8, 10, 24 79:8 81:25 84:12, 15 86:9 88:23 89:12 92:7, 10, 17, 18 94:4 143:16 192:17 passage 115:2 123:2, 8 126:20 188:19 **patience** 91:24 94:5 **patrol** 64:24 65:5, 6, 9 75:6, 15 pause 168:19 **pdf** 64:3 **PE** 97:20 **pending** 19:*15* peninsula 79:7 **people** 3:12 31:4 67:19 77:14 84:7 96:10 100:25 101:5 118:12 139:8 148:25 192:1 244:22 people's 27:4 percent 47:10, 11 90:21 91:4 93:15 percentage 195:6, 7 196:3 perfect 26:1 **perform** 84:2 110:21 111:*1* 162:*13* performed 55:6 performing 162:14 174:21 perimeter 82:21 221:15 **period** 82:18 122:19 123:4 218:18 periodically 193:18 permanently 53:6 214:3 **Permission** 14:5 228:21 permissions 1:25 **permit** 14:22 15:23 16:12, 17 18:11 20:2 23:17 32:21, 24 33:3 44:2, 3, 5, 7, 17, 19, 24 45:3, 14 47:24 49:9 51:3 52:10, 21, 23

53:2, 7, 15, 18, 23, 24 54:11, 24 56:6 57:9 89:16 90:2 100:8 102:12 106:4 109:18, *25* 110:*4* 111:2*3* 112:16, 17 139:14, 22 143:13 145:15 150:21 155:17 156:22 159:5, 20, 24, 25 160:3 161:5 162:*21* 163:*5* 166:*3* 167:5, 16 168:14, 16 169:24, 25 170:4, 6, 8, 9, 12, 14, 24 171:11. *18* 173:7 175:*15*, *16* 185:23 186:22, 23, 24 187:5 200:2*3* 203:*13* 215:17 227:21 231:17 permits 99:16, 19 186:4 permitted 16:11 18:14 28:3 72:20 125:5 186:3 189:19 194:15 **permittee** 166:20 167:25 **permitting** 9:6 57:1 99:15 110:3, 21 140:20 141:4 142:3 160:7 167:22 201:20 204:16 215:16 216:13 perpetually 190:9 perpetuity 102:22 **perplexes** 205:17 **Perrigan** 2:10 64:2 112:5 **person** 14:14 48:17 168:6 **personal** 179:*15* 185:*13* 188:*15* personally 114:4 116:10 161:2 174:9, *13* 185:*13* 190:*3* personnel 139:6 **persons** 81:22 perspective 22:9 58:11 64:24 69:20

154:20 perspectives 69:16 **pertinent** 100:10 Petersburg 2:4 164:16 **petition** 4:14 5:23 6:6, 11, 16, 19, 20 7:5, 9, 23 8:4, 6, 12 9:4, 11, 24, 25 10:23 11:4, 24 12:13, 19, 25 13:2, *4*, *19* 15:*1*, *2*, *8*, *15* 16:10 17:6, 21, 23 18:3, 12, 23 19:6, 9, 18 20:2, 5, 13, 21 21:18 23:18 25:6, 9 26:6, 21, 22 27:20, 23 28:4, 12 29:9 **petitioner** 7:8 16:2, 20 17:4 25:18 28:1 35:18 **Petitioners** 1:2*3* 2:2, 4 4:17 7:2, 4 8:10 10:18 15:7, 13 19:15, 25 20:2, 9 21:15 26:11 29:23 30:20, 23 33:13, 14 34:18 37:19 42:10, 15 43:23 48:1 49:18 50:14, 24 55:17 56:10, 17 61:4 169:*12* 197:9 198:*4* 199:23 200:2 203:21 229:18 Petitioner's 35:11 39:19 222:10 petitions 8:15 phenomenon 86:24 89:3 phosphorus 193:5 **photo** 122:24 126:3 183:15, 17 216:24 224:24 photograph 79:24 188:20 206:2 photographs 36:2 41:7 42:7 147:13 photography 60:3 **photos** 107:19 198:17 221:6 223:6

225:6 Photoshop 125:9 **physical** 78:5 148:17 pick 4:6 195:20 **picked** 40:19 103:12 190:12, 13 208:23 picking 1:20 **picture** 74:6, 7 117:5 119:24 184:13 189:3 226:18 pictures 40:10 117:10 226:21 227:13 **piece** 5:12 **pilings** 138:*3* **Pine** 35:15 78:22, 23, 24 79:20 84:8, 16 164:22, 24 240:17 241:2, 4 **pipe** 90:13 **piped** 164:20 pipes 83:11 196:13 **pizza** 117:2 118:21 119:3 place 73:9 83:7 86:2 103:23 125:16 126:10 128:2 192:19 208:22 218:4, 5, 19 220:11 **placed** 16:1 166:14 167:16 197:7 placement 171:5 172:1 Plaintiffs 86:25 88:11 **Plan** 91:11, 18 93:7 100:14, 16 102:2, 9 147:11 237:3 **planning** 246:11 **plans** 44:5 53:13 108:5, 6 110:17 125:11, 12 136:23 146:18, 19, 20, 21 161:4 162:20 168:13 170:2, 12 173:1 183:*14* 186:*5* 189:*5* 204:9, 11, 12, 17, 19 plant 51:23, 25 183:14

planting 46:12, 22 162:17 171:4, 10
189:2
plantings 146:21, 22
147:6, 8, 9 171:1, 5, 6,
22
plants 46:7, 10
53: <i>14</i> 164: <i>15</i>
playing 11:20
pleading 7:16 9:15
25:17 27:8 pleadings 6:13 8:24
24:23 25:9
please 1:22 3:5, 16
13:8 28:25 60:22
62:14, 19 67:5 78:3
96:8 97:11, 15
137:11 171:9 172:20
184:2 <i>1</i> 191:22
195: <i>12</i> 202: <i>13</i>
213:25 218:12
220:18 230:16 pleased 238:22
pleasure 61:9 77:3, 6
pled 4:19 15:14
18: <i>11</i> , <i>12</i> , <i>13</i> , 22
22:20 23:18, 19
pledged 20:21
plow 95:12
plug 102:25 103:21,
22 105:18 190:5
plugged 219:18
plugging 102:17
plugs 103:14, 15 plus 137:25 245:1
point 3:10 8:20
9:14 17:16 18:8, 19
20:10 21:5, 8 23:9,
14 28:8, 12, 17 29:1
35:15 41:9 43:7
44:8 51:10 81:6, 17
87:20 101:3 104:2 <i>1</i>
117:3 118:17, 19 120:15 123:24 127:1
120:15 123:24 127:1
135:22 136: <i>1</i> 149:7
151: <i>13</i> 161: <i>17</i> 180: <i>15</i> 201: <i>15</i> 227: <i>5</i>
229:13 230:3 232:4
233:24 234:4, 5, 9, 16

005 0 041 11 05
235:9 241:11, 25
242:22, 24 243:9
pointed 14:22 18:17
20:15 227:25
pointer 32:3 104:15
118:16 120:11
161:17 234:15, 17
pointing 35:22
points 52:24 135:19,
20
poisonous 86:21
police 61:5 62:6, 25
63:3, 6, 8, 17, 24
64:13 70:21 96:4
241:24
policy 12:22 15:4
69:24
pollutants 82:16
92:5, 9 93:16 148:10,
11 193:6 235:20
pollute 89:21
polluted 83:16
pollution 82: <i>1</i> 85:22
88:23 90:15 94:3
ponds 194:16
pops 130:22
pops 130:22 popular 79:19
popular 79:19 population 84:6
popular 79:19 population 84:6 pop-ups 130:7 portal 169:13 200:2
popular 79:19 population 84:6 pop-ups 130:7 portal 169:13 200:2
popular 79:19 population 84:6 pop-ups 130:7 portal 169:13 200:2 portion 18:13 21:21
popular 79:19 population 84:6 pop-ups 130:7 portal 169:13 200:2 portion 18:13 21:21 43:10, 11 95:9
popular 79:19 population 84:6 pop-ups 130:7 portal 169:13 200:2 portion 18:13 21:21 43:10, 11 95:9 111:18 202:2 223:10
popular 79:19 population 84:6 pop-ups 130:7 portal 169:13 200:2 portion 18:13 21:21 43:10, 11 95:9 111:18 202:2 223:10 232:7
popular 79:19 population 84:6 pop-ups 130:7 portal 169:13 200:2 portion 18:13 21:21 43:10, 11 95:9 111:18 202:2 223:10 232:7 portions 109:21
popular 79:19 population 84:6 pop-ups 130:7 portal 169:13 200:2 portion 18:13 21:21 43:10, 11 95:9 111:18 202:2 223:10 232:7 portions 109:21 110:8 198:9 235:2
popular 79:19 population 84:6 pop-ups 130:7 portal 169:13 200:2 portion 18:13 21:21 43:10, 11 95:9 111:18 202:2 223:10 232:7 portions 109:21 110:8 198:9 235:2 240:7
popular 79:19 population 84:6 pop-ups 130:7 portal 169:13 200:2 portion 18:13 21:21 43:10, 11 95:9 111:18 202:2 223:10 232:7 portions 109:21 110:8 198:9 235:2 240:7 pose 233:22
popular 79:19 population 84:6 pop-ups 130:7 portal 169:13 200:2 portion 18:13 21:21 43:10, 11 95:9 111:18 202:2 223:10 232:7 portions 109:21 110:8 198:9 235:2 240:7 pose 233:22 position 5:22 6:24
popular 79:19 population 84:6 pop-ups 130:7 portal 169:13 200:2 portion 18:13 21:21 43:10, 11 95:9 111:18 202:2 223:10 232:7 portions 109:21 110:8 198:9 235:2 240:7 pose 233:22 position 5:22 6:24 7:1, 3, 4 10:10 55:11,
popular 79:19 population 84:6 pop-ups 130:7 portal 169:13 200:2 portion 18:13 21:21 43:10, 11 95:9 111:18 202:2 223:10 232:7 portions 109:21 110:8 198:9 235:2 240:7 pose 233:22 position 5:22 6:24 7:1, 3, 4 10:10 55:11, 12 63:2 89:8 216:2
popular 79:19 population 84:6 pop-ups 130:7 portal 169:13 200:2 portion 18:13 21:21 43:10, 11 95:9 111:18 202:2 223:10 232:7 portions 109:21 110:8 198:9 235:2 240:7 pose 233:22 position 5:22 6:24 7:1, 3, 4 10:10 55:11, 12 63:2 89:8 216:2 230:21
popular 79:19 population 84:6 pop-ups 130:7 portal 169:13 200:2 portion 18:13 21:21 43:10, 11 95:9 111:18 202:2 223:10 232:7 portions 109:21 110:8 198:9 235:2 240:7 pose 233:22 position 5:22 6:24 7:1, 3, 4 10:10 55:11, 12 63:2 89:8 216:2 230:21 positive 54:25
popular 79:19 population 84:6 pop-ups 130:7 portal 169:13 200:2 portion 18:13 21:21 43:10, 11 95:9 111:18 202:2 223:10 232:7 portions 109:21 110:8 198:9 235:2 240:7 pose 233:22 position 5:22 6:24 7:1, 3, 4 10:10 55:11, 12 63:2 89:8 216:2 230:21 positive 54:25 positively 54:21
popular 79:19 population 84:6 pop-ups 130:7 portal 169:13 200:2 portion 18:13 21:21 43:10, 11 95:9 111:18 202:2 223:10 232:7 portions 109:21 110:8 198:9 235:2 240:7 pose 233:22 position 5:22 6:24 7:1, 3, 4 10:10 55:11, 12 63:2 89:8 216:2 230:21 positive 54:25 positively 54:21 possession 216:11
popular 79:19 population 84:6 pop-ups 130:7 portal 169:13 200:2 portion 18:13 21:21 43:10, 11 95:9 111:18 202:2 223:10 232:7 portions 109:21 110:8 198:9 235:2 240:7 pose 233:22 position 5:22 6:24 7:1, 3, 4 10:10 55:11, 12 63:2 89:8 216:2 230:21 positive 54:25 positively 54:21 possession 216:11 possible 121:25
popular 79:19 population 84:6 pop-ups 130:7 portal 169:13 200:2 portion 18:13 21:21 43:10, 11 95:9 111:18 202:2 223:10 232:7 portions 109:21 110:8 198:9 235:2 240:7 pose 233:22 position 5:22 6:24 7:1, 3, 4 10:10 55:11, 12 63:2 89:8 216:2 230:21 positive 54:25 positively 54:21 possession 216:11 possible 121:25 129:25 137:21, 24
popular 79:19 population 84:6 pop-ups 130:7 portal 169:13 200:2 portion 18:13 21:21 43:10, 11 95:9 111:18 202:2 223:10 232:7 portions 109:21 110:8 198:9 235:2 240:7 pose 233:22 position 5:22 6:24 7:1, 3, 4 10:10 55:11, 12 63:2 89:8 216:2 230:21 positive 54:25 positively 54:21 possession 216:11 possible 121:25 129:25 137:21, 24 216:21
popular 79:19 population 84:6 pop-ups 130:7 portal 169:13 200:2 portion 18:13 21:21 43:10, 11 95:9 111:18 202:2 223:10 232:7 portions 109:21 110:8 198:9 235:2 240:7 pose 233:22 position 5:22 6:24 7:1, 3, 4 10:10 55:11, 12 63:2 89:8 216:2 230:21 positive 54:25 positively 54:21 possession 216:11 possible 121:25 129:25 137:21, 24

10 124:18 127:14, 21, 24 140:9 143:11 144:14 166:16, 21 174:22 200:17 217:1 236:8 potentially 44:24 235:18 240:3 pounds 53:4 57:16, 20 89:18, 22 90:9 91:15 196:19 pouring 85:2 **power** 206:13 **practice** 39:6, 7 practices 136:24 138:12 **Prado** 241:12 **predated** 41:*17* 221:22 predictable 94:2 predicted 93:16 **prefer** 31:6, 22 77:13.16 prehearing 4:7, 17 29:23 30:16 pre-Hurricane 69:2 prejudice 7:1 **prejudicial** 8:9 12:12 preliminaries 98:10 premarked 64:7 preparation 139:22 146:2, 13 207:2 **prepare** 15:19 24:14 **prepared** 77:6 87:1 110:12, 17 112:10 114:4, 5 134:18 139:24 141:17 146:18 157:24 170:3 181:23 198:8 199:9 preparing 179:2 199:8 **presence** 74:16 76:8 **present** 8:19 49:14 54:16 56:14 65:17 69:5 139:6, 11 203:8, 23 207:8 238:24 245:21 presentation 31:1, 2,9, 12, 13

presented 7:18 10:2, 11 25:3, 5 49:18 51:17 56:13 228:14 **presenting** 56:14, 16 **preserve** 78:23 192:3 press 20:25 **pretty** 11:9 36:1 39:19 70:4 76:10 79:1 81:12 101:14 105:3, 7 107:17 **prevent** 43:12 81:22 211:16 216:3, 17 217:9 220:12 preventing 231:10 **previous** 7:12 10:19 11:7, 8 28:7 57:9 110:5, 7 183:11 197:11 199:24 224:14 previously 110:14 138:20 169:2 212:11 prima 246:2.5 **primarily** 103:19 139:24 **primary** 141:25 146:4 164:16 199:13 principally 68:23 principles 211:5 **prior** 11:3 29:5 44:2, 4 50:19 79:13 102:12 107:25 110:20 115:6 150:8 162:6 175:12, 16 193:*1* 200:23 201:20 218:8 pristine 86:2 **private** 194:19 233:18 **privilege** 178:*10* **pro** 77:10 **probably** 26:*16* 68:12 78:24 99:22 117:10 132:5 195:3 226:22 230:1 242:16 243:25 **problem** 21:20 50:24 67:25 102:24 122:25 149:1 179:5 202:20 206:8 215:25

problems 41:*15* 67:18 87:3 93:18 211:25 **proceed** 62:15 97:6 157:22 169:1 177:22 181:24 **proceeding** 7:3, 5, 12 8:9 9:7 11:3, 5, 7, 8, 9 14:22 15:20 19:17 26:4, 15 29:11 33:9 64:8 160:*1* 199:25 201:21 212:4 224:15 238:24 239:3 proceedings 1:6 17:13, 17 28:7 process 9:11 15:12, *16*, *17* 16:*19* 17:*15* 24:3 110:2 160:7 194:4 215:16 procuring 17:3 produce 48:7 **product** 93:20 163:7 164:6 production 11:22 productivity 55:4 **products** 164:16 professional 96:24 97:20 98:3, 22 99:4, 7, 11 112:23 204:8, 23 205:12 207:2, 4, 24 professionalism 204:17 **profile** 97:20 **Program** 33:1 34:19 39:14 44:14 45:4 54:11 111:23 112:12 144:24 162:12 163:25 231:18 programs 51:15, 16 55:4 208:10 progression 36:15 38:21 **project** 2:11 44:6, 11, 12, 13 45:13, 22 46:7, 14 47:6 49:11 54:19 55:5 57:6, 10, 15 90:12, 24 91:12, 17 102:9, 20 103:3 109:19 110:3, 23

112:4, 16, 21, 23 124:11 125:5, 7 144:15 145:16 147:5, 16, 17 149:3 154:21 155:8 159:*17*, *24* 161:1, 6 162:11, 14, *17*, *24* 163:*3*, *4*, *10* 167:22 170:3 173:19 175:8, 11, 12 190:14 199:7 204:20 210:12 211:13, 20 212:7, 9 projecting 121:21 **projects** 44:16, 18 45:3 49:8 52:3, 9, 22 53:6, 10, 11, 20 54:10 57:8, 20 93:25 99:15 100:7, 10, 11, 17 101:17 102:16 112:20 139:5 146:14 147:2 152:15 160:4 162:22 174:23 186:5 195:25 207:6 212:8 219:21, 22 proliferated 86:22 **promoted** 236:17 **proof** 17:4 93:23 proofread 1:13 **properly** 9:15 15:19 properties 125:23 234:21, 22 **property** 39:16 49:3 54:20 174:23 231:12 **proposed** 55:7 125:7 144:7 147:14 149:25 154:*4*, *21* 160:*4*, *5* 162:21 216:16 proposing 155:9 **proposition** 10:8 **protect** 10:20 52:12 81:24 211:21 protected 58:14 protecting 48:7 **Protection** 2:18 6:8 32:22 88:17 99:17 109:25 139:9 152:25 166:24 187:13 protections 211:24 213:6 **prove** 16:11, 16 17:5 49:22 53:23 87:2

201:10 206:15 207:10 **proven** 206:16, 23 207:19 **provide** 22:15 48:25 50:4 51:13 52:2 141:1 145:3 146:5 152:15 154:3 162:20 181:*4* 192:*18* 219:23 237:6 240:8, 10 **provided** 11:6 16:6 17:8 22:5 56:25 88:*16* 139:2*1*, *25* 142:5 146:4, 6, 7 157:*15*, *25* 158:*1* 159:12 180:19 **provides** 88:13 126:11 144:24 145:3 209:11 **providing** 14:9 159:6 **proving** 30:11 provision 22:11 **Provisional** 24:7 provisions 12:16 18:11 20:8 21:22 22:13, 14, 16 23:17, 19 **proximity** 21:13 **prudent** 132:*15* **public** 2:23 4:16 12:21 15:4 18:17 21:9, 19, 24, 25 22:2, 17 23:12 26:7, 10 28:5, 18, 20 29:4, 6, 19 30:3, 12 39:9 44:6, 21, 25 46:21 48:24 51:18, 22 54:13, 19 56:7 63:11 65:17 75:11 92:8 99:9, 12, 24 100:1 116:10 137:7 187:6, 21 198:12 212:2 213:22 233:18 **pull** 5:5 26:22 64:2 138:2 232:23 punishment 81:22 **purchase** 163:*1* purchased 79:10 86:3

Purdue 99:1 **pure** 165:6 purported 7:10 51:20 purportedly 200:13 **purpose** 48:3 52:12 82:14 148:7 153:10 163:10 178:17 191:19 192:18 198:*12*, *15* 211:*15* **purposes** 38:3 46:19 165:11 198:16 pursuant 17:24 107:25 pursue 103:12 210:4 **pursued** 190:4 pursuing 24:24 211:14 **put** 18:7 23:2, 3 25:12 48:19 61:5, 12 112:20 149:7 177:19 178:17, 20, 24 179:7 180:25 187:12 197:15 199:3 200:16 puts 6:23 205:5 **putting** 51:19 105:9 228:6 234:24 pyramid-looking 105:10

< 0 > qualifications 98:5 Qualified 2:1 quality 26:25 39:6, 7, 14 40:24 46:12, 14, 15 50:4, 5, 17 51:16 52:8 57:7, 19 59:20 65:7 100:11 137:13 143:19 144:25 150:18 152:15 160:3 167:8, 17 193:3 208:11 **question** 15:8 20:24 21:14 67:23 68:1 72:24 74:11 76:4 95:6 131:5 132:8 136:9 145:10 149:5, 22 156:25 157:2, 9, 19 179:8, 12 184:9 187:2, 7 189:22

191:7, 25 192:7 193:12 195:14, 15, 20 205:8, 10, 19 209:18 210:16, 25 211:1, 9 212:11 215:20 216:12 220:10, 15, 21 224:1, 2, 3, 21 227:15 228:20 229:6 242:23 questioning 98:23 152:10 178:18 179:6 201:3 208:17 228:15 229:7 **questions** 66:1, 5 73:24 75:1, 4, 21 76:14 104:11 150:5 159:7, 9 175:18, 21, 23 177:19, 20 179:17 180:24 183:9 204:6 205:20 219:22 220:23 238:17, 18 243:4 **queue** 67:8 69:4, 7, 8 206:5, 8, 9 **queued** 122:24 quick 229:15 **quickly** 104:8 107:18 118:7, 9 181:16 **quid** 77:10 quite 40:12 47:17 196:4 210:8 **quo** 77:10 quote 27:23, 25 28:7 182:25 199:17

<R>
rage 49:2
rains 148:14
raise 18:14, 22 19:7
24:21 26:2, 5 62:8
241:25
raised 5:23 6:18
7:7, 17, 22 8:4 12:25
13:2 15:14 18:3
20:11 27:1, 17 28:10,
16 29:4 158:19
196:9 201:12 215:17
216:12 241:24
raising 8:9 15:18, 22

ramp 80:21 243:21 ramps 233:18 rank 64:22, 23 **rapid** 211:16 **rapidly** 106:11 rate 246:18 ray 35:6 re/PRAERG 26:8 **reach** 162:1 **reached** 144:2 160:6 **reaches** 45:6 50:8 144:6 210:12 **reaching** 45:7 162:6 **read** 20:12 27:19 29:1, 2 67:5 68:19 110:7 114:25 142:4 149:24, 25 150:4 177:23 179:20 180:17, 20 191:10 199:8 218:2*1*, 25 225:25 226:22 241:20 **reading** 59:13 68:18 192:5 **ready** 27:9 30:17, 20 60:23 96:13, 16 118:4 148:14 197:22 244:17 real 24:19 **reality** 93:15 **realize** 62:23 really 6:23 7:19 8:3 9:8 11:11 27:1 30:16 34:1 35:18 42:12 58:18 69:10 102:25 110:11 124:2*1* 126:8 136:*1* 143:4 190:6 202:18 221:24 224:5 reason 28:11.19 32:8 79:19 114:10 122:5 125:17 136:9 210:22 reasonable 7:10 11:4 17:3 22:5, 15 24:5 56:25 93:18 125:10 reasoned 24:12

reasons 114:13, 14

121:12 125:2 238:9 **rebuild** 185:12 rebuilding 187:5 211:9 **recall** 75:4 109:3 113:10, 11 114:13 119:8 153:3, 7 156:*1*, 13 196:23 214:17 223:2 232:20 238:25 243:6 recast 208:16 receive 25:7 152:20 157:10 **received** 4:24 48:8 102:16 157:10 158:20 186:21, 23 receiving 39:13 42:2 153:3 156:1, 13, 22 **recertify** 229:23 **Recess** 1:16 14:17 60:21 96:12 98:16 168:24, 25 recirculator 92:2, 3, *15* 93:4, 20 reclaimed 163:2 **recognize** 64:9 70:14 111:25 112:2 158:24 159:21 168:17 224:8, 24 225:6 226:11, 15 227:1.12 232:25 recognizes 51:11 recollection 156:3 191:12 223:7 227:4 242:15 243:25 recommend 123:4 245:2 recommendation 46:2 recommendations 210:24 recommended 120:2. 3 122:11 123:24 124:10 155:17 179:2 reconditioning 116:16 reconstruction 117:4 211:3 reconvene 96:6 reconvening 1:18 **record** 1:5, 14, 17 14:16, 18 61:22 62:20, 24 73:18

96:14, 20 97:12 98:14, 17 102:19, 23 108:5 155:7 178:6, 20, 25 179:25 188:6 204:3 230:4, 14, 17 233:2 236:1 244:18 **recount** 10:18 recovered 48:14 recovering 68:11 **Recreational** 46:19 55:3 68:13 **red** 57:10 86:12, 20 132:1 133:1, 2 134:21, 24 136:4, 5 177:9, 19 179:9, 13 193:21 197:15 200:3, 4, 12 202:17 228:6 **redirect** 74:1 76:18 242:11 243:2 **redo** 181:*11* **reduce** 90:24 91:1 222:11 reducing 162:5 231:11 reduction 52:17 53:4 91:9, 17 152:16 167:7 reductions 148:12 redundant 5:21 **reef** 46:13, 23 147:9 162:18 171:1, 4, 14, 24, 25 172:5 reefs 47:9, 12 **refer** 14:10 35:17, 19 47:3 121:18 180:9 reference 10:12, 16 33:16 135:12, 19, 20, 22 151:9 154:15 170:7 199:6 235:23 referenced 10:22 25:6 29:4 references 11:6 199:13 226:7 referencing 179:24 **referral** 6:13 **referred** 6:11 35:23 37:9 43:25 44:12 80:5 121:5 191:13 198:5 206:4

referring 14:10
14.22 104.22 110.20
44:22 104:23 118:20
129:20 147:2 154: <i>13</i>
160: <i>16</i> , <i>19</i> , <i>23</i> 217: <i>5</i>
218:23
refers 6:9 44:25
reflect 73:8 133:18
179:25 227:7
reflected 113:7
reflecting 133:16
reflective 135:10
reflects 36:2
refrain 183:4
refresh 191:25 227:4
refreshes 223:7
refreshing 191:12
refused 178:9
regard 16:1 34:3
42:13 52:8 108:20
109: <i>17</i> 127: <i>3</i> 129: <i>7</i>
135: <i>15</i> 138: <i>13</i>
142:13 143:12 144:7,
<i>14</i> 145: <i>15</i> 146: <i>1</i> , <i>17</i>
155: <i>10</i> 156: <i>4</i> 167: <i>16</i>
regarding 6:15
10:25 20:5 74:15
regards 28:22 65:17
0
regions 50:10
registered 99:4
112:23
regular 85:17
Regulation 24:7
127:7
regulations 126:24
C
127:3
regulator 12:15
regulatory 12:6
166:5
reiterate 30:3 213:19
reiterates 28:17
reiterating 29:3
rejected 121:11, 12
122: <i>6</i> 125: <i>1</i>
relate 10:25 172:8,
23
related 35:16 40:15
64: <i>15</i> 146: <i>13</i> 161: <i>6</i>
162:2 <i>1</i> 170: <i>14</i> , <i>17</i>
172:10
relating 147:13

relationship 20:7 148:16, 17
relative 55:6 133:19,
21 135:23
relatively 122:21
136:3
relevance 100:8
186:2 187: <i>3</i> , <i>18</i>
200:9, 20, 24 201:1
207:14 210:15, 17
212:11, 23 213:8, 10
214:11 223:24
224:10, 14 227:3, 8,
14 239:9 240:12
relevant 20:5
reliance 64:24
relied 17:2 27:17
rely 28:15 29:15
49:19, 22 204:16
remain 2:1 89:13
remains 167:21
remember 121:9
170: <i>17</i> 183: <i>24</i> 184: <i>1</i> ,
2 185:18 196:24
214:20, 22 223:5, 12,
18 225:21 227:17
239:5
remembering 4:1
remind 11:17
reminding 27:4
reminds 10:17 177:4
removal 10:19 39:24
41:14, 17, 21 43:17,
20 44:15 46:6 48:3,
21, 25 50:19 54:2, 4
59:25 60:5, 6 75:18,
20 85:7 89:9 92:14
110:9, <i>20</i> 111: <i>4</i> , <i>15</i>
112:2 <i>1</i> 114:8, 25
120:2 125:7, 12
127:13 128:8 136:23,
25 137:5 138:13
146:19 154:18 155:1,
4, 16 162:12 166:15
170:14, 17, 18, 21
186:3, 18 198:12
208:15, 25 209:2
200.13, 23 207.2 211.2 14 15 212.17
211:3, 14, 15 212:17
216:17 217:9 220:12
236:7 238:10

e van wyk
remove 42:24 44:3
48:22 120:9, 18
121:16 124:2, 19
137:23 154:8 163:11
187: <i>16</i> , <i>23</i> 208: <i>19</i>
removed 43:14 46:4
50:20 60:9 84:24
85:1 86:11 87:10
110:23 208:18
216:24 233:8 239:4
removing 40:21 43:3
46: <i>10</i> 47: <i>4</i> 49: <i>10</i>
58:1 114:11 121:10
123:2 124:9, 14, 25
125:18, 19 137:21, 22
186: <i>10</i>
rendered 55:15
rendering 125:5 renovation 146:17
renovation 140:1/
repair 54:7 182:16
218:3 220:3
repaired 116:21
219:17
repairs 184:3, 6, 11,
16 189:23, 25
repeat 39:22 68:1
123:14
repeatedly 10:23
24:23
rephrase 222:5
Rephrasing 157:9
replaced 119:6
147:25
replacement 146:17
160:14, 25 161:6
173:13
replacements 172:25
replicated 128:11
report 53:19 113:14,
21 114:5, 7, 22 117:5
140:2, 3, 11, 16 141:2
142:8, 25 143: <i>11</i>
144:6, 11 145:8, 13
150:12 165:19 182:9
196:22 197: <i>1</i> , <i>19</i> 198: <i>5</i> , <i>9</i> 199: <i>3</i>
201:18 202:5, 6
204:2, 25 211:19
218:15 222:14 227:6,

reported 142:24 144:2 **reporter** 1:11, 21 13:7, 11 25:22 60:23, 24 75:24 85:15 96:17, 18 117:23, 25 118:3, 4, 7, 10 129:17 134:12 142:16 147:7 158:*4* 171:2*1* 176:*6*, 10, 13 198:22 222:24 230:4 232:9 **reporter's** 158:*12* **reporting** 166:*19* **reports** 49:18 67:14 183:11 represent 93:22 120:1 202:18 Representative 2:2 245:12 representatives 48:6 represented 25:18 204:23 233:11 representing 32:19 represents 89:7 201:19 **request** 94:16 140:25 156:23 158:25 159:6 requested 229:22 requesting 77:7 requests 152:20 153:11 **require** 8:23 16:11 17:22 25:18, 20 130:15 189:24 190:4 206:22 **required** 6:8 7:23 11:5 12:7 44:18 45:*3* 168:*14* 185:*12* 189:23 191:14 requirement 29:24 102:21 191:18 requirements 25:18 27:8 166:19 requires 25:17 194:4 requiring 99:16 rereading 183:5 **Rescue** 232:16 235:1 236:16 241:3, 5, 6

19

31

research 21:1 45:24 190:1, 2 219:12 researched 185:5 reserve 31:4 residence 50:7 residency 82:18 residential 163:24 164:25 165:24 194:21 195:25 196:5 234:21 residentially 83:22 residents 231:9 233:23 resolve 198:12 resource 32:21 57:1 76:19 99:16 109:18 110:4 111:23 139:22 143:13 145:1, 15 159:5, 20 185:22 **Resources** 81:7. 17 **respect** 6:20 8:17 28:9 respected 93:24 **respond** 23:9 63:19 65:9, 12 74:17 75:14 76:11 156:24, 25 157:5, 13 177:14 178:15 232:16 236:4, 8 237:3, 24 respond-at-the-time 75:13 **responded** 157:2, 14 Respondent 9:21 32:20 respondents 4:18 6:23 8:1, 6, 10 9:14 11:10 19:14 78:4 responding 65:6 76:5 140:25 231:11 233:16 response 5:25 14:21 19:25 27:21 28:21 65:11 74:23 75:12 76:6, 7, 10, 12, 13 140:19 152:19 156:20 158:25 159:4, 6, 8, 10 167:20 186:8 210:17 234:1 237:7 238:2 240:4

responses 74:14, 15 76:14 responsibilities 16:1 63:9 64:15 102:1 231:7 responsibility 63:19 responsible 48:6, 17, 18 63:10 65:5 109:22, 23 140:22 146:12 167:23 190:9 232:14 rest 13:25 121:24 140:17 193:23 restate 211:18 restaurant 96:4 restaurants 95:22 **restoration** 46:13, 22 **restore** 208:19 restrict 39:11 restriction 128:6. 7 restrictions 81:3 128:14 restrictive 129:2 restrooms 60:20 result 55:1 94:1, 2 125:11 resulting 44:24 resume 101:14, 15 169:4 **retain** 82:15 return 96:10 234:6, 14 returned 46:9 **reuse** 47:20 90:12 163:15, 16, 25 164:3, 10 165:2, 16, 20 reversal 17:22 25:20 reverse 51:22 92:15 reversed 10:10 24:9 review 139:25 141:2. 18 153:23 156:13 157:11 185:21 188:*14* reviewed 110:5 142:4 144:7 162:15 163:7 182:*12*, *14* 196:22, 25 197:13, 25 199:25 222:18 reviewers 157:11

reviewing 153:7 reviews 204:12 **rework** 95:11 Richard 2:9 **right** 1:18 2:9, 22 3:4 4:5 5:1, 20 12:25 13:15 24:16 25:11 28:15, 19 30:17 32:15 33:8, 18 40:7 44:11, 21 53:3 55:23 60:16 61:13, 20 62:4, 8 66:2, 6, 14 68:25 73:25 76:24 77:3 78:17 82:5 85:5, 13 86:4 87:22 90:12, 15 94:7 95:8, 18 96:5, 13, 19 99:14 104:2, 21 106:4 109:1, 4 110:19 112:15, 25 113:20 114:1, 4 115:13 117:24 118:14 120:13 121:13 123:1, *19* 124:8 126:*19*, *23* 128:13 129:20 131:3, 7, 8 132:25 133:4, 16, 19 134:4, 9, 18 135:11 139:16 140:1, 7 141:6 143:6 151:20 154:3 156:7 157:1 158:18, 22 159:14 160:8, 12 161:9 162:3, 11, 16 166:23 167:5 168:8 169:11 170:17 171:19. 24 172:7 173:12, 15, 18 175:25 176:14 178:23 183:6 184:*15* 189:*14* 197:20 198:15 200:1. 6 203:2 204:9, 14 205:7 206:1 211:7, 19 212:14 213:9 215:23 216:14 218:16 220:8 222:3 223:13, 22 224:22 225:13, 19, 25 226:1, 17, 19, 21 229:19 238:16, 19 242:14

244:3, 5, 13 245:24 246:13, 21 **right-hand** 176:*17* 189:11 **rights** 9:12 rise 168:23 **risk** 48:23 174:5 188:6 217:18 231:11 233:14, 22 236:20, 22 237:4, 16 risks 237:2 **River** 33:10 34:25 35:2 45:9, 12 47:18, 19 52:12, 13 54:8 57:4, 12, 15, 23, 24 71:18 79:6, 8 80:3, 14 82:1 83:16 86:19, 20 88:14 89:11, 19, 21, 23 90:11, 20 91:2, 16 92:21 93:3, 5 94:4 143:16 162:1, 7 163:12 164:1 192:16 rivers 83:16 Road 78:24 79:1 82:16 84:8 164:22, 24 **roads** 78:25 82:9 83:12 194:11. 25 **Rober** 245:11, 13, 16, 17 **Robert** 2:12 153:2 **role** 109:18 142:1 146:1, 3, 16 roll 82:21 113:20 192:20 **rolling** 246:15 **Ron** 2:17 **roof** 70:23 **roofs** 194:25 **room** 94:24 124:21 158:16 **Rotary** 45:15 80:11 147:5 161:12, 16, 17 172:9 rough-draft 1:5 roughly 65:4 146:8 151:2*1* **Route** 80:7 routine 65:5, 11

rule 4:12 7:19 8:23, 24, 25 9:2 11:11 12:2, 4 18:11, 13, 14, *15* 20:4, *19* 21:3, 4, 5 22:8, 12, 14, 16 23:17, 20, 22, 23 25:14 27:9 **ruled** 29:5 144:21 212:11 rules 6:7 7:24 11:15, 22, 25 17:21, 24 20:8 21:18 22:21 24:20 25:19 28:3 ruling 28:16 29:18 187:25 188:8 224:14 **rulings** 188:*3* **run** 36:7 81:12 158:11 210:8 runoff 38:25 82:16 138:17, 18 189:17 194:3, 6, 10 runs 78:25 79:1 **rusted** 117:3 **RYAN** 230:8, 14, 18 **R-Y-A-N** 230:18

< S > safe 123:5 206:12 216:24 **safety** 54:20 63:11 65:18 114:16 115:1 121:12 122:8, 12, 15 137:1 198:12 206:9, *13*, *17* 231:9 233:9, 11 sake 131:24 **salinity** 59:10, 11 109:9, 12, 14 111:11 143:2*1* salt 37:16, 21 42:6, *17* 152:*4* saltwater 38:10 55:2*1* 102:5 116:2, *3* 127:11 **Sampson** 18:19 sand 79:19, 20, 21 sandbagged 19:14 sandwiches 95:20 **Sanibel** 79:17 84:12 Sarasota 1:20

sat 149:23 satisfied 54:15 save 48:24 saw 35:8 52:9 91:13 108:21 146:19 164:23 174:19 223:20 sawfish 35:5, 6, 11 45:21, 24 46:3 54:23 **Saybar** 10:7 saying 8:5 16:23 89:16, 20 130:24 134:5 205:11 220:3, 5, 6 245:20 says 10:15 20:1 24:2 27:23 29:3 53:3 68:2 88:21 89:9, 24 140:18 150:12 182:24 186:20 192:14 198:10, 11, 18 214:18 219:8, 10, 11, 15, 18 220:1, 5 227:6, 11 **school** 121:10 science 98:25 121:9 207:2 scientific 56:14 208:3 scientifically 43:5 scientist 90:8 **scope** 18:15 26:15 29:11 68:7 70:3, 5 72:9, 22 140:2 186:2 191:19 211:13 241:16 screen 35:25 44:9 66:15, 18 70:8, 11 74:5 101:5 118:18, *19* 130:8 138:*16* 176:*4* 197:*7*, 9 219:*3* 222:11 233:1 239:13. screens 3:8 137:18 scroll 78:9, 19 114:19, 24 117:11 120:10 127:18 129:10 137:3, 11 138:21 140:6 146:24 147:10 151:8 153:5, 13 154:9 156:8

167:2, 12 170:18

172:20 197:11 209:1 218:19 **scrolled** 176:*16* scrolling 153:18 167:14 170:11 **Seafood** 85:13 seagrass 88:7 Seagrasses 143:23 **seal** 110:12 113:19 114:2 204:9, 11, 17, 24, 25 205:14 209:23 **seated** 2:1 60:22 62:14 seawall 124:20, 22 125:14, 20, 22, 24, 25 126:4, 9 138:8 162:19 seawalls 121:20, 22 125:24 137:19, 22, 24 138:1, 3, 16, 20 217:2, 20 second 10:14, 16 14:16 17:11 27:23 45:*13* 67:*4*, 22 91:21 116:20 121:15 122:2 124:8 154:10 182:21 209:6 secondarily 17:1 secondary 111:3 **Section** 10:16 20:4 21:6 29:20 143:7 144:4 182:4 185:7 188:18 199:15 227:20 228:3 sections 26:22 177:18 **sector** 116:25 **sediment** 41:13 85:1, *6* 138:*15* 157:25 194:*3*, *6* 216:*18* 217:7, 22 sedimentation 41:16 88:6 see 23:6 26:20 33:15, 16 35:25 36:7 41:6 42:7 43:8, 15 44:20 53:3, 21 59:18 60:3, 5, 6 66:3, 18, 20, 22 70:23 71:14, 21 72:19 78:19, 20 79:4,

19 84:1, 9 85:2, 5 87:5 88:7, 14, 15, 19 90:13 94:22 100:24 101:3, 4, 8 107:9 117:15 118:25 119:2 124:17 125:13, 15, 20, 22 126:2, 3, 7 130:4, 5 131:6, 12, 19, 20, 24 133:10 136:4 139:10 147:18, 23 148:1, 2 152:3, 5 153:5 174:16 179:9 187:18 188:22 189:9 198:2, *17* 199:21 206:10 217:4 218:22 221:9 222:12 223:6 226:6, 24 239:17 seedlings 162:17 183:14 seeing 66:21 101:12 219:2 223:12, 13, 18 244:19 seek 8:1 19:17 138:13 seeking 19:7 143:12 200:10 seeks 211:20 seen 5:14 102:10 109:1 202:22 222:21 **selected** 154:7 209:14 sell 205:10 **semi** 65:11 seminal 16:2 Seminole 23:25 **Senate** 100:25 sending 92:9 sense 29:25 82:13 93:21 117:1 sentence 10:17 13:13 67:4 68:2, 18 182:24 227:22 228:1 sentences 25:24 sentiments 16:9 separates 33:10 separating 34:2 102:5 **September** 152:25 **septic** 51:18 53:14 sequence 87:5, 9, 14

6, 15, 23 80:2, 6, 10,

sergeant 64:1 serve 100:3 230:23 served 4:24 232:18 **serves** 232:7 **service** 65:15 73:12 187:12 231:22 232:21 set 92:4 170:16, 24 172:4, 7, 21, 23 **settings** 130:16 seven 22:18 44:15 45:2 54:12.15 seven-factor 21:20 severely 9:12 sewer 47:17 53:14 57:18 83:24 164:4 195:*1* 196:8 sewers 51:18, 19 91:18 194:11 **shaking** 161:20 shallower 128:24 193:25 shallowest 193:22 **shape** 50:16 118:21 **share** 66:14 70:8 239:13 **shared** 78:2 **sharing** 74:6 89:1 176:4 **shark** 35:6 sheds 42:12 **sheet** 36:10, 11, 18 49:24 50:3 171:3, 9 172:3 sheets 170:16 171:4, 7 172:10 173:5 **she'll** 85:6 shellfish 83:2 **shift** 75:16 **shifted** 91:10 **shipped** 116:*14* **shoaling** 55:2 175:8 **shock** 59:19 **shocking** 59:*14* **shores** 90:15 **short** 4:12 17:2, 11 60:19 84:5 short-changing 195:3 shorthand 236:2

shortly 94:16 **shot** 88:19 **show** 33:6 34:6 36:13, 19 39:3 40:13 41:4, 7 43:15, 16 50:5 51:1 53:16 54:1, 5, 18 55:22 57:2 59:5, 10 60:7, 13 97:19 112:7 117:8 173:6 223:6 226:5 **showed** 58:21 193:21 196:10 **showing** 54:15 64:6 87:9 111:20 135:10 136:12 152:24 180:1, *17* 182:*11* 200:*12* 201:16 225:15 227:4 232:25 237:20 **shown** 57:9 79:25 93:13 108:7 122:24 129:23 188:19 202:1 **shows** 133:1, 15 134:18, 20 136:2 149:11 223:2 **shrink** 119:21 **shut** 8:1 116:11 130:11, 17 **shutdown** 116:2, 9, 22 **shuts** 181:10 **sic** 142:2 176:9 **side** 3:22 30:25 35:13 38:6 40:19 43:2 60:12 105:12 116:13 121:23 124:15, 19, 22 125:13, 20 126:3, 7 127:7 136:10 223:3 **sides** 56:2 59:19 120:16 124:10 127:5 sidewalks 194:25 **sign** 113:19 204:8 205:14 209:23 signage 139:7 signature 114:2 **signed** 49:24 114:1 204:11 significance 37:12, 14 58:15 156:5

significant 116:1, 4 119:5 120:20, 24 172:18 significantly 74:18 117:2 signs 214:8, 9 **silt** 138:16 similar 38:19 105:18 108:2 110:13 126:16 146:3 164:12, 17 197:16 207:6 Similarly 83:18 simple 50:14 **simply** 43:5 44:3, 5 49:9 55:23 56:10, 17 91:4 123:1 124:2 178:21 187:23 234:5 simultaneous 123:2 simultaneously 105:24 single 47:12 123:7, 10 sir 62:3 64:11 66:13, 22 67:2, 17 72:17 99:9, 13 100:9 103:4 108:19 138:9 139:2 158:14 173:16 182:*18*, *20* 183:*21* 184:12 190:22 192:21 197:5 198:20 199:4 204:10 205:15 206:6 230:16 231:7. 25 232:2, 5, 13, 14, 18 233:4 236:24 237:23 238:12 241:7 sit 30:5 75:9 site 84:25 106:19, 20, 21 **situation** 18:16, 23 19:12 56:12 123:19. 21 124:3 155:7 235:24 situations 236:9 six 100:1 238:14 **Sizemore** 62:7, 10, 19, 21 63:13, 16, 23 64:6, 9 241:14 S-I-Z-E-M-O-R-E 62:22

Sizemore's 241:13, 20, 23 **skiers** 65:8 **slab** 125:16 131:8, 9 134:11, 15 135:6, 21 **slices** 119:3 **slightly** 61:2 133:14 **slips** 126:8 slosh 92:23, 24 sloughs 42:8 **slow** 7:1 117:18 127:5, 10 **slowing** 42:4 65:7 181:*14* **slowly** 120:4 148:13 **small** 40:23 121:1 136:19 148:2, 12 smaller 42:3 43:22 72:14 107:20 121:2 130:2 smalltooth 35:5 45:21, 24 **So.2d** 24:1, 8 soil 105:11 221:8 **solely** 15:11 54:12 solutions 154:4 **solve** 211:24 214:9 somebody 2:24 somewhat 201:1 soon 81:2 88:24 **sooner** 194:*1* **sore** 208:25 **sorry** 13:9 18:13 21:23 27:14 31:14 38:16 44:4 51:3, 4, 5, 6 58:9 74:24 78:10 103:9 104:7 112:6 114:18 130:7 145:11 148:22 154:24 155:*1* 157:7 161:20 166:12. *13* 171:*16* 172:*21* 176:8 180:3 184:9, 14 194:19 197:22 198:23 203:12 211:18 217:13 221:3 222:24 223:14, 17 245:15 **sort** 36:10 79:13 105:10 138:5 193:11

sorts 158:12

Sound 84:16 125:25 211:4 **sounded** 227:*3* sounds 29:12 35:8 131:7 179:16 181:5 211:4 244:3 **soups** 95:20 **source** 38:*3* 183:20 **South** 2:21 18:9 23:15 32:24 34:3, 7, 20 35:17, 20 36:10 37:2, 3 38:2, 15, 16 39:3, 15 41:24 42:1, 16, 20 44:13 45:6, 16 46:1 49:3, 20, 23, 25 50:6, 9, 10, 21 57:3 58:17, 21 59:8 60:11 63:21 68:21 73:16, 19 74:15 79:2 80:8, 11, 14 81:4 82:3, 4 83:12, 14, 21, 22, 23 84:3, 9, 12 86:15 89:13 91:18 92:6 102:13, 17 103:6, 25 104:22 107:7 109:5, 9, 19 112:3, 11 120:17, 23 121:23 125:13 126:3, 25 129:*13* 131:*17* 136:17 148:18 149:9, *14* 150:7, *10*, *15* 151:1, 21, 23, 24 152:2 159:16 160:16, *19*, *23* 164:22 173:*3* 175:5 184:25 185:8 190:20, 23 191:7 192:*15* 196:*1* 208:*21* 218:1 220:25 221:20 223:10, 14 224:17, 25 225:7, 14, 21 226:11, 12 231:17, 24 232:8, 11, 12, 14 236:9, 12 237:10 239:23 242:17 **southern** 232:11 Southwest 78:6 86:12, 18 131:22 **space** 125:23 speak 4:20, 23 5:7, 8 13:8, 9 94:10 100:24

118:4, 22, 23 140:15 234:7 242:6 SPEAKER 32:5 40:3, 5, 8 59:3 62:8 95:23 181:17 speaking 32:9 105:24 speaks 17:17 23:22 118:9 156:19 **special** 11:22 167:1, 10 236:18 243:12 specialists 193:4 species 46:22 54:23 115:8 143:24 **specific** 12:16 18:13 24:4 27:20 44:25 45:3 52:5, 7 89:6 138:24 146:6 150:21 166:3, 8 167:1 170:3 177:25 227:5 specifically 18:11 19:5 23:16, 18 100:13 111:16 143:3 154:*3* 161:*1* 170:*6* 185:15 233:25 237:15 238:25 specificity 23:10 24:13 speculation 49:15 56:10 216:4 **speed** 53:17 65:6 81:2 127:3, 5, 10 225:15 **spell** 62:19 97:15 230:16 **spend** 48:*1* **spoil** 158:2, 5 **spoils** 79:12 **spoke** 69:15 **spoken** 35:16 80:3 89:6 spread 192:12, 14 193:4 232:12 **Spreader** 32:24 34:3, 7, 20 35:17, 20 36:10 37:2, 3 38:2, 12, 15. 16, 18 39:3, 15, 20, 21, 24 40:7, 11, 14 41:19, 23, 24 42:1, 6, 16, 20 43:12 44:13 45:7, 17 46:1 49:3, 20, 23

50:7, 9, 10, 16, 21 57:3 58:17, 21 59:8, 23, 24 63:21 68:21 73:16, 19 74:15 80:8, 9, 11, 14, 22 81:4, 20 82:2, 3, 6, 17 83:13, *14*, *19* 84:2, *3*, *9* 85:*3* 86:15 87:3, 6, 9 89:13 91:2, 3 92:5, *19* 93:2 102:*13*, *18* 103:6, 25 104:22 107:7 109:6, 9, 19 112:3, 11 120:23 121:17, 21, 24 124:21 126:25 131:*16*, *17* 148:18 149:9, 14 150:7, 10, 15 151:2, 21, 23, 24 152:2 159:16 160:17, 19, 23 173:3 175:5 182:12 184:*11*, *16*, *25* 185:8 190:20, 23 191:8 192:*13* 195:*1* 196:*1* 218:1 220:25 221:20 222:14 223:10, 13 224:13, 17, 25 225:7, 14, 16, 20, 22 226:11, 12 231:17, 24 232:8, 14 236:9 239:23 **square** 93:1 squeaking 176:21 **SSW** 218:25 **St** 2:4 164:16 **stacked** 105:10 **stage** 8:8 **stance** 233:7 **stand** 10:8 180:19 230:15 standard 25:25 139:4, 9 205:12 237:4 standards 21:8 93:23 204:14, 19 207:10 star 131:14 start 5:9 11:14 30:22 33:9 34:14 51:6 74:11 173:6 211:5 237:19 244:7 245:9

started 7:13 59:13 231:5 244:9 **starting** 1:22 36:3 130:15 starts 34:20 52:7 195:18 199:16 228:2 229:13 **State** 2:17 7:21 11:21 12:20 24:13 58:14 62:19 79:17 81:18 97:11 98:23 99:5 111:23, 24 155:2*1* 156:4 161:*1*4 185:3 187:11 191:16 192:*1*, *3* 212:*6* 230:16 **stated** 5:22 7:2, 20 14:24 20:1 24:23 33:*1* 45:*5* 126:24 199:13 statement 17:21 87:19, 21 171:22 180:3 186:9 statements 15:1 87:24 158:12 228:6, state-of-the-art 187:*13* states 16:8 17:10, 20 23:16 47:9 86:1 **stating** 135:11 178:9 **Station** 232:15 241:12 **stationed** 232:2, 3, 4 **statistics** 73:4, 8, 12 74:12 statute 4:16 11:14, *15* 12:3, 4 21:4 **statutes** 11:25 13:3 15:3, 25 17:19, 20, 22 24:20 25:19 **statutory** 20:8 21:6 22:8 stay 3:16, 18 228:23 staying 228:24 stavs 125:18 stenotype 1:8 step 79:15 110:18, 19 **stepped** 103:1 **Steve** 2:11 153:2

Steven 96:24 97:13, 17, 20 **S-T-E-V-E-N** 97:17 **Stevenson** 20:17, 18 **stipulated** 30:1 97:22 stipulation 4:7, 17 6:2, 5 12:8 13:18, 20, 21 14:24 18:7 21:16 26:8 29:13, 21, 23 30:16 113:5 **stood** 103:2 107:15, 19 **stop** 38:24 48:21 112:6 170:14 229:6 **stopped** 38:20 **stops** 74:5 storage 70:24 80:19, 23 **Store** 241:10 storm 55:14 56:4 196:12 storms 40:16 41:5 stormwater 38:13, 25 39:4 45:4, 5, 14 51:14 52:2 83:7, 8, 9, 11, 13 99:10, 12 100:14, 15 101:17, 19, 21. 23 138:17 144:25 147:21 148:4 160:15, 25 161:6, 11, 13, 14, 24 162:4, 8 172:13, 24 189:19 194:15, 16, 17, 20 196:1 207:5 story 49:19 213:12, 13 straight 244:1 strategically 232:6, 10 233:13 streamline 98:10 street 64:21 95:18, 21, 22 235:3 street-based 65:9 **Streeter** 85:10 streets 194:11, 25 stricken 29:10 30:13 strictly 40:23 194:21 strike 8:14 30:5 striking 9:12 strokes 1:8

struck 86:12 115:14 structural 217:19 structurally 125:25 structure 12:7 103:20 107:23 120:8 121:16, 18 217:2 structured 8:15 structures 102:4 103:23 105:25 **study** 127:14 128:13 190:19 196:16 **studying** 218:*1* **stuff** 177:23 style 147:18, 23 148:1 149:4 **styled** 26:23 subdivision 25:15 **subject** 169:24 Submerged 111:24 **submit** 24:19 submitted 25:9 110:14 112:25 113:24 202:20 submitting 109:24 113:10, 11 140:23 **sub-rule** 25:14 subsection 21:10 subsequent 41:14 106:18, 20 substances 86:21 substantial 12:8 25:9 26:1 substantially 7:24 substrate 46:24 successfully 174:19 197:7 219:17 suck 92:16 **sucked** 89:12 **suffer** 50:22 sufficiency 15:8 sufficient 16:18 22:22 29:9, 10 38:7 **suggest** 42:15 43:3 89:4 154:4 188:4 suggested 211:2 suggesting 77:9 suggestive 228:6 **summarize** 237:21 **summary** 8:22 9:3, 6

12:13 15:9 26:3 summer 90:16 supervisor 235:5 supervisory 64:22 supplement 164:19 **support** 17:19 75:17, 20 76:2 235:1 238:10 240:6 **supported** 7:11 81:12 **supporting** 37:17, 22 supposed 25:14 36:11 51:13 91:5, 6 101:4 108:8 sure 3:12 21:17 25:7, 8 61:21 71:25 72:12 100:18 104:7 115:*1* 118:*1* 122:*3* 129:11 130:18 137:7 148:2*1* 149:2*3* 151:6 157:*3* 164:*8* 171:*21* 183:25 190:11 197:12 204:21 211:10 218:14 223:8 224:5 228:25 230:18 245:20 246:10, 18 surface 21:12 47:14 51:23 82:9 194:24 surfaces 82:8 surge 55:14 **surprised** 104:*11* surrounding 54:6 99:21 232:8 **survey** 110:15 128:19 131:16 134:8 135:18 136:15 Surveying 97:14 **surveys** 108:9 **suspenders** 138:5, 7 suspending 230:2 sustain 168:5 187:18 222:4 239:11 240:23 Sustainability 32:25 112:4, 12 sustainable 102:25 Sustained 157:6 194:8 205:23, 24 206:21 207:15 208:1 213:9 214:12 215:7 216:9 241:17, 22

sustenance 96:1 **swale** 148:9 swales 196:6, 12 swim 234:24 **swimmer** 235:6 swing 84:10 switch 79:22 sworn 62:11 97:2 230:9 **system** 38:13 40:13 45:15 46:24 47:13, 20 50:13 51:24 55:21, 25 56:1 57:18 58:18, 20 59:15, 16, 19 72:15 81:20 82:2, 7, 13, 14 83:3, 8, 10, 19, 24 86:17, 23 88:24 90:3 92:4 93:7, 8, 9, 12, 17, 22 109:6, 10 131:17, 18 143:24 145:5 148:9 150:9 151:22 152:2. 5, 8 161:*12*, *13* 162:*4* 163:17, 21 164:2, 3, 7, *17*, *20*, *21* 165:2, *4*, *6*, 15, 21 172:14, 16, 19 176:23 187:14 189:19. 20 195:1 196:*1* 211:23 system/irrigation 164:3 systems 152:1 194:15 196:2

<T> **tab** 61:21 **table** 2:10 140:7 take 30:7 41:10 46:7 47:23 49:13 50:8 52:21 60:18 69:9, 20 73:9 83:7 87:23 93:10, 11 96:1 102:21 116:20 122:23 125:17 127:23 158:11 168:22 183:8 188:*1*, 5 197:6 199:4 203:*1* 216:1, 3, 10 224:4 229:13, 20, 25 230:5 245:6

TAKEN 1:2 56:17 57:21, 23 145:21 163:20 168:11 takes 71:17 tale 50:1 talk 19:5 20:25 23:12, 13 35:10 61:13 84:22 129:18 138:11 182:21, 22 193:17 194:21 197:6 199:6 214:14 216:16, 17 **talked** 30:9 35:19 45:16 68:22 69:16 91:7 126:*14* 131:9 143:18 182:16 184:17 186:10 215:8 223:4 talking 27:25 38:14 47:7 48:2 55:8, 18 100:25 107:1 117:20 119:10 133:5 164:9 184:8, *16* 186:25 188:12 191:3 194:7 203:13 218:20 228:2 243:10 talks 135:20 183:18 205:4 Tallahassee 62:2 **Tarpon** 232:3, 4 241:10 242:22, 24 243:8 team 109:23 110:21, 24, 25 112:13 126:16 157:14, 16 158:19 209:20, 21, 24 238:1, technical 158:10 technically 213:18 Technology 23:15 **television** 1:25 32:18 tell 23:23 25:15 29:22 62:11 82:23 85:7 86:7 97:2 106:12 108:1, 10, 12 116:9 220:25 230:9 telling 209:13 tempers 67:7, 19 68:3, 4

ten 244:5 tender 123:17, 18 tends 132:*13* tens 92:25 tenure 102:2 term 120:25 127:23 192:22, 24 terms 7:5 23:9 37:8 42:2, 15 107:4 158:10 200:20 test 4:16 12:21 15:5 18:17 21:9, 19, 20, 24 22:1, 2, 9, 17 23:12 26:7, 10 28:5, 18, 21 29:4, 6, 20 30:3, 12 46:21 54:13 56:7, 8 187:7, 21 212:2 213:22 testified 215:15 testifies 62:11 97:2 230:9 **testify** 103:9 168:3 186:17 229:10 testifying 210:23 213:14 testimonv 18:3 23:3 48:19 59:23 141:8, 11 218:8 229:10, 21 230:5 234:7 236:23 245:6, 22 246:9 tests 49:15 text 1:6, 12, 13 177:9 **Thank** 2:5, 13, 14, 16, 21, 22 3:3 4:5 5:19 12:17 13:11 14:19, 20 19:19, 20 20:23, 24 23:7 24:15, 16 31:19, 20 32:15 33:20 34:7 39:17 56:18, 19, 21 60:15, *16*, 22 61:25 62:3, 5, 14, 16 65:25 66:2, 8 67:3 70:7 73:21, 23 76:22, 23 77:1, 23 88:3 91:23 94:5, 6 96:11 97:5 98:19 101:6, 9, 15 113:3, 12, *17* 114:2*1* 119:*3* 122:9, 13 130:19 131:2, 15 136:20

139:18 141:22 145:23 149:12 150:19 151:3 152:7 154:11 155:19 158:9, *15* 159:*18* 160:*22*, *24* 161:19 166:1 168:9 169:20, 21 170:18 171:15, 20 173:18 175:20 176:1, 13, 14 178:*13* 180:*13* 181:*25* 182:*1* 191:*4* 195:17 203:10, 24 210:2 213:4 214:1 215:19 217:16 227:9. *18* 228:*4* 232:*13* 234:15 238:15 242:7 243:24 244:2, 4 246:20 thanks 115:5 **Theirs** 75:13 theory 92:3, 8, 13, 15, 21, 24 93:6, 20 **thereof** 180:10 thing 57:14, 19 58:16 59:22 60:1, 9 90:21 91:21 136:3 148:15 149:24 190:5. 8 195:14 199:18 200:20 **things** 7:1, 9 11:19 16:22 86:14, 15 101:19 104:5 108:13 116:8 121:9 134:20 137:20 138:3 178:22 195:3 217:2, 21 225:15 226:14 228:13 235:16 246:7 **think** 9:13 11:9 12:2*3* 22:2*4* 23:*1* 24:20 25:25 26:2, 17 56:11, 22 57:16 61:11 70:19 72:11 77:7 89:22 93:18 95:25 97:25 100:10 113:7 114:22 117:9, *13* 119:*12*, *14* 124:*13* 129:12 130:16 137:19 139:3 141:9, 10 146:7 148:19 154:10 163:22, 23

165:19, 21 170:16 176:22 177:5 178:12 180:5 181:18 184:17 190:3 192:4 193:24 194:*10* 195:*3*, *19* 196:20 197:3, 18 199:19 201:25 202:19 206:2,4 212:16 213:11 214:9, 21 216:5 219:19 220:16, 20 223:14 224:13 225:12 245:19 **third** 16:10 31:17 45:22 68:17 **THOMAS** 2:3 4:21, 22 5:8, 9, 12, 19 13:1, 8, 9, 14, 16 24:17, 18 25:16, 25 26:16 33:1 66:7 thorough 12:18 54:5 thought 16:15, 18 30:25 31:8, 12 74:3 86:2 87:13 134:3, 9, 25 135:22 136:8 202:23 203:14 217:6 223:25 243:25 thoughts 209:24 **thousand** 119:*13* 234:25 thousands 60:11 93:1 119:11 147:24, *25* 150:*13* threatened 54:22 143:24 three 50:25 59:5 63:4 79:5 93:12 120:2, 5 126:19 154:6 186:*15* 208:*15* 209:12, 14 211:3 221:11 231:22 241:7, **thriving** 60:*13* **throat** 147:20 **throw** 76:17 193:11 throws 50:18 **thumbs** 2:24 **Thursday** 94:20, 25 95:4, 10

tidal 36:6, 23, 25
37:2 58:23 103:6
tidally 128:3
tide 59:7 86:12, 20
107:9 135:3
tides 36:24 92:24
107:17
tie 16:24 125:24
till 94:14 time 8:20 9:14, 23
17:15 26:2, 8 37:19
38:1 48:2 50:7, 8
51:14 57:24 64:20
65:12, 19 68:12, 16,
22, 23 69:1, 2 73:12
74:23 81:7, 11, 17
82:3 85:21 87:5, 8,
<i>14</i> 98: <i>13</i> 100: <i>3</i> , <i>5</i>
104:25 106:12
108:24 115: <i>16</i>
116:24 123:7, <i>11</i>
126:2, <i>21</i> 129: <i>18</i>
130:20, 21 148:14
158:11 174:10
185:15 188:2, 5
203:9, 19, 21 210:8
213:19 218:18
219:10, 15 221:15, 23 229:25 236:15
timeliness 15:11
times 19:8 71:12
74:14 76:6 99:25
106: <i>10</i> 107: <i>8</i> , <i>12</i> 112: <i>14</i> 186: <i>5</i> 201: <i>7</i>
236:23
timing 9:16 94:9
221:23 238:13
Title 10:16
titled 97:20 127:21
158:24
today 2:10 15:20
28:14 30:7 88:8
92:25 119:15 128:2
129:6 135:1 172:17
181: <i>15</i> 187: <i>14</i> 237: <i>19</i> 238: <i>10</i>
told 187:14 191:13
194: <i>10</i> 211:2 217:25
tons 95:21
70.21

top 84:13 107:10, 12,
15 138:16 147:18
148:7 188:12 189:7,
11 196:3 204:22
total 57:20 93:9
119:14 152:14 167:6
185: <i>12</i>
totally 16:8 touch 126:5
touch 126:5
touched 52:1 102:10
touches 147:4
touching 125:14
tough 95:10 116:2
tourist 86:13
Tower 18:8 23:15
town 206:22
Trafalger 82:5
traffic 198:13 245:3
trail 13:12
trailer 69:13 241:11
trailing 13:7
trained 68:4
Training 139:6, 8
transcript 1:5
transfer 103:5, 24
216: <i>18</i>
transferred 53:7
transport 81: <i>1</i> 217: <i>7</i>
Transportation 16:3
158: <i>1</i> 217:22
trap 9:10
travel 81:2 84:8
travels 84:3
traverse 120:19
treated 8:16 9:2
47:19 163:13 164:6,
14 165:9, 14 189:20
treating 162:8
treatment 47:13
50:5, 6, 12 51:14, 22,
<i>25</i> 164: <i>14</i> 194: <i>17</i>
196: <i>1</i>
tremendous 88:17
trial 19:16 178:12
tribunal 11:17
tried 6:2, 5, 6 8:2
12:9 18:4 19:2, 3
20:22 26:13 201:9
trouble 117:25
111.4J

118:2 233:15

```
true 7:24 38:22
51:1 187:16 201:24
210:3
truth 17:5 62:11
97:2 199:20 230:9
try 25:23 26:22, 24
42:15 56:22 72:12
78:1 93:9 95:12
96:5 100:19 107:15
117:20 130:9 177:18
178:11 181:15
200:21 201:10 204:5
217:15 218:3, 10
222:5, 6 224:20
228:14
trying 18:7 21:15
26:9 29:12 47:25
104:8 174:13 195:19
210:20 225:10, 13
229:1 240:2
turbidity 136:25
137:16, 17, 25 138:5,
8, 19
turn 3:7 44:20 46:8
51:5 53:1 119:17
120:11 139:16 143:6,
7 144:3 152:22
158:22 160:10
168:16
turns 34:24 136:3
TV 101:12
Twenty-five 63:7
two 16:22 42:12
75:11 76:13, 14 79:5
89:3 119:1 123:3, 4,
24 124:1 134:2
135:19 164:19
167:13 181:9 208:19
211:22, 24 243:12
246:4
two-month 116:1, 9,
22
type 12:19 22:8
65:7, 14 76:7 80:1
105:22, 23 106:2
138:12
types 65:19 75:11
239:22
typical 133:13, 22
```

135:23 173:13 196:5

typically 135:24 194:16 < U > **ultimate** 39:13 **ultimately** 12:9 45:7 63:10 103:10 112:18 144:2 161:25 **unable** 236:11 unacceptable 21:11 unadulterated 203:7 uncertified 1:6 **unclear** 35:18 uncontrolled 124:3 uncrease 49:3 understand 103:10 122:4 130:12 133:23 141:13, 24 144:20 153:25 156:17 164:8 178:23 180:12 184:9 192:7, 25 193:3 200:14, 24 202:1 205:15 207:17 210:7 211:15 212:10 225:11 226:24 227:10 239:3 244:12 **understanding** 6:3 13:2 34:3 109:8 127:2 144:13 163:19 167:11, 15, 18 174:1 178:19 191:20 192:10 understands 167:24 **underway** 189:12 unedited 1:6 unfettered 48:25 49:4 **Unfortunately** 132:18 209:5 **unfounded** 59:18 **UNIDENTIFIED** 3:2 **uniform** 6:7 7:19, 24 8:23, 24, 25 9:1 11:11 17:24 28:3 62:24 **uniformly** 192:*14* unique 82:2 137:20

unit 68:20 71:3, 7,

11 232:2, 3, 13, 17

United 47:9 86:1

units 63:17, 19, 23, 25 65:5 69:17, 21 70:20 71:8 75:6 231:21, 22 236:4 University 99:1 unorthodox 61:2 unrecommended 122:11 unremitting 94:3 unrepresented 27:6 unrestricted 175:3 **unsafe** 123:25 124:1 unsupportable 43:6 **untimely** 18:23 untranslations 1:8 **untreated** 161:*14* **unusable** 55:15 **updated** 110:15 upgrade 45:4 upland 46:13, 22 144:25 146:22 147:8 171:*1*, *5*, *10* 208:21 **uplands** 137:18 **ups** 206:11 **upstream** 39:9 51:16 56:1 127:4, 22, 23 128:11, 15, 18, 20, 25 129:3, 5, 8, 15 131:18 133:11 **uptake** 148:10 urban 43:22 **USC** 10:16 **use** 32:10 34:5 38:2 87:21 92:2 93:21 109:13 111:24 120:11 135:4 158:11 161:16 165:12 180:18 192:22 225:14 228:5 242:25 **useful** 209:11 uses 127:23 **Usually** 13:18 utility 52:2 101:19, 21 164:21 utilize 53:10 178:11 utilized 177:7

< V > VA 121:2

vague 67:21 194:6 **valiant** 94:10 **valid** 91:17 **value** 49:3 186:11 **values** 55:3 **Vana** 32:10, 13 34:5 **various** 99:25 **vegetation** 46:6 90:8, 10 143:21 162:12 velocities 120:20, 22, *23*, *24* 121:*1* 122:2*1* 174:4 **velocity** 111:*14* 122:5, 7 126:13, 18 **Venturi** 121:5 **verballv** 118:23 **verified** 181:*13* version 79:13, 22 180:*10* 199:*23* 200:*1* 202:21 204:4 versus 10:4 16:3 17:8 18:1, 8, 19 23:15 24:7 101:5 212:7 240:4 vessel 68:20 115:1 234:25 235:21 236:11 vessels 235:13, 15 237:11 238:4, 6 241:9 **Veterans** 241:*12* video 3:8 view 70:14 188:22 201:12 235:3 **viewing** 84:25 **Village** 80:15 violation 12:21 violations 20:6 **virtually** 102:*10* 116:23 164:22 **visible** 189:*3* visit 106:5, 19, 20, 21 173:20 **visited** 173:19 visitors 231:9 vista 84:25 visually 174:2

voice 25:23 78:20

volume 2:25

< W > wait 77:18 130:7 177:12 **waiting** 9:13 75:9 229:9 waive 31:6, 7 waived 9:14, 21 wake 81:2 **walk** 181:9 **walked** 107:16 Walker 2:7 wall 104:22 125:19 161:18 want 29:14, 15, 22 30:3, 5 31:7, 16, 24 39:23 48:1 49:10 51:5, 10 76:4 77:11, 14, 18, 20 91:21 92:1 95:22 98:13 113:13 119:17 149:19 161:9 176:19 178:24 179:17 180:9 187:23, 25 193:13 194:21 203:23 204:22 208:2 211:8 218:21 220:16 228:25 241:13, 25 242:14 244:15 245:20 246:15 wanted 22:13 149:20 186:12 244:1 wanting 3:12 wants 14:2 116:11 181:24 203:17 warning 19:11 214:8, warrant 20:9 warranty 191:*13* waste 47:13 51:25 wastewater 47:19 163:14 164:1, 14 165:9. 14 watch 235:6 watching 1:25 81:8 Water 18:9 23:15 26:25 33:23 37:1, 9, *13*, *25* 39:5, *7*, *8*, *13*, 14 40:24 41:10 42:5, 6, 11 45:11 46:9, 11, 12, 14, 15 47:3, 4, 12,

13, *14*, *23* 49:5 50:3, *4*, *5*, *8*, *17* 51:*15*, *23*, 24, 25 52:3, 8 54:6, 8 55:1 57:7, 19 58:12, 14 59:7, 20 80:22 82:7, 11, 13, 15 83:1, *3*, *5* 86:*3* 90:*1*, *20*, *23* 92:1, 12, 14, 16, 23 93:2, 15, 16 100:11 102:4 103:6, 24 105:4, 15 106:10 107:7, 9, 17 108:20 111:12, 14 120:23 121:3 122:5, 7 125:15 127:14, 22, 24 128:3 132:14, 22, 23 133:8, 14 135:25 137:13 138:4 139:10 143:17, 18, 19, 23 147:22 148:3, 6, 7, 8, *13* 150:*17* 152:*4*, *15* 160:3 162:4, 9 163:2, 20, 21 164:4, 6, 10 165:6, 7, 9, 10 167:8, *17* 186:*13* 192:*14*, *19* 193:3 194:16, 24 195:23 196:7 207:2 208:11 234:24 241:3, 5, 6 waters 21:12, 13 47:10 58:14 63:20 64:15 75:6 86:8, 10 93:24 143:16, 17 161:25 236:9 watershed 42:2, 3 43:22 93:25 149:8. *14* 150:7, *15* 151:2, 24 173:2 208:8 Waterway 32:25 34:3, 7, 19, 20 35:17. 20 36:11, 16 37:3 38:2, 12, 15, 17, 18, 23 39:4, 15, 20, 22, 24 40:11, 14 41:19, 23, 24, 25 42:1, 7, 20 43:12 44:13 45:7, 17 46:1, 5 49:3, 20, 23 50:7, 9, 10, 16, 21 57:3 58:17 59:8 63:21 74:16 82:2, 3,

6 83:14, 19 92:19 102:14 103:6, 25 104:22 107:7 109:6, 9, 19 112:11 122:17 126:25 148:18 151:2 159:17 160:15, 16, 17, 18, 20, 23 162:18 173:3 175:5 185:8 221:1, 20 222:14 224:13, 17 231:18, 25 232:14 236:9, 12 237:10 238:8 waterway-based 65:10 waterways 65:4 68:21 72:2 79:24 232:8 237:12 Waterway's 42:16 way 5:10 10:22 16:19 28:13 34:21 37:19 45:5 50:11 52:13 64:21 84:4 88:16 93:10 105:15 108:2 126:23 130:11 135:5 150:11 161:21 163:5 179:13 182:7 206:10 214:6 245:3 wavs 90:1, 3 126:17 **WBIDs** 37:9 weather 238:7 **Wednesday** 4:6, 10 21:2 30:9 week 15:20 85:21 130:18 221:25 229:11, 24 weekends 67:11, 13 weeks 222:2 weighed 22:18 weighing 22:9, 10 56:9 212:3 weight 144:22 weir 102:9 103:17 weirs 42:4 102:3, 6 151:23 152:6 welcome 31:3 welfare 54:20 65:18 233:11 **well** 1:9 7:6 16:5, 24 17:15 23:1 26:20, 25 29:16 31:9, 17

37:18 38:14 39:5 42:8 43:14 45:6 48:18 50:12 56:17 61:13 70:9 71:6 75:17 77:5, 19 87:18 96:3 102:8, 11 106:10 113:13 115:21, 25 116:9, 23 117:19, 24 128:23 137:8 138:19 141:6 142:12 146:11 147:4, *25* 156:*16*, *21* 160:*6* 162:18 165:4 171:14, 23, 25 180:5 187:8. *16*, *20* 188:*15* 192:*6* 193:25 199:19 200:20, 25 201:9 202:23 203:16 205:2 206:9 210:7, 19 212:25 214:14 215:25 227:16 229:8, 10 234:2 235:4 237:19 242:9 244:21 245:1.5 well,so 51:21 well-being 231:9 well-known 79:16 well-organized 12:18 went 41:12 45:18 57:22 58:20 77:17 82:11 86:9 107:18 110:2 178:7 197:4 228:17 we're 3:5 9:10 12:2, 3 18:6, 18 26:14 29:17 30:22 32:19 34:17 35:10 37:18 38:14 40:20 44:22 45:*1* 47:*6* 57:*1*, *5* 59:10 60:7, 13 71:10 72:8 76:11, 18 78:7, 11 87:12 89:1 96:15 104:22 125:14, 16 130:9, 24 133:4 139:19 145:3 151:17 159:6 160:23 171:*17*, *18* 176:22 181:23 183:4 186:2, 25 187:22 191:3, 18 192:4 194:14 200:13, *15* 219:2 221:*10* 224:5, 11 226:7 227:5, 20 228:21, 24 229:12 230:14 236:11 237:20 240:7 242:25 245:9 246:18, 21 west 37:1 38:6 49:25 79:1 80:15 82:22 85:22 86:18 105:12 123:13 124:14 126:8 184:25 192:15 218:25 223:3 westbounders 123:12 western 38:21 221:2, 5 **Westin** 80:14 **westward** 36:*15* 38:21 81:13 wetland 143:21 145:*1* wetlands 21:11 115:11 216:19 217:6, 8, 23 we've 5:22 7:2 9:13, 24 10:12 11:24 19:17 25:3, 11 45:5 48:8 54:5 80:3, 8 87:12 95:21 201:12 202:22, 23 219:19 229:20 233:9 237:5 White 32:11, 13 33:6 79:19, 20, 21 wide 82:4, 17 105:3, 7, 14 107:6 126:13 221:16 wider 188:22 widest 121:25 width 121:17 124:20, 25 208:20 **wildlife** 10:20 54:22 145:*1* 153:22 154:*14* 187:*12* 215:*9* 216:*1* Williams 17:8 willing 3:7 94:14 203:5 Wilson 103:13 105:4 107:25 108:2

window 25:2 windows 3:9 **wisdom** 212:7 wish 80:24 withdraw 191:2 witness 61:3, 6 62:4, 13 66:13, 22 76:2, 24 96:22 97:1, 4 100:23 101:1, 3 104:5, 12, 16 105:23 106:1, 14 118:12, 24 122:8, 10 130:20 133:24 134:6, *14, 17* 136:*14* 139:*3* 141:17 142:17, 21 144:24 145:11, 18 147:8 148:21, 23 149:1, 13, 21 150:2, 6 151:12, 15 152:8 157:23 158:5 161:22 164:12 165:18, 24 168:11 169:3 171:25 175:22 178:6 179:6. 7, 14 180:20 191:5 193:15 195:10.13.22 197:22 200:17 201:2 202:2, 11 207:1, 4, 23 209:19 215:6 217:15, 17 218:11 219:4, 7 220:19, 23 221:3, 5, 8, 13, 16, 21 222:1, 25 224:18 226:6, 13 227:6 228:16 229:15. 20 230:12 238:17 240:15 242:20 243:15 245:6, 21 246:9, 11 witnesses 50:2 53:21 54:3 84:22 85:3 97:23 246:5 witness's 141:11 229:21 Woods 18:20 word 1:9 228:2 word-for-word 199:10, 12 words 42:25 131:13 180:17 work 53:22 69:11 70:9 107:24 111:7, 9 118:*17* 130:25 138:*1*

winding 221:9

158:18 164:19 171:10 190:7 209:5 222:18 236:20, 25 **worked** 99:23 209:19 210:8 working 102:18, 19 115:17, 22 185:6 200:15 212:25 233:13 237:1 work-product 178:10 works 16:19 27:3 44:6 51:22 95:7 99:9, 12, 24 100:2 116:10 **world** 116:*3* world's 163:24 worst 68:13 **Wow** 121:6 123:5 write 182:4 185:9 233:6 writer 198:11 **writing** 239:6 written 1:11 49:17 84:24 wrong 41:22 122:6, 7 184:22 220:20 244:1 wrote 188:16 227:23

190:12 193:5 195:5 196:18 231:2, 5 232:19 yellow 182:25 Yep 114:24 131:14 yesterday 10:2 16:14 you-all 26:9

<**Z**> **Zoom** 2:23, 25 3:5, 19, 23, 24 4:2 66:23 96:7, 8 101:5 130:1, 3, 5, 15 160:11 177:1 180:21, 22, 23, 24, 25 181:18, 19 245:7

< Y > **Y'all** 220:23 **Yard** 165:25 yards 82:17 93:1 164:25 **yeah** 57:21 100:21 101:3, 14 113:6 114:21, 22, 25 115:22 117:8, 9, 14 123:18 124:*1* 128:22 130:7 132:6, 11 150:6, 25 151:6 167:4 181:20 197:23 220:9 246:17 year 53:5 55:20 57:17 89:18 90:9 91:16 196:19 years 33:4 63:4, 7 87:9 93:8, 13 99:24 100:1 101:20, 23 102:7 112:17 119:15 146:8 164:*1* 186:24